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Closed shop queries

Additional safeguards to prevent the abuse of the closed shop (CS) in SA are discussed in a working document being distributed by the National Manpower Commission (NMC).

The CS — which compels employees in a particular occupation, company or industry to belong to a specific union — is a controversial labour issue in SA. The document points out that by restricting the occupational mobility of an important part of the workforce, the CS can hamper the effective operation of the labour market.

At the same time, many emerging unions claim that some established unions have used the CS to gain a large and possibly unwilling, black membership with a minimum of effort.

The document is being made available to all interested parties for comment. The NMC has emphasized that it should not be interpreted as a reflection of the NMC’s views. Rather, it should be seen “simply as a document containing arguments, points of view, evaluations and suggestions that have been brought to the notice of the NMC in some way or another.”

However, it is significant that the point of departure of the document is that the CS should continue to exist, subject to existing and certain additional safeguards. This is in line with the conclusion reached by the NMC in 1981 that although there are strong philosophical and practical objections to

the CS, on balance its retention will probably have more advantages than disadvantages.

Government accepted the NMC recommendation that the CS should be retained and agreed that a post-entry clause should be introduced into the Labour Relations Act (LRA) as an additional safeguard to prevent abuses. In practice this means that in a company or industry where a CS agreement exists, an employee is given 90 days in which to join a union.

The NMC did however foresee the need to investigate further safeguards. In the document it is now making available, it focuses on three main questions.

- Is it desirable that CS agreements concluded outside the ambit of the LRA should also be subject to safeguards contained in the Act?
- There are, for example, agreements in the mining industry, in government services and in certain in-house agreements in the iron and steel industry, which are not covered by the LRA.
- Should the LRA require a secret ballot among workers to establish whether they are for or against the CS if a significant proportion of workers petition the Minister of Manpower for such a ballot, and
- If it is impossible or undesirable to make all CS agreements subject to the LRA, should provisions of the Maintenance and Promotion of Competition Act, 1976, be made applicable to CS arrangements not subject to the LRA?

Bearing in mind the strong feelings that exist about the CS, the NMC has given the assurance that all comments made about the document will be dealt with in the strictest confidence.

113
Pipeline saves bay

From Page 1

and out of the harbour bringing a dismantled smelter plant from Nippon Light at Muizenberg – part of Alusat's $255 million expansion to double aluminium at its existing 14-klm-long facility there.

Harbour improvements by the South African Transport Services (Satas) are substantial while the Richards Bay Coal Terminal Company's R360-million extension to its coal handling facilities for 44-million tons of exports annually is in full swing.

A drawing pond being built by Richards Bay Minerals at a cost of R200 million, IBell's erection of a R10-million plant and Sunbird's R10-million bottling plant underway complete the line-up of main work.

"A number of companies are believed to be finalising plans for larger expansion work, so I cannot foresee any work shortages occurring in this field this year," said Van der Walt.

Union rules under review

By Priscilla Whyte

The National Manpower Commission is working on a special injunction, the 5th Report of the Wethanh Commission, to simplify and expedite trade union registration applications more speedily.

This was disclosed to Industrial Week by Dr Hannie Roeder, chairman of the National Manpower Commission, who said that at present "registration of a trade union into the traditional collective bargaining system can take between three months to a year."

There are 12 million registered trade union workers, as well as 50 unregistered unions in involved in organising workers.

Matt Le Roux the registrar of trade unions at the Department of Manpower said: "The Labour Relations Act requires all unions to submit their constitutions, details of their office bearers, financial statements, number of members and head office addresses.

Applications for registration are time-consuming because all unions fail to submit their constitutions, in accordance with the Labour Relations Act.

"The problem with the industrial council system is compounded by the rejection of it by unregistered black trade unions which prefer plant level bargaining to industry level negotiations," said industrial analyst Geoff Mymin.

Recommendations

Mymin believes this attitude had negated some of the Wethanh Commission recommendations in instituting trade union rights for blacks.

In the National Council for the Iron, Steel and Metallurgical Industries there are 45 registered employer organisations and 14 registered trade unions. Nine other unions in the industry are not party to the industrial council.

In theory about 9000 companies employing over half a million workers fall under this industrial council.

"The present situation is in a state of flux.

Confusion

"We are not in favour of shop floor bargaining. It would result in considerable confusion and destruction of the system in terms of registration, but changes in legislation, if any, may be expected next year.

Floral drug blossoms

After years of study a South African pharmaceutical company has achieved a breakthrough with the development of two new floral-based medi-
NMC to investigate ways of simplifying the registration of trade unions

IN AN ATTEMPT to simplify and expedite trade union registration applications speedily, the National Manpower Commission (NMC) is working on a special injunction — the Fifth Report of the Welhain Commission.

This was told to The SOWETAN yesterday by the chairman of the NMC, Dr Henne Reynolds, who said that the commission was still busy investigating the matter after

The investigation would probably be completed during the first section of this year, he said.

The registration of unions was not only done in South Africa, but was a world-wide system, he added.

He disclosed that the present registration of a union with a traditional collective bargaining system could take between three months to a year.

There are 1.2-million registered trade union members in the country and 50 unregistered unions involved in organising the workers.

A spokesman for the Registrar of Trade Unions at the Department of Manpower in Pretoria said that in terms of the Labour Relations Act all unions wishing to register should submit their membership and constitutions.

Other secondary requirements include details of their office bearers, financial statements, head office addresses and so on, the spokesman said.

Applications normally take about six weeks if there are no objections from other unions. However, applications are often time-consuming because the applicants frequently fail to submit their constitution in accordance with the Act.

On the other hand, most unregistered unions have rejected the industrial council system which is seen by some sections in the labour field as a breakthrough.

The unions have contended that they preferred plant level bargaining to the Industrial Council system of negotiation.

The question of the Industrial Council system has in the past created a furor among black unions as some favoured while others rejected them.

During the motor industry labour unrest in the Eastern Cape, the Motor Assemblies and Component Workers' Union (Macwusa) refused to serve on the Industrial Council and later disassociated itself from unions which participated in the council.

Thus, while bosses contend that the system works, unions, especially those belonging to unregistered unions, maintain "no dice" on the issue of the system.
Industrial disputes: Legislation tabled

Labour Reporter

NEW legislation designed to streamline the settling of industrial disputes was tabled in Parliament yesterday. The Labour Relations Amendment Bill, 1983, introduced by the Minister of Manpower, Mr Fanie Botha, differs only marginally from the draft Amending Bill which was published in August last year for general comment. The bill was first published in January last year.

In terms of the bill, unregistered unions and employer groups will be granted direct access to conciliation boards, the government's official dispute settling machinery.

Current legislation

Under current legislation, individual members of these organizations can apply for a conciliation board in their own right, but their union is legally excluded from doing so.

The bill empowers the Minister of Manpower to establish a conciliation board on his own initiative without consulting the parties concerned. This is if, in his opinion, the dispute should be settled without delay "in the public and national interest."

The bill aims to speed up disputes in essential services and disputes where an unfair labour practice is alleged. In these cases the parties can refer their disputes to direct arbitration without going through a conciliation board or an industrial council.

A further proposed amendment allows the Minister to appoint a mediator acceptable to all parties if he thinks it will help settle the dispute. Apart from financial and business affairs, the bill also aims to lift the secrecy on industrial court proceedings. The bill further makes provision for the registration and control of labour brokers and greater protection for workers hired out by them.
DURBAN — A suggestion that a Durban lawyer, Mr Griffiths Mxenge, was killed for cheating or betraying the banned African National Congress was contained in a death-threat letter produced in the Durban Regional Court this week.

The letter was produced in the trial of Tendai Wilmot Zwane, 32, who was sentenced by Mr H W Weitz to two years imprisonment for contravening the Intimidation Act. The whole sentence was suspended for five years.

Zwane, a shop steward for the South African Allied Workers Union, admitted sending a letter containing threats against the life of Mr Thomas Luthuli, a supervisor at O T H Beer and Company, where they were both employed, during September last year.

In the letter Mr Luthuli was told there were people who wanted to kill him. Zwane wrote that he had heard people talking about Mr Luthuli. He said everything had been arranged and the assassin selected, but the date for Mr Luthuli to be killed had not been set.

The reason for the killing was that Mr Luthuli did not “behave” at work and was an informer for the whites. Zwane wrote that it was said Mr Luthuli betrayed his community and the workers to the whites.

He was warned that he would die and leave behind the money he received as an informer.

The letter read: “All crooks like you are dead. Where is Mr Mxenge, the famous lawyer? Isn’t he dead? Who killed him? Why did he die? Where did he die? Please stop betraying people, its end is death.

Mr Luthuli was warned not to use buses and not to have pride at work. He was told to apologise to the ANC as soon as possible.

“The letter ended ‘Stay in peace, brother. I am from the ANC’ — Sapa.”
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... And a new deal on women's overtime

A BILL which will make overtime work voluntary and limit it to 44 hours a week for shop, office and industrial workers, was introduced for a second reading.

The Minister of Manpower, Mr Fane Botha, proposed the second reading of the Basic Conditions of Employment Bill and said that it would replace the Shops and Offices Act and the Factories, Machinery and Building Work Act of 1941.

Its terms would also extend to categories of workers who had not had legal protection before, such as nightwatchmen.

The Bill was tailored to meet declared Government policy regarding the recommendations of the commission of inquiry into labour legislation.

HYGIENE

The Government had accepted the commission's recommendations that the Factories, Machinery and Building Work Act be extended to provide occupational hygiene and safety to all employees.

But the Government had decided that the sections of the Act which dealt with conditions of employment be contained in separate legislation which would be amalgamated with the Shops and Offices Act.

"The envisaged new Act will not be applicable only to factory, shop and office workers as at present," Mr Botha said.

"The shortcomings in present legislation — that workers outside the areas of industrial council agreements and wage agreements and who are excluded from the present Acts and therefore have no protection regarding their conditions of employment — are largely rectified in this Bill."

SCRAPPED

Changed circumstances and the shortage of skilled manpower made it necessary to remove the restriction on women working overtime.

The limit of two hours a day on a maximum of three consecutive days a week, and an annual limit of 60 hours for women factory workers, were being scrapped, as were the 30-hours-a-year limit for shops, the 100-hours-a-year limit for offices and the six-hours-a-week limit for both.

"A limit of 10 hours a week and three hours a day will apply to all workers in future," the Minister said. — Sapa.
No industrial peace without political ‘rights’ for workers

Parliamentary Staff

WHILE most workers in South Africa continued to be denied meaningful and just political rights, there would never be industrial peace in the country.

This was said yesterday by Dr Alex Boraine, Progressive Federal Party spokesman on labour.

Dr Boraine was speaking during the second reading of the Labour Relations Amendment Bill, which he said his party would support.

He said management and union leaders could not hope to meet the aspirations and expectations of countless workers in South Africa because many of the grievances workers expressed passively, and sometimes forcibly, were community-based.

"Black workers in many instances use the same inadequate transport services, live in the same community which bears the hallmarks of deprivation and poverty and will continue to reflect those grievances on the factory floor," he said.

It was imperative that, in attempting to resolve labour disputes the Government did not confine itself to the narrow area of labour reform, but moved as quickly as possible to a new dispensation in the social and political spheres.

He predicted that labour disputes would continue and even increase this year.

He based this belief on the fact that the major reasons for work stoppages and strikes recently had centred on wages and monetary fringe benefits.

The decline in the buying power of money, the deepening recession and resulting retrenchments would all play their part in increasing labour unrest.

Dr Boraine said the Bill enabled the Minister of Manpower to establish conciliation boards on his own initiative, but he urged that this provision should not be used unless absolutely necessary in the public or national interest.

"I am sure he (the Minister) will agree it is far better for employers and employees to take the initiative in this regard," he said.

Budget date

THE Budget will be introduced on March 30, the Leader of the House, Mr S P Botha, announced yesterday. The SA Transport Services budget will be introduced on March 21 and the Post Office budget on March 15.

R1,3 m for VIP Boeing

Parliamentary Staff

THE COST of the Boeing aircraft set aside for the State President, the Prime Minister and the Cabinet is estimated at R1 344 000 a year.

For the first five months of the aircraft's service from November 1981, it cost R723 555 of which R680 000 was standing costs and R150 860, running costs for 38 flights.
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Labour laws get a new look

Parliamentary Staff
THREE Bills on labour matters, introduced by the Minister of Manpower, Mr S P Botha, were debated yesterday.

The Manpower Training Amendment Bill and the Labour Relations Amendment Bill were read a second time with the support of all three opposition parties.

The Basic Conditions of Employment Bill, also debated at the second reading stage, drew some criticism, but opposition spokesmen indicated that they would support it in principle.

The Conservative Party criticised the Bill on the grounds that it made "unnecessary" reference to race and colour, and that a provision about overtime work for women employees could harm family life.

State aid
The three Bills provide, among other matters, for:
- Control of labour brokering and the registration of labour brokers' offices
- Further regulation of the establishment and composition of conciliation boards
- Voluntary arbitration in certain disputes and provision for certain appeals to the industrial court

Making overtime work voluntary and limiting it to 10 hours a week for shop, office and industrial workers.

In moving the second reading of the Labour Relations Amendment Bill, the Minister said the proposed legislation provided for control of activities of labour brokers and for the registration of labour brokers with the Department of Manpower.

The purpose of the legislation was to provide more stable service conditions and greater protection for people working for labour brokers.

Another provision was aimed at creating an official forum for the solution of disputes in industries and in areas where there was no industrial board or any jurisdiction.

Mr Botha said this amendment was not intended to minimise the important role of industrial boards.

Another important provision was to make the machinery of the Labour Relations Act more readily available to parties in dispute, and to speed up the introduction of that machinery.

The Minister would now have the power, after consultation with the parties in dispute, to appoint a mediator.

Provision was also being made for direct arbitration regarding any dispute about employer-employee relations.

It was proposed that the secrecy provision of the Labour Relations Act be brought into line with that of other Acts.

Dr Alex Boraine (FPT Pinelands), the official Opposition's spokesman on labour, said the Bill provided for unregistered unions and employers' associations to have access to conciliation boards where there was no industrial council.

The inclusion of unregistered unions in this process was a major step forward.

Mr S P Barnard (CP Langlaagte) said he was worried about the National Party's philosophy concerning the future of white workers.

Under the Government's labour legislation, white workers were being "thrown into the pool together with all the workers" White workers were not given the protection they deserved.

Moving the second reading of the Basic Conditions of Employment Bill, the Minister said the proposed legislation would replace the Shops and Offices Act and the Factories, Machinery and Building Work Act of 1941.

Watchmen
The terms of the Bill would also extend to categories of workers who had not had legal protection before, such as nightwatchmen.

The Bill was designed to meet declared Government policy in respect of the recommendations of the commission of inquiry into labour legislation or other material in the examination room unless permitted by the invigilator.

The Manpower Training Amendment Bill provides for the imposition of a levy by the Minister of Manpower for the benefit of training centres.

During the debate, Dr Boraine called on employers throughout South Africa to continue to train workers even during times of recession.
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Argus Correspondent

WELLINGTON — Farmers are in arms because of a claim by Dr Allan Boesak in a lecture at the University of Cape Town that labourers on Wellington wine farms are the victims of a streamlined form of slavery.

Dr Boesak, president of the World Alliance of Reformed Churches, said conditions in the Wellington district were an example of socio-economic oppression which had to be ended.

He quoted from a survey covering 190 farms in the Wellington district.

The secretary of the Wellington Farmers’ Association, Mr Pierre Joubert, of the farm Groenendal, said that if Dr Boesak had taken the trouble to read beyond the first page of the survey, he would have seen that after all the benefits on the farms were assessed, the report estimated the average salary of labourers at R245 a month.

Adequate housing

One of the main benefits was adequate housing. The standard of accommodation had improved greatly over the past few years, said Mr Joubert.

Forty percent of the cottages were free-standing brick houses while many were wired electrically and had bathrooms with hot water. Thirty percent of the employees had flush sanitation in their homes.

In many cases labourers were given food ration and had free access to farm products.

Children on the farms and elderly labourers received special Government subsidies.

The survey said the quality of life on the farms was often superior to that of labourers’ counterparts in towns as only one family occupied a house in contrast to crowded conditions in cities.

Earnings dropped

Because of the growing wine surplus and slump in the canning industry, the earnings of most farmers had dropped considerably. Yet in spite of this, farm labourers still received their normal annual rise and no one was retrenched.

Mr Joubert said Dr Boesak might be interested to know that 93 percent of the coloured people on farms in the Wellington district belonged to the Ned Circumference.

There was a close relationship between farmers and their workers — “much closer than you will find in sections of the economy”.

The survey also found that 38 percent of the workers stayed on the same farm for more than 12 years and that most of the elderly were given housing and only light duties.

Fraser losing out to Hawke

Argus Correspondent

BRISBANE — The first opinion poll commissioned in the current election stakes puts the opposition Labour Party’s new leader, Mr Bob Hawke, well in front of the Prime Minister, Mr Malcolm Fraser.

The poll shows that Mr Hawke has the support of 32 percent of the electorate compared with 31 percent opting for Mr Fraser.

The survey was undertaken only hours after Mr Hawke unseated Mr Bill Hayden as party leader.

It also shows that if an election was held now, the Labour Party would win 48 percent of the vote compared with only 34 percent for the ruling coalition.

CAUTION

The figures need to be treated with some caution, however, as the pool was limited to the main cities.

It also showed that 16.6 percent of the respondents were uncommitted.

Nevertheless, it demonstrated that the Government faced an uphill battle to win the March 5 election.

Conciliation board for unregistered unions

Labour Reporter

UNREGISTERED unions will be allowed to apply for conciliation boards to resolve disputes if the new Labour Relations Bill becomes law.

The Bill which has been tabled in Parliament, also allows the Minister of Manpower to establish conciliation boards “on his own initiative if he is of the opinion that a dispute should be settled without delay in the public or national interest.”

The Minister would not be obliged to consult the parties concerned in this case.

Under current legislation, unregistered unions do not have access to official machinery for settling disputes, but members of these unions can apply for a conciliation board.

The proposed amendment means that unions will be able to represent members in their own name.

The purpose of the amendment, according to the explanatory memorandum on the Bill is “to create an official forum for the settlement of disputes to be used by both registered unions, in industries and areas where no industrial council exists, and unregistered, representative unions.”

Another proposed amendment enables unions, workers and employers in non-essential industries to apply for direct arbitration in a dispute.

At the moment only disputes in essential industries can be referred for arbitration.

In the event of a dispute between an employer and an individual employee, it is proposed that the matter be referred to the Industrial Court for a decision.
Industri alist to shut down factory after being granted bail

Mercury Reporter

AN INDUSTRIALIST yesterday told a Verulam Magistrate that he would shut down his engineering company permanently so that there was no likelihood of him interfering with State witnesses.

Mr Louis Duncan, 71, said this in evidence during a fresh application for bail before Mr H J Hitchcock in the Verulam Magistrate's Court.

The industrialist is facing charges on 15 counts of contravening the Labour Relations Act two counts of perjury and another for defeating the ends of justice. He has pleaded not guilty.

Before yesterday's application, Mr Hitchcock had refused an earlier application by advocate Chris Manewick, for Mr Duncan, that he recuse himself on the grounds that he had made a finding on credibility alone.

Mr Hitchcock found that Mr Duncan had been interfering with State witnesses and ordered that he be detained in custody.

Mr Manewick said yesterday that in view of new problems encountered by Mr Duncan he should be allowed bail.

He said Mr Duncan had consulted a doctor at the weekend and had been asked to see a specialist neurosurgeon on Thursday this week.

Prosecutor Mr Roderick Callum objected to bail on the grounds that the Court had found that Mr Duncan had been interfering with State witnesses in spite of warnings by the Court.

Mr Manewick informed the Court that Mr Duncan was having financial problems and had put his house on sale. Also he had decided to shut down his factory.

He said the factory would be closed down immediately under the supervision of the Industrial Council so that there was no likelihood of Mr Duncan interfering with State witnesses.

Mr Duncan was allowed bail of R1,000 and was ordered to be at his business premises only until February 22 for the purpose of closing it down.

The hearing was postponed to February 11.
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A 32-YEAR-OLD black man who sent a ‘death threat letter’ to a black supervisor of the firm where they were both employed was sentenced to two years’ imprisonment by Mr H W Wettz in the Durban Regional Court yesterday for contravening the Intimidation Act.

The entire sentence on Themba Wilmot Zwane was suspended for five years.

Zwane, a shop steward with the SA Allied Workers’ Union, admitted he had sent a letter containing a threat against the life of Mr Thamsagna Luthuli.

The Court was told that Mr Luthuli was a supervisor at OTH Beier and Co in September last year.

**Betrayed**

The letter claimed that Mr Luthuli did not ‘behave’ at work and was a white man’s informer.

It also said that Mr Luthuli betrayed his community and his fellow workers to the white people.

It also said, ‘All crooks like you are dead. Where is Mr Griffiths Mxenge, a famous lawyer? Is he not dead? Why did he die? And who killed him?’

The letter warned Mr Luthuli to stop betraying his people.

The letter also said that Mr Luthuli should stop using buses to go to work and that he should apologise to the African National Congress.

The letter ended ‘Stay in peace, brother. I am from the ANC.’
Union condemns new ‘racist’ labour bill

Labour Reporter

A NEW bill regulating conditions of employment in the South African Transport Services (Sats) was condemned yesterday by the General Workers’ Union (GWU) as “racist” and a “denial of the principle of freedom of association.”

If it becomes law, the proposed Conditions of Employment (Sats) Bill, a new version of the Railways and Harbours Service Act, will govern labour relations on the Railways.

Mr David Lewis, general secretary of the GWU, which has been involved in a lengthy dispute with Sats, said the bill had laid the ground for a similar dispute. He said the provision that non-citizens of South Africa be excluded from the provisions of the bill was blatant discrimination against African workers, many of whom were regarded as citizens of the “independent” homelands.

“The provisions allowing the Sats general manager to classify the status of workers is virtually unchanged from the old Act. This means no black railway workers are ‘permanent employees’.”

“There is no freedom of association. Only internal staff associations recognized by Sats management can have access to labour relations machinery.”

The so-called third party in disputes will be none other than the Minister of Transport. Quite clearly, the boss rules.”

Mr Lewis said Sats workers were still excluded from the government’s labour reforms as contained in the Labour Relations Act.

“The irony is that the minister has issued new legislation at the same time that a committee of inquiry is supposed to be investigating Sats’ labour relations. This merely shows that the committee was never intended to look seriously into the issue.”
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Union denies death threat letter man was a member.

Not one of us!

By BARNEY MTHOMBOTHI

THE South African Allied Workers' Union has denied reports that a man who sent a threatening letter to a fellow worker suggesting that Durban lawyer Griffiths Mxenge may have been killed for cheating or betraying the ANC, was a member of the union.

Mr Mxenge, who was found murdered and mutilated in November 1981, was the union's attorney.

Thembo Welmoet Zwane, 32, was found guilty by Mr H W Welzir in the Durban Regional Court this week and sentenced to two years for contravening the Internal Act.

The sentence was suspended for five years.

Zwane admitted sending a letter in September last year containing threats against the life of Mr Thamsanqa Luthuli, a supervisor at O T H Beer and Company, where they were both employed.

In the letter Mr Luthuli was told there were people who wanted to kill him. Everything had been arranged and the assassins selected — only the date remained to be set.

Mr Luthuli had to be killed because he did not "behave" and was an informer for the whites.

Zwane, who was described as a Allied Workers' Union shop steward, wrote: "All crooks like you are dead. Where is Mr Mxenge, the famous lawyer? Isn't he dead? Who killed him? Why did he die? Please stop betraying people, its end is death."

Mr Luthuli was told to apologise to the ANC. "Stay in peace, brother, I am from the ANC," the letter concluded.

In a statement released in Durban yesterday, Allied Workers' Union general secretary Sam Kikine said Zwane was not and had never been, his union's shop steward. The union had no knowledge of the letter read in court and wished "to dissociate itself completely from the unsavoury statements and sentiments expressed therein.

"SAAWU, as a federation of unions, wishes it to be placed on record that it had the utmost and unqualified confidence and faith in the person of GM Mxenge and condemns in no uncertain terms this and every other attempt to besmirch the character of the late Mr Mxenge, whose memory we, as a nonracial federation, hold in the highest esteem," the statement said.

Mr Kikine said he did not know how Mr Zwane came to be associated with SAAWU in the first place.

"We know all our shop stewards and if he was our member he could have brought the matter to our attention," he said.
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Botha's four Bills

Far reaching improvements to SA's occupational safety legislation are contained in a Bill being piloted through Parliament by Manpower Minister Fanie Botha.

A significant feature of the Machinery and Occupational Safety Bill is the emphasis it places on cooperation between employers and employees on safety. This is wise given the growing interest that trade unions, especially emergent ones, are taking in occupational health and safety issues.

The Bill is one of four compiled by the Department of Manpower which are currently before Parliament. It replaces the old Factories Act of 1941 with more effective procedures and controls for the protection of employees.

Unlike the Factories Act, which protected only employees in factories and on building sites, it covers all people in employment. This includes those working in such diverse sectors as the public sector, agriculture, commerce, local government -- and even domestic servants.

The Bill provides for the establishment of an Occupational Safety Advisory Council, comprising representatives of government, employers and labour, who will advise the Minister. The council will be served by technical committees which will help to minimise or eliminate hazards in specialised fields.

In terms of the Bill, an employer must appoint safety representatives who will act as health and safety watchdogs and carry out regular inspections. On average, there must be one representative for every 50 employees. In companies or plants where more than one representative has been appointed, a safety committee must be formed to co-ordinate and analyse the health and safety needs of workers.

The Bill prohibits the sale of machinery or safety equipment that does not comply with prescribed safety and performance standards. In the past, the onus has been on the users of potentially dangerous machinery to ensure that prescribed standards were being complied with. Sources in the department say that far more effective control will be achieved by shifting this onus onto the seller of such machinery.

However, the Bill also empowers departmental inspectors to order an employer or user of machinery to immediately halt an activity or the use of machinery, which is hazardous.

"This power will not be exercised frivolously by an inspector and will be tightly controlled," says a department spokesman.

It is a powerful tool in the hands of an inspector, but it may save lives.

The Bill also lays down a fine of R4 000 or imprisonment of up to two years or both, for cases where someone is injured through the negligence of an employer or user of machinery.

The other three Manpower Bills are:

☐ The Basic Conditions of Employment Bill. This is a combination of those provisions of the Shops and Offices Act and the Factories Act which deal with conditions of employment. Unlike the Act it will replace, the Bill will not be limited to only factories and employers in shops and offices. However, farm workers and domestic servants are excluded from its scope. The National Manpower Commission is investigating possible measures to regulate their employment conditions. The Bill also eliminates limitations on women working overtime or at night, and

☐ The Manpower Training Amendment Bill. This aims to enable government to help finance group training centres. Government stopped giving such assistance about two years ago, but it appears that some centres need State aid for
Black labour: Policy on "illegal" workers

Many householders fear gardeners or chars working for them might be arrested, or that they themselves might be prosecuted, if they make inquiries about whether these workers are in the area "legally."

But Argus Action has been told by the Western Cape Administration Board's chief labour officer, Mr G. N. Lawrence, following queries from readers, that this will not happen.

He said "There is no need to fear arrest or prosecution if, after making inquiries, it turns out that a gardener or char is in the area illegally. Neither the employer nor the worker will be prosecuted, and the board gives an unequivocal guarantee about this. If it turns out that a gardener or char is in the area illegally, he or she will be advised by the Local Labour Bureau in Langa what to do.

Casually

"The Peninsula has a policy of coloured labour preference.

"A black person who works casually for a householder for longer than three consecutive days in a given week becomes an 'employee', and anyone wanting to employ a black person for longer than three consecutive days a week must first obtain a certificate from the Department of Manpower, at the Thomas Boyeall Building in Cape Town, to the effect that there is no suitable coloured worker available.

"Before a black gardener or char is employed, it is important to establish that the person is in the area legally. This can be established from an endorsement in the person's identity document. If there is no such endorsement, make inquiries at the labour bureau.

Complaint

"Black people who are arrested are those who are found during routine inspections to be in the area illegally, or against whom the administration board has received a complaint.

"Black people illegally in the area who are found on private premises will either be arrested, or given a notice to appear in court. Chars will not be arrested if this leaves no one to look after a house," he said.

Here are the fines applicable to black people not legally entitled to be in the Western Cape, as well as to householders who employ them:

*Black workers

1. Without an identity document, or unable to produce it Between R10 to R15 per count.
2. In the area illegally from R50 to R75 per count.

*Employers

1. First offenders
   The Act provides for a maximum fine of R500 or 60 days, but first offenders are given an opportunity to pay an admission of guilt of R100 or to appear in court to argue their case.

2. For a second offence within two years, the maximum fine of R500 or 60 days becomes the minimum
Govt prepared to negotiate with unregistered trade unions

Mercury Reporter

PROPOSED changes relating to conditions of employment in an industry meant the Government was now prepared to negotiate with unregistered trade unions, the Department of Manpower's director of labour relations, Mr. Mike van Noordwyk, said yesterday.

Promulgation of the new legislation expected around mid-year would make it possible for unregistered unions to have full access to the Conciliation Board as a means of settling disputes.

Mr. van Noordwyk was addressing a Durban Chamber of Industries symposium yesterday.

Shops Act

The department was aware of 53 current unregistered trade unions and, after communicating with each, only one had "told us to go to hell — so far," Mr. Van Noordwyk said.

He described the scrapping of the Shops and Offices Act and the Factories, Machinery and Building Works Act, which will be substituted by the Machinery and Occupational Safety Act and the Basic Conditions of Employment Act, as a "wide rationalisation programme".

But he warned that problems could be anticipated in bringing about the new legislation — notwithstanding the improvements it heralded for employers and employees.

Consensus among the more than 250 delegates appeared to be that the changes were welcomed.

Van Noordwyk was that a number of 'labour brokers' — some of whom operated from the back of trucks — had started appearing around industrial sites and that it was proving difficult to control the numbers and categories of workers they recruited.

Medical aid

The new legislation was also aimed at improving medical aid, sick leave and pension fund benefits for such employees, for example.

Another provision was that all employees would have to be provided with certificates of service once they left a place of employment.

The only sexually discriminatory legislation was a stipulation that pregnant women could not be required to work 'four weeks before or eight weeks after the occasion.'
PFP: Defuse SATS dispute

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY  

The dispute between the General Workers Union (GWU) and the SA Transport Services (SATS) over labour representation could escalate into a national crisis if not defused, Dr Alex Borame (PFP Pinelands) said yesterday.

He was speaking in support of an amendment moved by the PFP that wants to get its fingers into the SA Transport Services.

"Where does the PFP come barging in from the side? The GWU uses the same language in its dispute with the SATS as the PFP used in the House," Dr Borame replied that the PFP held a brief for...
Injustice to blacks at Sats

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY

It was "basically unjust" to deny over one-third of the South African Transport Services' black labour force permanent employment status, Mr Graham McIntosh (PFP Pietermaritzburg North) said yesterday.

He was speaking in the Committee Stage debate on a clause of the Conditions of Employment (Sats) Bill which states that no person shall be appointed in a permanent capacity, or on probation, or in a temporary capacity unless he has — among other qualifications — SA citizenship.

Such persons may only be employed in a casual or regular capacity, according to the clause.

Referring to the Sats 1981/82 Annual Report tabled in Parliament yesterday, Mr McIntosh said more than one-third of the service's black labour force of about 50,000 people, did not have SA citizenship, having been "stripped" of it by the coming of independence to the national states.

"To deny them permanent employment status, even though they may have been born in South Africa, is basically unjust," Mr McIntosh said.

Other people could come from overseas countries, work for five years and then become permanent employees, he said.

Mr McIntosh proposed two amendments to the clause.

• That citizens of the black homelands and independent states created by the government should be allowed to become permanent employees.

• That employees should be able to apply for permanent status after two years.

Labour

Mr Ron Miller (NRP Durban North) said the PFP was missing the whole point and that the bill had nothing to do with citizenship.

"The prime consideration should be the conditions of service of the migrant labourers, and not their citizenship."

Migrant labourers came to South Africa because of economic need and not to take out citizenship, he said.

Replying to the debate on this clause, the Minister of Transport Affairs, Mr Hendrik Schoeman, said no employees had lost their permanent status — they had not had it originally.

Mr Schoeman said he could not accept the amendments proposed by Mr McIntosh in the present circumstances.

"I have already explained the difficulties," he said. The clause was passed without amendments.

Sapa
ACT

To amend the Labour Relations Act, 1956, with respect to definitions; so as to provide for the control of labour brokers and the registration of labour brokers' offices; to further regulate the establishment and composition of conciliation boards; to provide for voluntary arbitration in respect of certain disputes; to further regulate the mediation of certain disputes; to further provide for certain appeals to the industrial court; and to further regulate the exceptions to the prohibition of the disclosure of certain information, and to provide for matters connected therewith.

(English text signed by the State President)
(Asser ted to 15 February 1983)

BE IT ENACTED by the State President and the House of Assembly of the Republic of South Africa, as follows —

1. Section 1 of the Labour Relations Act, 1956 (hereinafter referred to as the principal Act), is hereby amended—

(a) by the substitution in subsection (1) for the definition of "employee" of the following definition "employee" means any person who is employed by or working for any employer and receiving or entitled to receive any remuneration, and, subject to subsection (3), any other person whomsoever who in any manner assists in the carrying on or conducting of the business of an employer, and 'employed' and 'employment' have corresponding meanings.

(b) by the substitution in subsection (1) for the definition of "employer" of the following definition "employer" means any person whomsoever who employs or provides work for any person and remunerates or expressly or tacitly undertakes to remunerate him or who, subject to subsection (3), permits any person whomsoever in any manner to assist him in the carrying on or conducting of his business, and 'employ' and 'employment' have corresponding meanings.

(c) by the insertion in subsection (1) after the definition of "inspector" of the following definition "labour broker" means any person who conducts or carries on a labour broker's office, 'labour broker's office' means any business whereby a labour broker for reward provides a client with persons to render service to or perform work for the client or procures such persons for him, for which service or work such persons are remunerated by the labour broker, and
WORKERS at a Klappmuts brick factory have threatened to go on strike after their boss took R10 off each of their wages on Friday, "as punishment for stealing his grapes."

One of the employees of the company, Viakte Bricks, said: "He (the boss) lined us up, told us to tear open our pay envelopes and personally removed the R10. Those who refused to open their envelopes were instructed to hand back their pay."

All the workers at the plantation, which reportedly produces 100,000 bricks a day, are housed on company property. On Sunday, most of the 38 men and women involved in the dispute said they were prepared to strike to force their boss, Mr. Johan Faure, who is also the owner of the factory, to hand back their money.

One of the men said: "Mr. Faure's been going on about his grapes for a long time, always accusing us or the children of stealing."

"A few weeks ago he said he had sprayed the crop with poison, and beware anyone who tried to steal. But that didn't help because on Friday at pay time, he called us all together and said he was sick and tired of this messing around with his grapes."

"He said as punishment he was taking R10 off each of our wages. He then handed us our sealed envelopes, ordered us to open it and remove the money."

Another man said he and eight others had refused to open their envelopes.

"Mr. Faure then took back our pay, telling us we'd get it back once we agreed to hand over our R10."

A woman who worked in the factory asked: "Why should we be treated like animals? Our living quarters are bad enough and we can barely come out on what we get. R10 is a lot of money to us."

In a telephone interview on Monday morning, Mr. Faure said: "I don't have anything to say over the telephone. I would suggest that you come out here and have a look around to see the damage (skade) these workers are causing..."
TOP Natal industrialists and personnel chiefs were given the first detailed indication this week of what the government has in store over changes to the old Factories Act.

In the hot seats, answering a barrage of questions confidently and with a surprising sense of humour, were two executives of the Department of Manpower in Pretoria, Mike van Noordwyk (director of labour relations) and Gus Weich (chief Factories inspector).

The centre of attention at the seminar, organised by the Natal Chamber of Industries, was the basic conditions of employment and machinery and occupational safety legislation which will become law later this year.

It is a rationalised and up-dated form of the Factories Act, although most of the provisions of the existing legislation will stay intact.

"We had to keep in mind the rights and privileges of those in the workplace, and we have been able to make some subtle changes particularly in the field of employment of women," said Van Noordwyk.

It has been classified as the Magna Carta for female workers as sex discrimination in terms of conditions of service have been abolished — except when it comes to pregnancies. Women will not be allowed to work four weeks before they are due to give birth and for eight weeks afterwards.

In fact, the new legislation will now encompass thousands of people who were not covered by the old law.

Among the points made by Van Noordwyk were:

- Victimisation penalties have been increased.
- Company records can now be kept on microfilm instead of gathering dust and taking up unnecessary space in storerooms.
- Certificates of service must be given to employees when they leave a job.
- A tighter reign is to be kept on labour brokers, many of whom even operate from the back of bakkies on building sites. They will be required to provide their workers with the benefits related to the industry in which they normally work, despite the fact that they might be moving from company to company in the course of their contract work.
- Problems are anticipated but Van Noordwyk explained that disputes over individual workers as to which industry they might belong can be taken to the industrial court for a determination.
- The $3 unregistered trade unions in the country will now be able to make use of the conciliation machinery which exists for registered unions.
- "We have had a very good response from the unregistered unions on this matter," said Van Noordwyk. "Only one told us to go to hell!"
- There will be no more compulsory overtime. Any overtime that is considered by the employer to be regularly necessary must be written into the contract of the employee before he starts the job.

Details given by Weich of the new safety legislation included:

- The formation of an advisory council for occupational safety comprising representatives of employers and employees with technical experts co-opted whenever necessary to deal with specific topics.
- The appointment of safety representatives on the shop floor to a ratio of one to every 50 workers.
- "If we do nothing else in the next two years we will enforce these two factors," said Weich.
- No-one may sell machinery in future which does not comply with South African safety regulations, and this includes imports.
- The Ministry may appoint local authorities to assist the department in enforcing the new regulations. This could be some time off because of the finances involved.
- The powers of factory inspectors are to be extended to the level where he can stop any machine or process (both mechanical and chemical) which he might deem dangerous to the worker.
- For injuries to workers caused on the job through negligence and not necessarily the direct contravention of the regulations, a employer can be fined up to R4 000 or jailed for up to two years, or both.
Record cash payment for fired workers

Labour Correspondent

AN OLIFANTSFONTEIN company, Siobat Reinforcing, has paid R36 000 in back pay to 51 Metal and Allied Workers Union members fired last year, according to Focatu Worker News, journal of the Federation of SA Trade Unions.

This follows a recent landmark Industrial Court ruling in which the court granted the workers a "status quo" order, instructing the company to reinstate them temporarily while their dispute with it was being resolved. It is believed to be the biggest cash settlement paid by an employer to dismissed workers in the current series of disputes over dismissals and retrenchments.

The court's order temporarily reinstating the Siobat workers was made in early January and was the first such order made by the Industrial Court.

The case arose out of dismissals last August Management charged that the workers had been fired for engaging in a "go slow." The union disputed this and alleged that the firings were "disguised retrenchments."
Tswanas get labour deal

BOPHUTHATSWANA will strike out in a different direction from its sister "independent" territories of Transkei, Ciskei and Venda when it introduces a new law providing for the recognition of trade unions.

Due to tabled in the Bophuthatswana National Assembly in May, the law is being drafted under the auspices of Mr Rowan Cronje, former Rhodesian Minister of Manpower and now Minister of Manpower and Co-ordination in Bophuthatswana.

Legalisation of trade unions in Bophuthatswana under Mr Cronje's new deal will stand in marked contrast to the hostility adopted towards trade unions in Transkei, Ciskei and Venda, where trade unionism is seen as a form of Western "decadence", or, worse still, as incipient "subversion".

But Mr Cronje makes clear, trade unions in Bophuthatswana will function within a tightly controlled structure.

A point repeatedly emphasised by Mr Cronje is that South African unions will not be allowed to move into Bophuthatswana and organise workers there, irrespective of their "general ideological outlook".

Referring to the envisaged Bophuthatswana Industrial Conciliation Act he says: "Once our legislation is passed no union or association outside our borders will be allowed to operate or function inside Bophuthatswana."

It will allow only Bophuthatswana-based unions to function and thus bar both the Rightwing Mine Workers' Union - which remains a force on mines which straddle the Bophuthatswana-South African border - and South Africa's vigorously growing black-based unions.

Bophuthatswana's Industrial Conciliation Act, which is modelled largely on industrial relations law bequested by Mr Ian Smith's Rhodesia to Mr Robert Mugabe's Zimbabwe, will forbid all forms of race discrimination, including the obsolete clause in the South African Mines and Works Act which prevents blacks from holding blasting certificates.

Mr Cronje insists there can be compromise on this question but chooses his words carefully: "We accept that it is a delicate issue. We will treat it accordingly. It is something we will have to discuss. But the one thing that our Act will forbid is any form of discrimination.

On the exclusion of South Africa's burgeoning black-based unions Mr Cronje is again circumspect, but his message is clear: "Whether people agree or not with Bophuthatswana's independence, fact is that it is an independent country. Just as any independent state will not allow another country's trade unions to interfere, so we won't either."

Though he does not say so specifically, there is another reason for the decision to exclude South Africa's black-based unions. The Act is an attempt to build black-based unions into an ideology of its own, which is a three-fold task that a union is inherently representative than another claimant to registration, the registered union will be deregistered and official recognition will be conferred on the rival.

From this flows that the practice of "closed shop" - where workers in a particular trade or industry are forced to belong to a particular union - will be outlawed. Mr Cronje describes "closed shop" as immoral to the principle of freedom of choice and, as a person, he says, the law will be based.

Bophuthatswana unions will, however, have to fulfil another criterion for registration. They will have to submit audited financial statements to the registrar once a year.

If the registrar finds that membership fees are not proportionate the union faces deregistration.

The smooth running of Bophuthatswana's proposed industrial relations system will depend further on two special tribunals - an industrial court and an industrial tribunal.

The industrial court will serve as an appeal court in which decisions by the industrial registrar to deregister either unions or employer associations can be challenged.

The industrial tribunal, which will be chaired by a judge and comprising six members of equivalent standing, will settle disputes of interpretation over agreements made between workers and employers under which these cannot be resolved through conciliation or arbitration.

Mr Cronje is convinced that the new law provides a sound basis on which to construct healthy industrial relations, though he concede much will depend on the "human factor."

He says: "We have a mechanism which will effectively eliminate a lockout or strike situation. But in the end the secret of success in industrial relations is determined by human relations and attitudes."
Industrial councils 'must be extended'

THE industrial council system would have to be improved and extended, the Minister of Manpower, Mr Fanie Botha, said in Durban yesterday.

Opening the conference of the South African Association of Municipal Employees, he said local authority employees not belonging to unions, and inexperienced in industrial council or conciliation board negotiations would increasingly seek union membership.

It was not possible to register more than one industrial council board for the same local authority. The industrial council system had also been queried by employers and employees. There was a tendency to deal with employers rather than on an industrial basis.

The first question to which an answer must be found is how these newcomers to the trade union movement at local management level can be included in the statutory negotiating machinery — taking into account the principles of union autonomy, freedom of choice and maximum self-government.

Equally important was how to set up a uniform system for negotiating working conditions in local government — acceptable to employer and employee.

Mr Botha invited the Association of Municipal Employees and local authorities to present his department with concrete suggestions. — Sapa
Women’s pay is set lower than men’s

By STEVEN FRIEDMAN
Labour Correspondent

AN OFFICIAL wage determination setting minimum pay in the catering trade sets lower minimum wages for women than for men — 16 months after a change in the law scrapped sex discrimination in wage determinations.

The determination was published on Friday and the Wage Amendment Act, which outlawed sex discrimination in official wage determinations, became law on November 1, 1981.

But the determination does contain new minimum rates to come into effect every six months which will ensure that sex discrimination in minimum pay will be scrapped in the trade in just over 18 months’ time.

According to a spokesman for the Department of Manpower, the reason for the anomaly is that the Wage Board investigation which led to Friday’s determination began before the new law came into effect 16 months ago.

At the time sex discrimination was scrapped, a clause was inserted in the Wage Act exempting determinations from the no-discrimination rule provided that the Wage Board probe which preceded them began before the Act came into force.

The department’s spokesman said the exemption was being inserted to give employers time to adjust to equal minimum pay for the sexes. "After all, they are in business to make a profit," he said.

Wage determinations set minimum pay for groups of workers who are not protected by industrial council agreements. They are legally binding and are set by the Minister of Manpower following a recommendation by the Wage Board.

There is often a long gap between the start of a board probe and the publication of a determination. Trade unions say this often means that rises in the cost of living make determinations outdated by the time they become law.

The catering determination lays down different minimums for men and women in four job categories—general worker, waiter, grill hand and pantry hand.

The determination sets different minimum rates for various areas. The lowest minimum is for general workers and the determination sets down a minimum of R21 a week for women and R25 for men in some country towns.

The highest is in the Cape Peninsula where women must receive at least R35 and men R42.

It also sets out a graded scale of new minimum wages which will come into effect every six months.
Labour Correspondent

DESPITE recent labour reforms, security legislation, the pass laws and other measures sharply hampered the rights of trade unions to operate freely, a labour lawyer, Mr Rod Harper, said this week.

In a memorandum to this week's Urban Training Project meeting on "Freedom of Association", Mr Harper cited 17 laws which, he said, "severely curtail union rights, states Mr Harper." He was discussing the extent to which South African laws conformed with International Labour Organisation conventions on freedom of association.

He said security laws made it extremely difficult for unions to hold mass meetings of members.

Some laws, giving officials powers to ban gatherings, such as the Black Administration Act and the Development Trust and Land Act, were applied to blacks only.

These laws, with others such as those entrenching influx control, were "Trojan horses", which sharply curtailed the right of workers to strike.

Mr Harper also cited the Teargas Act, which allows the police to use teargas to disperse unions who have been warned to prevent them from using teargas, as a measure aimed at quelling worker unrest.

"This is obviously intended to be used against employers in mass strikes," Mr Harper said.

The Armaments Act was another bar on union freedom, he said, because it prohibited the formation of multiracial unions among Amcascor employees.

But Mr Harper said industrial court decisions "give real hope of progress" towards greater union rights.

"The court is gaining in confidence and is playing a growing role in guaranteeing worker rights," he said.
'Urgent' need for union registration overhaul

By STEVEN FRIEDMAN
Labour Correspondent

A MAJOR overhaul of the Government's trade union registration system is a "matter of extreme urgency", the general secretary of the SA Boilermakers' Society, Mr Ike van der Watt, told a meeting this week.

He said difficulties in the registration system were "holding up a normalisation of labour relations, not only in the mining industry but in South Africa as well".

He also called for the scrapping of a clause in the Labour Relations Act allowing unions on industrial councils to veto applications by new unions to join a council.

Mr Van der Watt said the clause, with controls in the registration process, were making emerging unions unwilling to register or join councils.

The reluctance of these unions was understandable but was having a "profound effect" on labour relations in key industries such as the mines.

Mr Van der Watt was addressing a meeting of the Manpower and Management Foundation on labour relations in the mining industry where new black unions have refused to register or are opposed to joining councils.

The union registration system is being investigated by the official National Manpower Commission (NMC) and major changes are expected.

In his address, Mr Van der Watt predicted that the registration process would be changed to remove the "exclusive rights" of registered unions to control the rights of new unions.

But he implied that progress in bringing about these changes was too slow.

"We understand that the proposed changes are being delayed by the time it is taking the NMC to bring out its report on registration," he said.

"We should make it clear to the commission that changes in the law regarding registration are a matter of extreme urgency." MR. Van der Watt said unions who sought registration were "subject to a long and complicated process which may well result in their not gaining the scope they believe they require to do their jobs properly.

They could theoretically be denied registration on the whim of an opposing union intent only on preserving the position of its leadership.

Some established unions saw registration as a means of protecting the interests they believe they have acquired in the labour relations structure."

Mr Van der Watt urged that registration go no further than ensuring that similar organisations with similar aims are brought together.
UNION registration, perhaps the hottest labour issue in the early days of the new labour dispensation, has abated somewhat as an issue.

Since then, the difference between emerging unions who registered and those who did not have diminished.

But there are still controls over registered unions which do not apply to their counterparts. A Government official can decide who these unions can represent, in which industries they may bargain officially and so on.

Generally, he does this after objections from already registered unions who do not want the new unions encroaching on their turf.

On the other side of the coin, unregistered unions cannot have union dues deducted by employers without Ministerial permission.

A consensus between major employer groups and emerging unions developed in
Ruling against Govt on unions

MARITZBURG — A full bench of the Natal Supreme Court has ruled that the Government does not have the right to limit the racial composition of a trade union.

In May, 1962, the Minister of Manpower, Mr Fanie Botha, upheld a ruling by the Industrial Registrar that the Metal and Allied Workers' Union, the Transport and General Workers' Union, the Chemical Workers' Union, and the National Union of Textile Workers could not register as non-racial trade unions.

The preceding judge, Mr Justice Leon, with Mr Justice Booyzen and Mr Justice Law concurring, said:

'The evidence we must infer that different races are necessarily different interests.

In my opinion the contrary is true and industrial interests will usually be common to all employees irrespective of race. In the absence of any evidence supporting these submissions on this topic, I find their contentions to be wholly unacceptable.'

In 1960, the unions applied to the Industrial Registrar for registration.

They failed to say from which race groups their members were drawn and they were registered with the condition that they could not admit white members.

In April, 1961, the unions appealed against the ruling and a year later this was rejected by the Minister.

This was in spite of the fact that the Minister had granted permission for their non-racial registration beforehand.

He had in fact, exempted the four unions from some of the provisions of the Labour Relations Act.

The unions submitted that a racial group did not, in terms of the Act, constitute an "interest" and the Minister and the Registrar could not use race as a criterion in determining the interests served by them or the interests for which they should be registered.

In allowing the four appeals, Mr Justice Leon said the Registrar "plainly erred in imposing the limitation.

He ordered the Registrar and the Minister to pay the costs.

An all-white Pretoria union, Municipal Werknemers, which objected to the non-racial composition of the TGWU, was ordered, as a respondent, to share the union's costs — Sapa.
Influx control laws: Govt thinks again

Staff Reporter

THE government is contemplating the enforcement of "unpopular" influx control laws from the Department of Co-operation and Development to the Department of Internal Affairs, according to the second and third reports of the select committee on the constitution.

The reports, which were tabulated in Parliament this week, quote evidence presented by the board of chairmen and chief directors of the administration boards to the committee, which is examining the proposed Black Communities Development Bill.

Dr P J Riekert, representing the board, said administration boards are acting as agents for the Department of Manpower by managingLabour market information and ensuring the new bill provides that influx control will henceforth be administered by the Department of Internal Affairs, we are also agents of that department.

This is the first indication that the government is preparing legislation to shift the enforcement of influx control laws, which could signal the further dismantling of the former Bantu Administration "empire".

Commenting on the application of influx control, Mr C H Kotze, also representing the board, said influx control was the "most sensitive area of political relations, an aspect which can easily bedevil matters."

He said "we see it as a very sensitive function, very closely linked to the spirit of man. Let us suppose that I, as an Afrikaner, should be responsible for the control over the influx of Afrikaners to Pretoria. That would be a real mess. I would not be able to do it because for me it would be too emotional an issue. In my opinion, influx control is something the black man cannot handle."

"Because administration boards see themselves as human relations agents, I would like to suggest that this unpopular job be performed by someone else,"

Dr Riekert said the administration boards would have a few exceptions — when they were in the process of "completely going under financially"

The Cape Times keeps on growing

IT'S another first for the Cape Times — street sale: in the Northern Areas.

From Monday, Cape Town's fastest growing newspaper will be available from 23 street vendors serving Durbanville, Krugersdorp, Brackenfell, Villiersdorp, Klein River, Somerset West and the Strand.

The new vendor programme at the following intersections and locations:

- N1 turnoffs at Durbanville and Kraaifontein
- Old Oak and D'Urban intersection
- Durbanville and D'Urban intersection
- Van der Merwe and Riebeek Road
- Scottsdene station
- Eersterivier station
- Fugrove station
- Somerset West/Steenberg robots
- Victoria and N2
- Van der Stel station
- Strand station
- Beach and Strand

The multitudes of vendors means the Cape Times will be the only one away from the majority of residents of the northern areas. This means the Cape Times will be much easier to get and read, even setting supplements aside the usual leading Homefinder, Jobfinder, Carfinder and Funfinder.

HEAR AGAIN — UNDERSTAND AGAIN,

Latest miniature hearing aids now available in Claremont

Call in for:

- FREE HEARING TEST AND EVALUATION
- ALL-IN-THE-EAR HEARING AIDS FROM USA
- PROMPT & PROFESSIONAL SALES & SERVICE
- HOME VISITS FOR THE ELDERLY
- REPAIRS TO ALL MAKES

OUR HEARING AIDS ACCOUNTICIANT IS SOLELY DEDICATED TO THE CARE OF THE "EAR OF HEARING"

NOW IN BELLEVILLE
Mondays only at L C III Opticians, Olifatt House, 38 Blankenberge Street, Bellefontaine Holiday Inn, TEL: 47 44 747
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Unions win battle on race ruling

Pietermaritzburg Bureau

The racial qualification placed on the registration of four trade unions by the Industrial Registrar was set aside in the Supreme Court in Pietermaritzburg yesterday.

The trade unions successfully appealed against the decision by the Industrial Registrar to place a racial qualification on their registration when they registered in 1960.

The appeal by the Metal and Allied Workers' Union, the Transport and General Workers' Union, the Chemical Workers' Industrial Union, and the National Union of Textile Workers is regarded as a test case which is likely to have an effect on the future registration of trade unions.

In upholding the appeal by the unions, Mr Justice Leon, with Mr Justice Law and Mr Justice Booyzen concurring, said the Industrial Registrar erred in imposing the race limitation on the registration of the unions.

He granted an order setting aside the racial qualification imposed on the registration.

The decision by the Industrial Registrar to limit the registration to a particular race was supported by the Minister of Manpower, Mr Fanie Botha.

"We are unable to accept the argument that different races necessarily have different interests. In my opinion, the contrary is true and industrial interests will usually be common to all employees, irrespective of race." Mr Justice Leon said.

The Judge accepted the contention by the unions that the industrial interests of all races were the same and upheld the appeal against the Industrial Registrar and the Minister of Manpower.
Fosatu hails Supreme Court ruling

THE Federation of South African Trade Union’s (Fosatu) central committee this week heralded the Natal Supreme Court’s judgment that race could no longer be considered an industrial interest.

A statement released by the general secretary, Mr Joe Foster, said the judgment delivered by a full bench marks a crucial victory in Fosatu’s two-year battle against the State’s insistence on giving affiliates certificates restricting them in terms of race.

“In line with Fosatu’s policy of non-racialism, it rejected racial registration and appealed to the Minister of Manpower to overrule the registrar. However, the Minister turned down Fosatu’s appeal which led the Federation to taking the matter to the Supreme Court. The judgment totally rejected the State’s argument that race could be seen as an industrial interest and furthermore ruled that costs be paid by the State,” the statement said.

The central committee now awaits the State’s decision on whether they wish to proceed with the case to be heard in the Transvaal Supreme Court concerning racial registration of two Fosatu affiliates. It hopes the State will heed the Natal decision and drop the case and remove all references to race from registration certificates.

The federation also welcomed the fact that after a very difficult and disrupted meeting, seven unions committed themselves to building a new federation.
Fosatu praises court race ruling

Labour Reporter

THE Federation of South African Trade Unions (Fosatu) has hailed as a "crucial victory" the decision by the Natal Supreme Court to set aside the racial qualification placed on the registration of four unions by the Industrial Registrar.

The court held that race could no longer be considered an industrial interest.

The four unions involved were the Metal and Allied Workers' Union, the Chemical Workers' Industrial Union, the Transport and General Workers' Union and the National Union of Textile Workers, all affiliates of Fosatu.

The unions were appealing against the decision by the Industrial Registrar to place a racial qualification on their registration when they registered in 1980, a decision which was supported by Mr Fanie Botha, the Minister of Manpower.

Fosatu said the judgment marked a crucial victory in their two-year battle against the State's insistence on giving affiliates certificates restricting them in terms of race. This was against Fosatu's policy of non-racialism.

In papers filed to the industrial court, Fosatu's National Union of Textile Workers (NUTW) has charged that the Fram textile group threatened to fire members who did not join the Cape-based Textile Workers' Industrial Union (TWIU) and that management actively recruited members for this union.

The NUTW is to ask the court to restrain the company from recognizing the TWIU, an affiliate of the Trade Union Council of South Africa (Tuesa), or extending facilities to it.
Unions an avenue of reform in SA

Labour Reporter

POLITICS and trade unionism could not be completely separated, Mr Bobby Godsell, an industrial relations consultant for Anglo American, said at Stellenbosch University last night.

He said unions could become an avenue of reform in a divided society such as South Africa.

Mr Godsell was speaking at a Stellenbosch Aktele Aangeleentheidskring seminar on trade unionism and change in South Africa. He said the fear that black unions would become political was mainly responsible for their being excluded from bargaining processes.

"Black workers do have power," he said. "They can by actions passive and active disrupt and obstruct the normal and necessary functioning of society."

Mr Godsell said the collective bargaining process — "the habit and practice of compromise" — could be a source of growing racial unity.

Mr Jaap Cilliers, former director-general of Manpower, said the new labour dispensation, which had become an "island of non-discrimination in a sea of discrimination", had created expectations of change.

"It is unfortunate that the experience of these workers is that between 8 am and 5 pm they live in 1983, but when they leave their place of work they find themselves back in times long past."

---

"Handwritten notes"
Labour laws don't help the worker, says expert

Attempts to control labour affairs usually end in failure — and South African legislation shows a similar pattern, says Mr Leon Louw, executive director of the Free Market Foundation.

In an article in Training and Development Forum, Mr Louw argues that labour laws, whether they purport to be for or against workers, work to their disadvantage.

Governments are concerned with what Mr Louw describes as the "meddling imperative" that is, governments always desire to exercise some form of control over workers.

He details several examples of this meddling in South African labour laws:

- The Government's decentralisation policy will prove to be a costly one and there is no evidence that the expected benefits of the policy will exceed the costs. It costs from two to five times as much to create a job in a decentralised development area as in a metropolitan area. Therefore, there are less jobs to go round and less money to pay in wages.
- Mr Louw criticises what he calls excessively strict provisions in building and factory laws which regulate the minimum time beyond the point which any reasonable person would consider appropriate. The passing of these laws is often the result of successful lobbying by some small vested interest groups.
- Minimum standard laws are detrimental to the lower socioeconomic groups which have to pay for such provisions through money and jobs. These laws tend to be costly and need high skills and technology and thus render the most needy segment of the workforce, unskilled blacks, unemployed.
- Unemployment can be the result of minimum wage laws introduced at artificially high levels to protect skilled and experienced white workers from competition.

Mr Louw also criticises high progressive tax rates, which encourage discriminatory employment practices.

The best solution to problems in the labour market is to discontinue the cause, Mr Louw says.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>African</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>coloured</th>
<th>total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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INTRODUCTION

The proposed amendments emanate partly from recommendations of the Commission of Inquiry into Labour Legislation which were accepted by the Government (see paragraphs 4.20 and 4.42 of the White Paper on Part 5 of the Commission's Report). These amendments concern—

* the control of labour brokers and the registration of labour brokers' offices, and

* the transfer of judicial and quasi-judicial decisions of the Minister of Manpower to the Industrial Court.

The other proposed amendments relate to—

* the further regulation of the establishment and composition of conciliation boards,

* provision for direct voluntary arbitration in respect of certain disputes,

* the further regulation of the mediation of certain disputes; and

* exceptions to the prohibition on the disclosure of certain information.

Labour broking. In the White Paper on Part 5 of the Report of the Commission of Inquiry into Labour Legislation, the Government undertook to give attention to and to consider legislative amendments with a view to further regulating the activities of labour brokers. The extent, nature and variety of the activities of labour brokers, however, had to be thoroughly considered and required wide and time-consuming consultation [See paragraph 1.14 of the Department's annual report for 1981 (RP 86/1982)]. The amendments now proposed make provision for the registration and control of labour brokers. The primary goal is to ensure more stable conditions of employment and greater protection for workers hired out by labour brokers. Owing to the temporary and varying nature of the circumstances of employment of these workers, they were thus far often not in a position to build up and make use of fringe benefits such as pension, sick benefit and medical aid funds. Particular problems are being experienced in this regard especially in the Iron, Steel, Engineering and Metallurgical Industry as well as in the Building Industry.

Establishment and composition of conciliation boards. The proposed amendment of section 35 of the Labour Relations Act, 1956 (hereinafter referred to as the principal Act), which relates to the establishment and composition of conciliation boards, is mainly aimed at making the official dispute settling machinery more easily accessible on a broader basis in industries and trades where industrial councils do not have jurisdiction. This
is in the interests of promoting sound labour relations. The intention therefore is that trade unions and employers’ organisations which do not have registration for specific areas and interests will also be given access to conciliation boards as official discussion forums to settle labour disputes, subject to specified provisos.

*Conciliation boards and the public or national interest* The other important amendment is aimed at enabling the Minister of Manpower to establish conciliation boards on his own initiative if he is of the opinion that a dispute should be settled without delay in the public or national interest.

Voluntary direct arbitration. In the case of disputes in essential services, such as for example passenger transportation and local authorities, as well as disputes involving an unfair labour practice, the parties concerned may agree to refer those disputes to direct arbitration, in other words without it having been considered by either a conciliation board or an industrial council. The proposed amendment of section 46 of the principal Act is intended to enable the parties to other labour disputes, that is those in non-essential industries, to also go direct to arbitration if they so agree.

*Mediation.* The aim of the amendment of section 44 of the principal Act is to extend the provisions in terms of which mediators are appointed so that the Minister, after consultation with the parties to any dispute, may appoint a mediator acceptable to all of the parties if he is of the opinion that it will assist in the settlement of the dispute.

*Transfer of judicial and quasi-judicial decisions of the Minisiter to the Industrial Court* In terms of section 51 (6) of the principal Act, any person who feels aggrieved by certain decisions of an industrial council, may appeal to the Minister. The aim of the proposed amendment is to transfer the Minister’s powers in this regard to the Industrial Court and in this manner further to give effect to the Government’s decision regarding the transfer of judicial and quasi-judicial labour decisions to the Industrial Court.

*Secrecy provisions of the principal Act.* The aim of the envisaged amendments is that secrecy shall be observed only in respect of financial or business affairs, and to authorise the publication for general information of judgements, decisions, exemptions or awards of the Industrial Court so that wider cognisance can be taken thereof.

A draft Amending Bill was published in the Government Gazette on 29 January 1982 (General Notice 63 of 1982) and again on 13 August 1982 (General Notice 559 of 1982) for general information and comment and the Amendment Bill was altered in several respects as a result of representations received.

**CLAUSE ONE**

A labour broker is defined as any person who conducts or carries on a labour broker’s office. A labour broker’s office is defined as any business whereby a labour broker for reward provides a client with persons to render service to or to perform work for the client or procures such persons for him, for which service or work such persons are remunerated by the labour broker. The definitions of employer and employee are also amended. A labour broker is deemed to be an employer in that undertaking, industry, trade or occupation in which the contracted person ordinarily or naturally falls according to the nature of the activities or operations performed by the contracted person as determined by the scope of application of a
wage regulating measure (i.e. an agreement, notice, award, order or determination) and the client is not deemed to be the employer of such contracted person. The provisions of such a wage regulating measure apply in respect of such a labour broker as though he is the employer and in respect of the contracted person as if he is the employee.

**CLAUSE TWO**

The purpose of this amendment is to add to the functions of the Industrial Court appeals in terms of section 51 of the principal Act and the determination of the undertaking, industry, trade or occupation in which a labour broker's worker is employed.

**CLAUSE THREE**

The purpose of the amendment of section 35 of the principal Act, which deals with the establishment and composition of conciliation boards, is to create an official forum for the settlement of disputes in industries and areas where no industrial council has jurisdiction and where one of the parties is either a registered trade union or employers' organisation which has members in a particular industry or area but which does not have registration for such industry or area of a representative unregistered trade union or an unregistered employers' organisation. At present the members of such a trade union or employers' organisation can apply for the establishment of a conciliation board in their own right, but the trade union or employers' organisation is not legally entitled to do so. The proposed amendment therefore makes provision for a registered trade union or employers' organisation which does not have registration for the specific industry or area or an unregistered trade union or employers' organisation to apply for the establishment of a conciliation board and to be able to represent its members on such a board in its own name. The amendment is proposed in the interests of maintaining and promoting sound labour relations between employers and employees. The Minister is also empowered to establish a conciliation board where no industrial council having jurisdiction exists without consulting the parties, when he is of the opinion that in the public or the national interest the dispute should be settled as soon as possible. The extension of the use of this conciliation machinery of the principal Act is, however, strictly qualified. In the case of an unregistered organisation it must have satisfied the provisions that require that a copy of its constitution be submitted to the Industrial Registrar and that the names of its office-bearers and officials be provided with its head office address and the names of its office-bearers and officials. It must have maintained a membership register and proper books of account and its head office must be situated in the Republic. Provision is also made in this clause for direct arbitration in any dispute concerning any matter relating to the labour relationship between employer and employee, other than an alleged unfair labour practice, for which provision has already been made. This procedure applies where there is no industrial council and where the parties are satisfied that a conciliation board would not be able to settle the dispute.

**CLAUSE FOUR**

The purpose of this amendment is to extend the qualifications which apply to the appointment of representatives of employer and employee parties upon the composition of a conciliation board to unregistered trade unions and employers' organisations and is merely consequential in nature.

**CLAUSE FIVE**

In terms of this amendment the Minister of Manpower may, after consultation with the parties to a dispute, appoint a person acceptable to
all the parties, as a mediator if he is of the opinion that this appointment will assist in the settlement of the dispute. At present the dispute must first be considered by either an industrial council or a conciliation board.

CLAUSE SIX

This clause now provides for appeals against the decision of an industrial council on a matter of exemption to be made to the Industrial Court instead of the Minster.

CLAUSE SEVEN

This clause provides for the registration by the Director General of labour brokers with the Department of Manpower. Any labour brokers' office which does not register within three months after the coming into operation of the Amendment Act shall be guilty of an offence. Any person who feels aggrieved by any decision or action by the Director General regarding the application for registration or the cancellation or amendment of a certificate of registration of a labour brokers' office may appeal to the Minster.

CLAUSE EIGHT

The secrecy provision is brought into line with the Department of Manpower's other Acts in that secrecy in future has only to be observed in respect of the financial or business affairs of any person, firm or business. The President of the Industrial Court is granted the discretion to indicate which judgements, decisions, determinations or awards of that court may be published for general information. The written consent of the parties must be requested, but if they do not give it the final decision to publish rests with the President of the Industrial Court, if he is satisfied that consent was unreasonably withheld. Publication shall be in such a way that the identity of the parties is not revealed.

CLAUSE NINE

This clause provides that in the event of a dispute arising regarding the undertaking, industry, trade or occupation in which a labour broker is deemed to be an employer in respect of a specific employee, the dispute must be referred to the Industrial Court for a decision.

CLAUSE TEN

This clause amends the long title to make provision in it for the control of labour brokers and the registration of labour brokers' offices.

CLAUSE ELEVEN

This clause makes provision for the short title and the fixing in the Government Gazette of the date of the coming into operation of the Amendment Act.
Ingewolke hierdie wyssing kan die Minister van Manuske, na oorlegging met die party, by 'n gekies persoon wat al die partye aan-vaarbaar is, as bemiddelaar aanstel as hy van mening is dat sommige aan-vaarding van die geskikte sal bevorder. Tans moet die geskikte deur 'n nywerheidsraad of 'n vragstuk van vrystelling na die Nywerheidsraad in plaas van die Minister voorsiening van 'n nywerheidsraad of 'n vraagstuk van vrystelling na die Nywerheidsraad in plaas van die Minister.

Hierdie kloosule maak voorsiening vir appeltinge teen die beslissings van die Nywerheidsraad in plaas van die Minister.

Hierdie kloosule woord vir die regstry voor die Dierewet-Genootskap van Noord-Transvaal, wat voorsiening maak aan die diens van 'n misstraf van 12 maand, wat 'n opdrag vir die direkteur-generaal van die Nywerheidsraad of 'n nywerheidsraad in plaas van die Minister van Manuske verbind, en vir die regstry voor die regstuk van die Dierewet-Genootskap van Noord-Transvaal, wat voorsiening maak aan die diens van 'n misstraf van 24 maand, wat 'n opdrag vir die direkteur-generaal van die Nywerheidsraad of 'n nywerheidsraad in plaas van die Minister van Manuske verbind.

Die geheimehoudingsbepaling word in ooreenstemming met die Deurekanselarijas van Manuske, wat voorsiening maak aan die diens van 'n misstraf van 12 maand, wat 'n opdrag vir die directeurgeneraal van die Nywerheidsraad of 'n nywerheidsraad in plaas van die Minister van Manuske verbind.

Hierdie kloosule maak voorsiening vir appeltinge teen die beslissings van die Dierewet-Genootskap van Noord-Transvaal, wat voorsiening maak aan die diens van 'n misstraf van 12 maand, wat 'n opdrag vir die direkteur-generaal van die Nywerheidsraad of 'n nywerheidsraad in plaas van die Minister van Manuske verbind.
De doel van deze wijziging is om de kwalificaties van de werkgever te verhogen ten behoeve van de arbeidsmarkt. Dit doet de Hoofdinspecteur van de Werkgeversorganisatie, die gesteld is om de arbeidsmarkt en de werkgeversorganisatie te bevorderen. De wijziging van artikel 35 van de Hoofdinspecteur, wat betreft de bepaling van de bepaling van de arbeidsmarkten, bedoelt om in arbeidsmarkten een verhoogde kwaliteit van de arbeidsmarkten te waarborgen. De Hoofdinspecteur van de Werkgeversorganisatie kan echter niet in ieder geval de arbeidsmarkten en de arbeidsmarktenstelsel te stek waar geen nyhedsregistering van de arbeidsmarkten bestaat. Nieuwe wetgeving is de nyhedsregistering van de arbeidsmarkten, die betrekking heeft op de kwalificaties van de werkgever. De onderkantoor moet de nyhedsregistering van de arbeidsmarkten, om de kracht van de nyhedsregistering van de arbeidsmarkten te verhogen, in verbinding met de arbeidsmarktenstelsel. De kwalificaties van de werkgever als werkgever moet worden ingezien, en daarvoor moet de nyhedsregistering van de arbeidsmarkten en de arbeidsmarktenstelsel worden gevolgd. De kwalificaties van de werkgever als werkgever moet worden ingezien, en daarvoor moet de nyhedsregistering van de arbeidsmarkten en de arbeidsmarktenstelsel worden gevolgd. De kwalificaties van de werkgever als werkgever moet worden ingezien, en daarvoor moet de nyhedsregistering van de arbeidsmarkten en de arbeidsmarktenstelsel worden gevolgd.
KROONFELDEN

Het 59ste jubileum van KROONFELDEN is op 13 augustus 1982 in de Kerk van KROONFELDEN gevierd.

Aangezien de Kerk van KROONFELDEN in het kader van de Prodromus van de Nieuwe Testament en de Oude Testament gevierd wordt, is de Kerk een onderdeel van de protestantse kerkgenootschappen.

De Kerk van KROONFELDEN is een onderdeel van de protestantse kerkgenootschappen en wordt door de kerkgenootschappen beheerd.

De Kerk van KROONFELDEN is een onderdeel van de protestantse kerkgenootschappen en wordt door de kerkgenootschappen beheerd.

Beneden zijn de woorden "Die wijdopen van KROONFELDEN het kerkje der Reformatijsch protestantse kerk aanede."
REPUBLIEK VAN SUID-AFRIKA

VERKLArende MEMORANDUM

OOR DIE

WYSIGINGSWETSONTWERP OP ARBEIDSVERHOUDINGE, 1983

[W.P 5—'83]
Grafton's battle

Grafton's fight against the closed shop in the Natal furniture industry shows what a difficult issue this is becoming in labour relations in SA.

A provision in an agreement negotiated at the Industrial Council for the Furniture Industry (Natal) stipulates that workers in the industry must belong to the National Union of Furniture and Allied Workers, an affiliate of the Trade Union Council of SA (Tucsa).

Grafton tried last year to obtain an Industrial Court declaration that the provision was an unfair labour practice. It approached the court after three of its employees refused to join the Tucsa union, and the industrial council declined to grant the company further exemptions from the closed shop provision. Such a refusal meant that Grafton would have had to dismiss the workers (who had worked for it 16, 25 and 28 years respectively) if they persisted in their refusal to join the Tucsa union.

The court, however, took the view that Grafton had not followed the procedure laid down by the Labour Relations Act (LRA). This stipulated that the company should have referred the matter first to the industrial council. The next step that Grafton could have taken, if it disagreed with the council's decision, was to appeal to the Minister of Manpower.

Not surprisingly, Grafton was unhappy with this ruling. The company's legal representative had argued that it was inappropriate for the council to deal with the dispute because it was the very body whose competence was being questioned.

Grafton nevertheless decided to take the matter to the council. However, it appealed to the Minister after the council was not able to resolve the matter to the company's satisfaction.

A decision by the Minister may have then settled the matter. But just to make things more complicated, an amendment to the LRA earlier this year removed the Minister's power to grant exemptions from industrial council decisions — including those governing the closed shop. The authority to grant such exemptions was transferred to the Industrial Court.

A difference of opinion now exists over whether the Minister still has the authority to grant exemptions on matters referred to him before the amendment to the LRA came into effect on May 1. Grafton made its appeal to him before this date.

Some senior government men believe the Minister has the power to decide on issues referred to him before the LRA amendment, but the industrial council disagrees. It is seeking a Supreme Court declaration that the amendment does not apply to the company.

The effect of this legal challenge is that efforts to get the Minister to make a ruling on the Grafton appeal have been stalled. It now appears that a decision by the Minister on the Grafton appeal will have to be delayed, pending the outcome of the Supreme Court hearing on the other case.

There is a singular irony attached to this protracted process. If the Supreme Court prohibits the Minister from making a decision on matters referred to him before the LRA amendment, Grafton will be steered back in the direction of the Industrial Court — something that the industrial council resisted so fiercely in the first place.
Union told to submit records of members

The Union of General Workers' Union based in Vereeniging has been told to submit membership records to the Department of Manpower or appear in court.

Several unregistered trade unions have been approached over the last few weeks with requests to submit their financial and membership records. These were required only from registered unions until recent amendments to the Labour Relations Act.

The union's secretary, Mr. Philip Masa, said the union had received a warning from the Department of Manpower that it would be subpoenaed if it did not submit certain information.

Unions which refuse to comply with the department's requirements face a fine or jail term.

Senior Department of Manpower officials have said the requests for details are a minimum requirement under the Act and the department is in no way attempting to curb union activities.
Labour rule is criticised

Labour Correspondent

In an unusual move, the Chamber of Mines has criticised a key aspect of the labour law introduced in the wake of the Wecahn Commission report.

In his address to the chamber's annual meeting yesterday, its outgoing president, Mr. Willie Malan, criticised the clause in the labour law which allows the Industrial Court to take action against employers for "unfair labour practice."

The clause had "potential for considerable damage to labour relations", he said. The chamber wanted it changed so that a dispute of "interest" — for example, a wage dispute — would not fall within the definition of an "unfair labour practice. This should be left to negotiation rather than the law.

Meanwhile, the Anglo American Corporation said in its annual report yesterday that the emergence of black unions on the mines was "the most important development" in the industry over the past year.
Act damaged labour relations says Malan

UNFAIR labour practices implicit in the Labour Relations Act has caused "considerable damage" to labour relations and collective bargaining in South Africa.

In his presidential address to the Chamber of Mines, the outgoing president, Mr W W Malan, said that the application of the practice should therefore be handled with the greatest circumspection.

He said the "very unsatisfactory" definition of the practice was of much concern to the chamber, although it was intended as a measure of protection for labour against exploitation.

The chamber has asked that the notion of an unfair labour practice should apply only to conflicts of rights and not to be extended to conflicts of interest.

Conflicts of interest should be left to the ordinary process of negotiation between the parties, Mr Malan said.

Referring to labour reforms in the country, he said that they had resulted in very "real and significant" advances in the mining industry.

Mr Malan said achievements resulting from amended labour legislation included:

- The agreement reached with unions on the training of apprentices of all races in the full range of skilled artisan trades,
- The decision of the three official associations in the industry to open their ranks to all races,
- The agreement reached with the Underground Official's Association on the employment conditions of black, coloured and Asian officials.
warnings he had received in the past, and whether these had been preceded by thorough investigations.

The court expressed doubts about whether Van Zyl was guilty of misconduct, and focused attention on an International Labour Organisation recommendation on dismissal. It states “Before a decision to dismiss a worker for serious misconduct becomes finally effective, the worker should be given an opportunity to state his case promptly, with the assistance, where appropriate, of a person representing him.”

The court found that while Van Zyl was present at an initial disciplinary hearing, he was neither present nor represented at a second hearing. The court granted him interim reinstatement.

In the Matsheba case the court found that the company’s disciplinary procedure was unclear in that it seemed to indicate that group disciplinary hearings — something wanted by the workers, but rejected by management — were possible. In addition, the company had recently changed hands and the appeal procedure stipulated an appeal to a person who no longer existed.

Overtime work

“In finding for the applicants, it is arguable that the court was indicating that the company was charged with the duty of ensuring that the disciplinary procedure was fair and comprehensible,” says the lawyer.

The whole question of employees’ obligation to work overtime was raised in this case. The court noted that it was a condition of employment that employees should work overtime when instructed to do so. In addition, as required by the relevant legislation, the company had obtained permission from a Department of Manpower inspector to allow employees to work more than the statutory maximum of 10 hours overtime a week.

However, it appears the court implicitly recognised the voluntary character of overtime and the fact that both the ordinary courts and the legislature have sought to protect employees from overly burdensome terms in employment contracts. The court placed great emphasis on the fact that a practice seemed to have developed whereby an employee in the company was entitled to give reasons why he was unable to work overtime.

The employees — whose dismissal arose from their refusal to work overtime — claimed they had told the company that they could not do overtime at short notice because they had other important commitments.

The court appears to have indirectly criticised the company for not informing them of the reason for the need to work overtime. It found that because of the short notice of such overtime, it appeared that the employees’ failure to comply with the instruction could not be said to be unreasonable.
Official clarifies need for displaying Conditions of Employment Act forms

By CLAIRE PICKARD-CAMBRIDGE

EMPLOYERS of both farm workers and domestic workers in private households who have received forms saying they are legally required to have a copy of the Basic Conditions of Employment Act, 1983, on their premises, do not have to do so.

This was confirmed today by the Divisional Inspector of the Department of Manpower in Port Elizabeth, Mr J C Greyling.

The question arose when a group of domestic employers in Port Elizabeth received forms from an undertaking calling itself South African Regulations, in Excom, urging them to send off payment in exchange for summarised copies of the Act.

Mr Greyling stressed it was only employers in commerce and industry who had to keep these summaries on their premises since the Act came into operation on June 1, according to Government Gazette No 8558 of February 23, 1983.

Any of these employers failing to comply would be contravening Section 21 of the Act and would be liable, on conviction, to a maximum fine of R500 or imprisonment for a maximum period of six months.

Employers were also required to make the summaries available to employees for perusal in the event of such a request.

But farm workers and domestic workers in private households were not defined as “employees” in terms of the Act and their employers did not have to comply with its regulations, Mr Greyling said.

Section 2 of the Act says the following are not regarded as “employees”:

- People involved in charitable operations for which they are not entitled to remuneration.
- People employed by the State or any organisation funded by the State.
- Any person employed by a control board under the Marketing Act of 1968.
- Anyone employed by an institution as defined in the Children’s Act, 1960, or in the Cultural Institutions Act, 1969.
- Anyone employed by a welfare organisation registered in terms of the National Welfare Act which receives State aid.
- People employed on a vessel at sea in terms of the Merchant Shipping Act of 1951.
- Anyone temporarily employed at any agricultural, horticultural, industrial or similar show.
Four years after
Wiehahn unions are
finding their feet

FOUR years after the Wiehahn Commission’s recommendations on labour legislation were submitted to the Government the number of recognition agreements between trade unions and companies has grown by leaps and bounds.

Within the first seven months of this year far more recognition agreements were reached than during the same period last year, according to the Institute for Industrial Relations.

Agreements are being reached so rapidly the institute admits that it could have missed some agreements which did not appear in newspapers.

So far this year there have been 22 known agreements between unions and companies. Recognition of unions follows from the first part of the Wiehahn report. On this crucial point it reads: “Trade unions and individuals should be afforded full freedom of association, and any trade union, regardless of the composition of its membership, should be eligible for registration and participation in bargaining and dispute prevention and settlement machinery.”

The recognition agreement is the first and major step for a union towards full representation of its members. But in almost all the cases the unions have had to sweat for this victory. In some cases it came only after a strike and in others after the union had proved that it had a majority at the particular company.

Most unionists and union members regard the signing of a recognition agreement as a victory worth celebrating. It makes things easier for the previously harassed union organisers. It means the organiser will be able to enter the premises of the company with the full knowledge of management. However, hereafter the agreements differ. The recognition agreement paves the way for other agreements like bargaining rights on wages and working conditions, grievances, disciplinary and retrenchment procedures.

In the past when a union was not recognised, workers were reluctant to identify with it. This was because workers discovered that those working and trying to recruit for a union faced dismissal or victimisation. Recognition means that their activities will now be overt and no longer covert.

Management on the other hand could not bring themselves to sit down at a table with workers or their representatives and negotiate with them. To them the fate of the black worker was in their hands and since they had done him a favour by hiring him Managers, foremen, indunas and bossoyabs had the right to decide where to give a worker an increment and the amount.

And if the worker was arbitrarily dismissed that was it.

The right of a union to be granted recognition if it has a majority has been strengthened by recent decisions of the Industrial Court. One view is that an employer should have a free right to decide whether to recognise a representative union, and should be allowed to test its strength, and the other view is that an employer has a definite obligation to recognise and negotiate with a representative union.

With more and more workers perceiving the advantages of a recognition agreement there has been a rise in black membership of unions. And new unions are born almost every second day. This is because they have now been granted statutory bargaining machinery.

Employers have since realised that it is better to avoid a long labour dispute which affects production by recognising a union once it shows it has a majority.
New Safety Act a boon to all workers

By Carolyn Dempster, Labour Reporter


"For the first time, provision has been made for the health and safety protection of virtually every worker in the country, and in addition employers will be held responsible for introducing safety programmes or modifying existing ones.

These are two of the key points highlighted by the general manager of NOSA, Mr Bunny Matthysen, in a pamphlet designed to explain the wide-reaching changes which the Act will effect.

Other major points:
- The Act covers every worker in employment except those who fall under the Mines and Works Act and the Explosives Act. This means that people in commerce, the civil service, educational institutions and even domestic employees are all protected in terms of the new Act.
- Employers with a workforce of 20 or more will be required to appoint safety representatives and, in the case of large businesses with more than 100 employees, a safety committee will have to be chosen from the ranks of the employees on the ratio 1:100. This is the first time in any South African legislation that the establishment of a safety committee is a requirement.
- In future, nobody may offer for sale machinery or safety equipment that does not comply with prescribed safety and performance standards.

This is a major innovation as under the old Factories and Machinery, and Building Work Act of 1941, manufacturers and merchants could market and sell equipment without adhering to any standards. There was nothing the purchaser could do if he found the equipment to be unsafe.

It is noted that "safe" is widely interpreted to mean importation, exhibiting, exchange, donation or leasing.
- The cost of complying with the Act and providing personal protective equipment will have to be borne by the employer. The employer is also responsible for ensuring that his employees can follow the provisions of the Act in working hours.
- The employer can be held responsible for the acts or omissions of employees, and similarly, a representative of the State can be held responsible and charged for any act or omission which runs contrary to the Act.

All of the existing regulations under the old Factories Act are still applicable as the new regulations will be introduced on a phased basis.
Work situation is the key race relations crucible

The deep economic division between white “aboveness” and black “underness” which was encouraged to develop over the past century is the most regrettable of all the divisions existing in South Africa, says Dr Wiehahn.

Tracing the pattern of labour relations established after the first Dutch settlers landed at the Cape in 1652, he comes to the conclusion that white superiority, chauvinism and colonialism soon “Europeanised” the country, while blacks remained “Afrikaner” This dichotomy intensified as time moved on.

In the course of centuries whites admirably succeeded in industrialising the country. But, like the Europeans in the rest of Africa during the period of colonialisation, they disdainfully failed to involve the blacks in the process. It was as if the whites were jealous of their skills and knowledge with the blacks in South Africa.

It was a subconscious awareness that as long as the black man continued to “slumber” on in his African type of “dark ages” he would constitute no danger to the white man’s economic survival in the country.

Of all the many divisions existing in the country, none is so regrettable and in the long term prejudicial, if not suicidal, to whites than this attitude.

History teaches that man does not learn from history, according to Dr Wiehahn. This realisation speaks strongly from the words of the Latin poet who lamented the fall of Rome, as follows “Rome would never have died had we Romans but made Romans of all the people within her walls.”

The same applied to the South African labour scene until the Government, on the recommendations of the Wiehahn and Ruéert Commissions, made a complete break with the old labour dispensation which categorically excluded blacks from the industrial relations system.

The effect of the recommendations of both reports was to bring the country’s labour system more in line with the basic principles of a free enterprise economic system, that is, freedom of participation and competition in the labour system, non-discrimination and merit, and minimum State intervention on mobility, both vertical and horizontal, of workers in the system.

Dr Wiehahn points out that while the different race groups were segregated socially, educationally and politically, integration in the economic sphere had been on the increase since the previous century.

South Africa did not have separate economies for whites, blacks, coloureds and Asians, but a single one for all the population groups.

In this single economy all people worked together — the whites still in the “superior” or skilled jobs, and the blacks mainly in the “inferior” or unskilled positions, with the other non-white workers spread somewhere in-between.

It was the sweat of the mental and muscular energy of all these workers that went into the production of a bar of gold, into the manufacture of a motorcar, into the running of trains etc.

The undeniable truth, according to Dr Wiehahn, was that the work situation had long ago become the most important crucible for race relations in the country.

For eight hours a day, 2000 hours a year or 90,000 hours during a 45-year career, people of all race groups rub shoulders and share the same space — be it in a factory, plant, shop, or office — to keep the country’s industrial and commercial machine going.

The withdrawal of any group would force the machine to a standstill.

Other factors necessitating the break with the old dispensation, according to Dr Wiehahn were,

- Drastic decline in the white birthrate which, linked to the high economic growth rate, increasing multinational development and the resultant shortage of skilled manpower, dramatically boosted the industrial migration of rural blacks to the cities where their energies were in high demand.
- Black trade unions, with large numbers of newly-arrived black workers and excluded from the industrial relations system, were susceptible to outside influences and were in fact receiving increasing financial and moral support from international sources.

However, those who believed that the scrapping of statutory job reservation would leave many white workers without work because they would be replaced by lower-paid black workers, were proved wrong.

Instead, the demand for skilled workers continued to rise and no white has so far lost his job because of an employer’s preference for blacks.

The changes which have taken place in industrial relations have not only been rapid and dramatic, but have also made many apprehensive of what the future may hold in this dynamic field.

Based on developments up to the present, according to him, it is possible to point to the following future developments:

- The industrial relations scene will certainly be used as an avenue for the channeling of political energy and aspirations of the urban and industrialised blacks. This would have happened even if the 1978 changes had not been introduced.
- The point is that changes in the industrial system have far outstripped reformation in other areas of the South African scene.

- The process of de-racialisation will continue because of the influence of the various codes of labour practices applicable to multinational companies, the Government policy with regard to the system, the concerted efforts of management to de-racialise, and finally the progress by and advancement of blacks.

- Resistance to change and racial attitudes, particularly among white workers, will harden in the short term.

It is difficult for them to accept that black workers have suddenly changed into human beings with exactly the same aspirations, ideals and stakes in the economy as whites.

The result would be that white trade unionism will grow and the black trade unions, will become more sophisticated, better organised and perhaps militant on white job security and advancement.

Human intellect and human laws cannot prevent the tragedy of those who will not be persuaded of the necessity for change in society.

Fate has dealt us a mixed hand of cards. Dr Wiehahn says, some good and some bad.

Only good sense and faith in the future of our country will teach us to play it well.
A DRAFT labour law, which will remove from the industrial court the power to decide whether employers can be exempted from industrial agreements setting out minimum wages and conditions, has been published by the Department of Manpower.

The Bill would transfer this power to the Minister of Manpower, thus reversing an earlier decision to remove it from the Minister and give it to the court. The move has already drawn angry reactions from unions.

They say it will make it easier for employers in outlying areas to escape the terms of industrial agreements, which are negotiated by registered unions and employer associations.

And indications are that employer associations may also oppose the Bill on the grounds that it would give some companies an edge over others by enabling them to escape minimum wage agreements.

But Dr Piet van der Merwe, director-general of Manpower, yesterday defended the draft Bill, saying it had been drawn up to cater for the needs of small employers who were starting out in business.

He said it would be of particular advantage to black and coloured employers.

Industrial agreements setting out minimum wages are often extended to cover all factories in a particular area.

Employers who believe they cannot pay the wages laid down may apply to the council for exemption and, if it refuses, may appeal to the industrial court.

If the Bill becomes law, they will be able to appeal to the Minister, rather than the court, thus reversing a recent change to the law.

Dr van der Merwe said yesterday the Department did not believe the court should be burdened with a "trivial" matter like exemptions from agreements "which is not a judicial function."
LABOUR LEGISLATION

Power to the Minister

A new draft amendment to the Labour Relations Act, which proposes to take away functions granted to the Industrial Court earlier this year, has been published for comment. It is likely to provoke an angry response from unions, while many employers will be closely examining its implications.

The main effect of the proposed amendment appears to be that the power to make rulings on appeals by parties who feel aggrieved by certain industrial council decisions will be transferred from the court to Manpower Minister Fanie Botha. This is a remarkable change from the arrangements made by the Minister himself, who handled such appeals until earlier this year, when the Act was amended to transfer this power to the court. An explanatory memorandum on that amendment stated that it was intended to "further give effect to the government's decision regarding the transfer of judicial and quasi-judicial labour decisions to the Industrial Court."

Has government decided to clip the court's wings? Some unions have feared that this would result from pressures on government by many employers who are becoming alarmed about its increasing influence.

Sources in the Department of Manpower deny that this is the case. They say the new amendment, published in last Friday's Government Gazette, has been prompted by the feeling that certain powers over essentially non-legal matters which have been granted to the court should be transferred back to the Minister, who is the more appropriate person to deal with them. Further, they say that they have realised that the Act, in its present form, discourages job creation and the development of the small business sector.

Quick appeals

They point to problems confronting an employer who is struggling to get his business going and who cannot afford to pay wages in line with an industrial council agreement covering him. Under the Act, an application for an exemption from this agreement should be made to the council whose function is to grant exemptions. However, one employer faces the prospect of a costly court action to obtain an exemption from the agreement should the council refuse to grant him one. The Department therefore believes that it makes sense to provide a quicker and more cost-free channel through which such appeals can be made.

It seems the proposed amendment has also been prompted by arguments emanating from employers in rural areas. They say they have to pay wage rates which may be appropriate for the cities — where employees face high housing, transport and other costs — but which are unrealistically high for rural areas, and are discouraging industrial growth in these regions.

Meanwhile, department officials emphasise that appeals for exemptions from closed shop provisions in industrial council agreements must still go through the court.

The whole question of exemptions from industrial council agreements is a sensitive one for both unions and employers. Unions don't like exemptions being granted because this results in lower pay for their members. Employers tend to argue that exemptions can result in unfair competition which could jeopardise established interests. But the government needs to reinforce their credibility.

A spokesman for the Steel and Engineering Industries Federation of SA (Seifsa) says that the amendment is being studied closely. He says employers have legitimate fears that exemptions can cause unfair competition which could jeopardise established interests. But he emphasises that the issues are complex and many factors need to be taken into account.

Comments or representations on the draft Bill must be lodged with the department within the next two weeks.
GOVERNMENT safety regulations seem to be running into flak from both sides. Recently, the Government's new Machinery and Occupational Safety Act was attacked by visiting American Mr Barry Castlemain for not providing enough protection for workers.

Now the Department of Manpower has asked its new Advisory Council on Safety to appoint a committee to look at aspects of draft regulations drawn up in terms of the new Act. This follows a meeting between it and key employer groups and seems to follow complaints by the employers that some standards in the regulations were too costly and impracticable.

It remains to be seen whether this will lead to major changes.

But a key criticism of our safety laws has been that they are passed without consultation with black workers, and the new Advisory Council has not a single black worker representative.

So, while both black workers and employers might object to some safety regulations, only one side takes part in official decision-making on safety.
Concern over new labour legislation

BY CLAIRE PICKARD-CAMBRIDGE

DEEP CONCERN was expressed in Port Elizabeth today by the president of the Trade Union Council of South Africa (Tusca), Dr Anna Scheepers, about the Government's plan to reduce the potency of industrial council agreements.

Addressing about 550 delegates at the opening of Tusca's 29th annual conference, Dr Scheepers said the latest proposed amendments to the Labour Relations Act would result in the State intervening in labour relations in a negative fashion.

"The apparent aim of the proposed amendments is to remove existing protection for workers, since the Minister of Manpower could override even the parties to a council and grant exemption from the provisions of any agreement they might enter into."

The Minister would also be able to publish a Government notice excluding certain areas from the provisions of an agreement, she said.

Inflation and recession had continued to wreak havoc on the lives of workers.

Wage adjustments had not kept pace with the country's galloping inflation rate, averaging 13.7% during the first five months of this year.

"Unemployment has increased to crisis levels and retrenchments, lay-offs and short-time seems to go on and on."
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She said massive increases in food prices were looming. She appealed to the Government to remove General Sales Tax from basic foodstuffs.

Tusca had also noticed the "high-handed and cynical fashion" whereby MPs had awarded themselves considerable increases, she said.

She said Tusca had experienced phenomenal growth in membership over the past two years, from 370 000 in September, 1981, to 480 000 at present.

One of its achievements had been moves resulting in the extension of unemployment insurance cover to workers from, and in, newly independent homelands.

She said that had been criticized by those who believed workers preferred "shadowy political posturing" to real improvements in unemployment benefits.

It was also unfortunate that the initiative for reform shown by the Department of Manpower had not been taken up in other Government quarters.
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The rights of migrant workers to be permanent residents in urban areas after the qualifying period should not be eroded by pending legislation.
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Mr. Arthur Grabelntry's general secretary of the union suggested that his stand was not an attempt to thwart the NMC report. He says the NMC report was not expected because of the time and the lack of consultation. He also says that there will be a need for training and support to decontrol registration to be carried out by trade unions. The necessary legislation should be introduced in the next session of Parliament, and the necessary consultation with some unions, particularly the conservative unions, should be carried out. Since both employers and unions have been seeking some means of control and not a "neutral" process, it is not clear how this recommendation should be implemented.
BLACK LOCAL GOVERNMENT

A crucial test looms

Now that the white referendum is over, PM P W Botha faces a second crucial test of his reform programme. Black Local Authorities Act elections for the creation of independent local authorities to replace community councils are a key element in government's attempt to accommodate the political aspirations of urban blacks. The elections will be staged in 29 black urban residential areas from November 26 to December 2. Of these, 24 will be for fully autonomous town councils and the remainder for partially autonomous village councils. They will be the crucial determiner of whether urban blacks will indeed be satisfied with local government autonomy when their right to "first-tier" political expression is limited to the homelands.

But while the Department of Co-operation and Development (CAD) prepares feverishly for the elections, question-marks still hang over the all-important issue of how these local authorities will be financed.

One thing is clear: The findings of the Permanent Finance Liaison Committee, appointed to investigate additional sources of revenue for local authorities after the release of the Browne Committee's report on local government, will not be available before the elections. This is bound to be seen by many as a serious omission.

Until new community council finances have been unerringly linked to those of the administration boards governing them, the Black Community Development Bill, which is due to come before Parliament next year, makes provision for the administration boards to become development boards. These will take over the administration boards' manpower functions. They will also play an important role in the development of new black residential areas as well as exercising control over these community councils which do not have enough resources to become independent.

In terms of the Black Local Authorities Act, the new local authorities will assume the administration boards' responsibilities in the townships. Both new bodies, however, face the problem of finding sufficient sources of revenue.

According to John Hitge, CAD's director of local government, administration boards' main sources of revenue at present are the labour levies paid by employers, surpluses from liquor and sorghum beer sales, interest on fixed deposits, township site rentals and service charges, trading site rentals, and administration fees charged to community councils.

Since their 1982-83 financial year, administration boards have also been paid a sum from the Treasury for "remanning services." This is intended to compensate them for services rendered on behalf of central government. Such services include operations like running labour bureaus, welfare and health services, and aid centres. In the 1982-83 year, this sum was R15m. This year R45m will be made available.

What are the revenue prospects for the new local authorities? The intended sale of 350,000 units at discount prices in the Great House Sale which started in June means that they will not inherit income from rents on these houses. The houses are presently owned by either the administration boards or the Department of Community Development. But the authorities will in all likelihood acquire the right to the immovable property owned by the boards.

Revenue will be obtained from the transfer of movable assets from the community councils and administration boards (although they will also have to absorb the councils' and boards' liabilities). It will also be obtained from household service charges, as well as rentals from unoccupied houses, hostels and trading sites.

Income from the sale of liquor will not be made available. Thus is the result of government's decision that township bottle stores presently owned by the administration boards must be sold off to private black entrepreneurs.

It is not clear what will happen to income generated from sorghum beer. For the meantime these moneys will go to the new authorities. But the entire sorghum beer issue is being investigated. Hitge says the investigation, which focuses on the question of rationalisation and privatisation of this industry, is in an advanced stage. To the FM it seems certain that it will be transferred to private enterprise.

Hitge tells the FM that with few exceptions, the 29 new authorities will be able to meet their running expenses. This does not include capital projects, which will have to be funded by loans. Inevitably, the authorities will be forced to raise service charges to keep pace with inflation. This is a potential political flashpoint.

Hitge says any shortfalls in the authorities' running expenses will be made up from the sorghum beer surpluses. He also says the net profit from sorghum beer amounts to some R30m a year. Some observers doubt that this sum will be enough to cover shortfalls. Hitge, however, is confident that it will.

As the time for the elections fast approaches, there appears to be too many financial questions which have not been answered adequately. CAD is asking the black electorate to make a leap of faith without providing hard facts to back claims that the local authorities have a rosy future.

LABOUR LAW

Status quo queries

A recent decision of the Industrial Court raises intriguing questions about the status quo orders to aggrieved parties.

In terms of Section 43 of the Labour Relations Act, the court is empowered to provide temporary relief to applicants who claim they have been unfairly dismissed — pending a more thorough examination of the issue. The effect is that they will be temporarily reinstated, prior to a possible industrial council or conciliation board settlement, or a later court hearing on the dismissal.

Successful applications by unions for such orders during the past year have had the salutary effect of compelling many employers to adhere to equitable disciplinary, dismissal and grievance procedures. In addition, the fact that Section 43 provides such a potent remedy has meant that a growing number of unions have viewed legal action as a more attractive option than strike action in efforts to combat unfair dismissals.

Financial Mail November 4 1983
Now some employers have begun to complain that it is far too easy to obtain a status quo order. The recent case of Wahl v AECI may have worrying implications for both unions and employers. In April this year a fight broke out between two AECI employees—Kenneth Graham Wahl and a black worker. The company held an inquiry into the incident under its disciplinary procedure and decided to dismiss them both. When Wahl's later appeal under the procedure was unsuccessful, he applied to the Minister of Manpower for a statutory conciliation board and to the Industrial Court for temporary reinstatement.

The court decided in favour of Wahl. It said that suspension for a month, and not dismissal, was the appropriate penalty for his misconduct. It therefore ordered that his reinstatement should come into effect a month after his dismissal. The dispute which arose from his dismissal was later settled at a conciliation board.

**Challenge**

An important implication for employers appears to be that even when management has closely adhered to established disciplinary procedure, its decision to dismiss an employee can be successfully challenged through the use of Section 43. In addition, although the court was faced only with an application for temporary relief, it showed an apparent willingness to actually adjudicate on the dispute by pronouncing what it believed to be the appropriate sanction for an employee's misconduct.

Unions cannot be too happy with the case, either. The reason for this lies in the fact that the Act makes a clear distinction between different kinds of disputes which are eligible for Section 43 relief. Section 43(1)(a) refers to the actual or proposed dismissal or suspension of an employee, Section 43(1)(b) to the actual or proposed alteration of terms and conditions of employment, and Section 43(1)(c) to the commission of an alleged unfair labour practice.

Some successful cases for reinstatement of an unfairly dismissed employee have in the past been based on Section 43(1)(c). And there has been one very good reason why an aggrieved party should plead that an unfair labour practice has been involved. Thus is because, should the dispute not be resolved by an industrial council or by conciliation board after temporary reinstatement has been granted, it can be referred back to the court for final adjudication. Thus is not the case with Section 43(1)(a) and (b) disputes. They cannot be referred to the court after being dealt with by an industrial council or conciliation board, unless the disputing parties specifically agree to this.

Some labour lawyers have, therefore, been concerned by the court's decision that Wahl's case was based not on the commission of an unfair labour practice but that it was a Section 43(1)(a) application. They fear this may set a precedent which will dictate that many future applications for the reinstatement of unfairly dismissed employees must be dealt with under Section 43(1)(a). A consequence will be that even though such employees gain temporary reinstatement through a status quo order, they may find it extremely difficult to gain access to the court for final adjudication of the dispute.

Meanwhile, the court in recent weeks issued a number of important judgments. These include:

- A rejection of a Section 43 application by the Media Workers' Association of SA (Mwasa) for the reinstatement of more than 100 workers dismissed by The Star newspaper last year. The court's written judgment—which may provide useful guidelines about employers' rights to dismiss striking workers en masse—has still to be released. Mwasa has meanwhile rejected the newspaper's offer of an ex gratia severance payment of about N101,000 to 195 dismissed employees. The Star had no legal obligation to make such a payment, but decided to offer it in view of the long service of many of the employees, and the financial hardship they have suffered.

- The court's rejection of a request by Barlows Manufacturing that it allow the Appeal Court to challenge its power to reinstate workers if they have been dismissed with proper notice. The court said the company's contention that the court cannot reinstate these workers had "no reasonable prospect" of being upheld by the Appeal Court.
BAN JOBS APARTHEID,

SAYS NIC WIEHAN

THE SOUTH African Government should outlaw racial discrimination in the labour market and make it criminally punishable, Professor Nic Wiehahn said this week.

Prof Wiehahn, who is attached to the Unisa school of business leadership and is chairman of the Wiehahn Commission, said that it was "embarrassing to admit" to the world community that despite the progress made in the labour field here, job reservations still existed in some circles.

He said job reservations have been exposed in the mining industry and it was up to employers and trade unions to negotiate that it be phased out in terms of his commission's recommendations.

He said Parliament will have to act soon to bring the industry into line with others in which racial discrimination no longer existed.

Discrimination based on facts, race and colour should be outlawed and made criminally punishable, he said.

He conceded that he will be the last person to expect the legislature to do the impossible as he was fully aware of this matter in the mining industry and of the political vulnerability of the government.

"But I do not think that at the same stage, in the near future the legislature will have to make any further initiative to bring that industry into line with the rest where a statutory job reservation no longer exist."
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By GEOFFREY ALLEN

CARL NICHOLAS and Hanneke Louwrens, aged 22 and 21 respectively, lived in Pretoria. They had been planning to get married for the past year, but now they had decided to go on their honeymoon in Europe. They were looking forward to exploring new cities and trying new foods.

Research continues on education needs

By HELENE ZAMPAKIS

The Human Sciences Research Council's education research programme, which included the De Lange Commission's work, is now moving into a second phase of assessing the Government's educational policies. The commission, chaired by Prof. P.J. de Lange, yesterday heard that it was satisfied with the Government's new educational direction.

Railways cuts show there's plenty of fat

By GERALD WILLS

Pretoria Bureau

The meeting also discussed the possibility of introducing a first-ever system of direct bargaining between employers and unions. However, the council felt that more research and discussion were needed on this. But the regulation was planned. On the measure to make employees exempt from minimum wages, Dr. Bredenkamp said the interests of employees in rural areas had been taken into consideration. He added that the government was not fully represented on the discussion committee and that the resolutions for this could be made.
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The Human Sciences Research Council's education research programme, which included the De Lange Commission's work, is now moving into a second phase of assessing the Government's educational policies. The commission, chaired by Prof. P.J. de Lange, yesterday heard that it was satisfied with the Government's new educational direction.

Railways cuts show there's plenty of fat

By GERALD WILLS

Pretoria Bureau
Curbs likely for industrial court

By STEVEN FRIEDMAN
Labour Correspondent

LEGISLATION to curb the powers of the Government's Industrial Court—which has played a major role in labour relations this year—is likely to be introduced.

At a Press conference yesterday after a key summit of all the country's 106 industrial councils in Pretoria, the Director-General of Manpower, Dr Piet van der Merwe, said a law on the court's powers could be introduced in 1983.

It is likely to strictly define an "unfair labour practice"—the concept trade unions have used to win unprecedented bargaining rights from employers in the court.

This follows mounting employer concern over the role of the court. Employers have argued that, because the definition of an "unfair practice" is very wide, the court's power to make law by setting precedents is too great.

Dr Van der Merwe said yesterday that, at the meeting, industrial councils expressed concern at the wide definition of an "unfair practice" in the law.

He said councils felt that this "created problems" for them "and could make them irrelevant!"

According to Dr Van der Merwe, delegates to the meeting believed the problem could be met by strictly defining an "unfair practice".

In a statement, he said delegates believed that "greater clarity was desired on what the conciliatory function of the court should be."

Dr Anna Scheepers, immediate past president of Tucsa, said worker representatives at the meeting agreed that this change was necessary.

See Page 2
Defining good faith

Since the Industrial Court placed an apparent onus on employers to bargain in "good faith" with a representative union, question-marks have hung over defining exactly what is meant by this concept.

Now, in a significant dispute, which could be headed for the court, the issue has been brought up by an employer against a trade union. Howick company BTR Sarmcol has declared a dispute with the Metal and Allied Workers' Union (Mawu). The company charges that the union has committed an unfair labour practice for failing to bargain in good faith with it.

Sarmcol has requested the Minister of Manpower to appoint a conciliation board to settle the dispute. If the board does not succeed in doing so within 30 days, the matter may go before the court. The outcome of such a case will have major implications for SA industry.

At issue is a dispute over severance pay for a number of retrenched workers which arose after Sarmcol agreed to negotiate a recognition agreement with Mawu. The union has demanded that the retrenched workers receive two weeks' severance pay for each year worked. It wants to negotiate the pay issue at the same time as the recognition agreement.

The company argues that the issues are different in nature and that Mawu is being inflexible for refusing to separate them. This refusal, it says, is what constitutes the lack of good faith.

Mawu denies these claims. It says it has already reduced its demands for severance pay from four to two weeks, and indicated that it will fight the case if it gets to the Industrial Court.
hake off trade shackles

trading partner of Zimbabwe's determination to on its wealthy neighbour, both in Africa and export from Harare

the downward trend could have fallen below 10% of the total foreign trade.
ago barely exceeds Z$25m. Industrialists at the Harare meeting hammered away at the need to improve the investment climate, with economist John Robertson delivering an outspoken paper in which he called for substantial deregulation of the economy and a return to reliance on market forces.

Unfortunately, no government ministers were there to hear the private sector economists — and representatives of the Central Bank and the Ministry of Finance — calling for export-led growth. "Too much of the discussion was bogged down over how Zimbabwe might attract foreign investment. It was difficult to avoid the conclusion that Zimbabwean industry is naively unrealistic in its expectations that the US cavalry, in the guise of foreign investment, will arrive in the nick of time to pull its chestnuts out of the fire."

The good news, though, was the dialogue between private and public sectors — the second such session in only a week, reflecting what business hopes is a more pragmatic attitude within the government. But on the economic front itself, there was little immediate optimism.

As Harare sweltered in the November sun, farmers’ faces grew longer at the last start to the season with little rain having fallen in the main crop areas. University economist Tony Hawkins predicted an upturn in the second half of 1984. "Provided there are good rains and the fragile and peestrian world economic recovery remains on course" — two very big provisos.

in my opinion

services, and that your employer must perceive that excess of worth over cost.

Any subscriber to that ethic would conclude that the employer is obviously the appropriate judge of the question whether your services should be dispensed with or retained. The legislature ought to impose some form of statutory constraint on the employer's freedom of action in regard to the arbitrary termination of the services of his employees. However, the function of the court should be to decide not whether the employer acted correctly in coming to the decision to dismiss an employee, but whether that decision is one that could reasonably have been reached if the court concludes that it could, the court should uphold the employer's decision, even if the court, had it been the employer, would or might have come to a different conclusion.

This jurisdiction of the court would be tantamount to the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court to review the proceedings of an administrative or quasi-judicial body. The Supreme Court will not interfere in the result of the proceedings under review unless it comes to the conclusion that the tribunal whose proceedings are under review misapplied or failed to apply its mind to the matter or acted in bad faith. Further, the Supreme Court will not interfere with the findings of fact of the tribunal whose proceedings are under review unless they are clearly wrong, or were made in bad faith.

What has happened to date, however, is that the Industrial Court has placed itself in the position of the employer and treated reinstatement applications as if the court were the person or body in the employer's hierarchy responsible for discipline, and vested with the right of dismissing workers. In one recent case the court found that the employer ought, instead of dismissing a worker, to have exacted a penalty that it would have been unlawful for the employer to exact.

suspension for a month. On the basis of this finding, the court ordered the reinstatement of the worker in employment with effect from a date one month after his dismissal. Clearly, the court intended that the suspension should have been without pay, since its order was designed to have that effect.

This should not be construed as implied criticism of the court, but rather of the legislature for conferring on it such an impractically wide and all-embracing jurisdiction. Suspension, whether with or without pay, requires the employer to keep his service available to the employer, and therefore if the suspension is without pay it is against public morals and unlawful. It is nothing more nor less than slavery.

Retrenchment

As far as I am aware, the court has not yet laid down retrenchment guidelines. But if the court continues to adopt the attitude that employment in private enterprise ought to be regarded as a sheltered occupation, it may be expected to interfere in both the decision to retrench and the criteria used in the selection of employees for retrenchment.

If, however cautious fears are realised, the court will favour full employment in favour of retrenchment, and thereby concern itself in the making of management decisions it is hardly qualified to make, such as building inventory which cannot be sold, working short time (with the resultant underutilisation of often expensive equipment), or the like.

As regards the selection of employees for retrenchment, the trade unions argue hotly against using the "opportunity" of retrenchment to apply discipline, thereby confusing the application of discipline with the assessment of merit. If they had their way, retrenchment would take place on a strictly "last in, first out" basis (subject to some qualification in favour of a migrant worker). By virtue of length of service, is about to obtain his "Rikhoto rights". What happened to the concept of reward for meritorious and dedicated service?

The assessment of the relative worth of an employee as opposed to his cost is one that only the employer, being at the workplace, can undertake. The validity of this assessment as a criterion for selection of workers for retrenchment is likely to be tested before the court soon.

If the court negates the validity of that criterion, it will be equivalent to the acceptance of the, to me, undesirable, ethic that mediocrity rules in the workplace. If the court accepts the validity of that criterion, it must surely concede that it is one that can be best be measured and applied by the employer.

There is a crying need for the urgent amendment of the ruling labour relations dispensation in order to restore to employers the jurisdiction that they have traditionally enjoyed over the behaviour, composition and discipline of their workforces, while at the same time accepting some degree of control over arbitrary action. The need for such amendment appears to have been recognised at the recent conference of industrial councils. Its urgency was not, a department spokesman said the amendments could not be effected before 1985. Industrialists, who are hamstrung under the present dispensation, cannot afford that long a delay.

In my opinion, the necessary amendments are:

1. A more precise definition of the concept "unfair labour practice," and
2. The amendment of sections 43 and 46(9) of the Labour Relations Act, to limit the jurisdiction of the court to that of a court of review rather than a court of appeal. From this would flow an acceptance of the employer's version of facts in dispute, unless sound reasons exist to doubt the employer's veracity, in which event evidence ought to be led and cross-examination allowed.
Employers who have become nervous about the rising number of union victories at the Industrial Court will be comforted by the outcome of the court battle between the Media Workers’ Association of SA (Mwasa) and The Star newspaper.

In March this year, 209 black workers were dismissed by The Star for taking part in a strike. They had refused to work unless a fellow union member — Oupa Msimang — was reinstated, pending an appeal against his dismissal for allegedly threatening the life of a supervisor. After failing to persuade the newspaper’s management to re-employ the strikers, the union sought their reinstatement through a court application under Section 45 of the Labour Relations Act.

This court action was significant because it raised important questions about the application of disciplinary and grievance procedures — and the ability of an employer to dismiss striking workers en masse. In October, the court announced that it had rejected the union’s reinstatement application. But it has only been during the past week that copies of the full, written judgment have finally become available. The reasoning will soothe many employers who have begun to argue that they are hamstringed by unions’ increasingly effective use of Section 45 status quo orders.

The union had claimed that management had precipitated a work stoppage by the irregular manner in which it dismissed Msimang. But the court has determined that the workers did, indeed, take part in a strike, as defined by the Labour Relations Act. Further, according to some observers, it seems to have endorsed the traditional view that the existence of an alleged unfair labour practice is not necessarily a protection against dismissal of employees who take part in a strike.

An important issue at stake in this case was the legal status of the newspaper’s disciplinary policy and procedure and its grievance procedure. Long before the dispute, the newspaper’s management had submitted copies of these to Mwasa, but got no response beyond an indication that they had been referred to the union’s lawyers.

The court found that this therefore made the formal implementation of the policy and the procedures by management “a unilateral and subsequently futile operation.”

However, the court found that there had, indeed, been informal adherence to the procedures and that this had in no way prejudiced Msimang. On the contrary, the court says, in dealing with offences committed by Msimang, management “revealed unsurpassed leniency towards him, rendering his dismissal totally justified.”

An important feature of the judgment is the court’s forthright criticism of the union’s behaviour. It refers to Mwasa members’ insistence that Msimang — “a potentially dangerous character” — should be reinstated as a condition for their return to work. Says the judgment: “The court finds it difficult to perceive why the applicants (the Mwasa members) under the prevailing circumstances did not reveal a compromising approach in an effort to restore the status quo.” Later in the judgment, the court refers to their “defiant and unreasonable attitude.”

It also says that if they truly believed that Msimang had been unfairly dismissed, it was “incomprehensible” why his case was not referred to the court in the manner prescribed by the Labour Relations Act.
R5 000 settlement for migrant workers

Labour Reporter

THIRTEEN migrant workers, who were dismissed from an Epping factory before their contracts had expired, have received more than R5 000 from their former employer in an out-of-court settlement.

The workers, all members of the General Workers' Union, were dismissed from Epping Cold Storage in February last year, after a recognition dispute with the company.

A GWU spokesman said the workers sued the company for unlawful dismissal.

"The workers planned to take the management to court on November 29 but just before the court case they agreed to pay the workers R5 347 in damages as well as their legal costs," the spokesman said.

A dispute flared up at the factory when the management would not agree to recognise the workers' elected committee, the spokesman said.

"Management announced that they were not going to renew the contracts of a number of workers who were members of the union. Other workers, still in the middle of their contracts, were dismissed," the spokesman said.
Dwasa head on lack of legal cover

Post Reporter

The general secretary of the Domestic Workers and Sales Ladies Association (Dwasa), Mrs Pat Maqina, said that although the Department of Manpower promised to look into the situation of domestic workers and farm labourers last year, nothing had been heard yet.

Summing up issues facing Dwasa this year, Mrs Maqina said both categories of workers remained unprotected by labour laws governing conditions of service, although in August last year the department had given them a “glimmer of hope” that improvements would be made.

Next year Dwasa and its sister organisations in East London, Durban and Cape Town intended holding a big conference for all domestics throughout the country to focus on their plight, she said.

She especially appealed to housewives and employers to “do unto others what you would have done unto you” when dealing with their employees during this time of goodwill.

She said during 1983 Dwasa had also focused on the safety or security of domestic workers during hours of employment.

She alleged that many domestic workers had been raped, and even murdered, this year while in their employers’ care, while many others had been arrested.

“The office here has also dealt with many cases of assault by the housewives or their husbands,” she said.

For this reason Dwasa has scheduled an important seminar for early next year on the problem of “maid bathing and theft.”

Det Winnie this seminar would be well attended, she said.
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BLACK TAXATION

Employers' role

The Johannesburg Chamber of Commerce has highlighted the crucial role that employers will play in communicating the benefits of SAA's new uniform tax system to black workers.

The new system comes into effect on March 1, and will result in people of all races being taxed on the same basis. The Black Taxation Act of 1969, under which blacks were taxed, will be repealed.

The Johannesburg Chamber of Commerce has released guidelines aimed at helping its members to deal with the new system. It points out that although the changes that will come about as a result of the new tax structure are beyond the control of management, "it is management and not the Revenue authorities who will have to face the consequences of any adverse reactions."

The chamber believes the greatest potential for an unfavourable reaction from black employees lies in uncertainty and a lack of understanding of the harmonised structure.

ROUX VAN DER MERWE

Focus on employers' rights

Roux van der Merwe holds the Volkswagen Chair of Industrial Relations at the University of Port Elizabeth. This article is written in a series on the Industrial Court and labour law being published by the FM.

Maas van den Berg (Current Affairs, December 2) raises among other matters the question of "management prerogatives," and asks for comment on the implications for employee assessment. He should like to comment on these two issues because, regretfully, many employers will routinely and uncritically accept this concept.

The average SA manager, given our rather conservative business climate, sees little reason to question the prerogatives which he has been given for so many years. When they also are representative of the norms of the labour force, it is not surprising that he believes, and is amply conventional if not unsophisticated, to his traditional style of management, then arguments for their retention must appear attractive.

Unfortunately what is personally convenient and desirable is not always in the best long-term interests of the rapidly changing society in which we live. It is thus particularly important that issues in labour relations are viewed against the criterion of constructive accommodation to the changes we have already seen, and those which are still to come.

To begin with, it is necessary to point out that once free trade unions are permitted to operate in a "free enterprise" context, employers can no longer lay any unilateral claim to previously accepted prerogatives. In fact labour relations could be succinctly defined as "continuous negotiation about re-adjustment and accommodation of the boundaries of control in the work situation."

Depending on the power of the union, some or all of management's rights to unilateral decision-making become negotiable and unions will use their power to erode prerogatives wherever they can. Management in turn should use its not inconsiderable power to counter this challenge. What it should not do is react reflexively to seek an alliance with the State to help enforce the employer's interests, for therein lie the seeds of rejection and overthrow of the system itself. We should all be grateful that the unions are using the courts to the extent that they do, a belief in the legitimacy of the system is a precondition for it to work and if a court's decision is not always pro-employer, this should not be a cause to ring alarm bells. By all means let us debate and question the Industrial Court, but in terms of legal drafting and procedure, rather than pious calls about management prerogatives.

The origins of these "prerogatives"—namely that authority arises out of the rights of ownership of property—can only be questioned. The employer is not an island; he operates as part of a complex and changing society. Where his actions may have a negative effect on that society, such as the unfair dismissal of an employee who loses everything which he may have worked for over many years or the re-employment of a large number of workers who may then become a burden on social security—"it is appropriate that his "prerogative" be questioned. Not to the extent that a court may favor full employment in favor of re-employment (as Van den Berg puts it) but at least in calling for agreed procedures for dismissal and redundancy and putting a price on the "property rights" of an employee to his job. Once again, this price is a matter for negotiation.

Secondly, Van den Berg talks of the "traditional SA work ethic" which to him implies that worth in the job must exceed the cost to the employer of retaining an employee. Worth, or merit in an employee, is notoriously difficult to measure objectively. Accordingly, where there may be subjectivity or discretion and where the assessments cannot be independently verified, the possibility for injustice and victimisation must be allowed.

It is important to note that it is not the principle of assessment that is at issue, but the method. Indeed, no employer should easily bargain away his right to discriminate on merit, for it is an important element in motivation. Rather, the argument with the union should centre on methods and criteria of assessment. Length of service is one such criterion, which is at least objective, while deficient in many other respects. In Germany the method and criteria are agreed with the Works Council. If these are followed, and seen to be followed, the employer is within his rights.

How many employers can honestly say that their appraisal methods are validated, and that their ratings are reliable? If not, there is no "prerogative" to make decisions which are favourable to the employer. In such a situation, the role of the State should be no more than to facilitate negotiated procedures and the role of the court no more than to ask "Have the agreed procedures been fairly followed?"

Perhaps the court's ruling in the Mwasa case will have reassured Van den Berg. For all employers, the message of 1983 should be clear: Negotiate effectively and in good faith with representative unions. Lay down clear procedures, stick to them, and see that the union does too. In these circumstances, it is unlikely that any court of law as presently constituted, can or will make inroads into your rights.
Student shop assistant seeks to sit and loses job

A law student from Durban, working in an exclusive Sandton clothes boutique, was fired this week because she asked to be allowed to sit down while customers were not in the shop.

The Basic Conditions of Employment Act of 1963 has omitted rest periods for women shop assistants, but the student would have been acting within her rights according to the old Shops and Offices Act of 1964. This Act made provision for women shop assistants to sit down at reasonable times.

According to the deputy director general of the Department of Manpower, Dr. Chris Scheepers, the clause was omitted "because it is a matter of sex discrimination and applied specifically to women shop assistants."

"We like to treat all sexes on an equal footing these days," he said.

Shop assistants cannot legally demand more than a lunch hour after "not more than five hours."
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Changes on the way

Four Acts which fall under the jurisdiction of the Department of Manpower will be amended during the coming session of Parliament.

The amendments to the Wage, Workmen's Compensation and Basic Conditions of Employment Acts are relatively minor ones, according to department sources. But there is no mistaking the widespread interest among employers and unions over a proposed amendment to the Labour Relations Act.

The main effect of this will be to transfer from the Industrial Court to the Minister of Manpower the power to make rulings on appeals by parties who are aggrieved by certain industrial council decisions. The Minister had this power until early last year when the Act was amended to grant authority to the court to rule on appeals against council decisions.

When the amendment was published in draft form in August last year, it provoked an angry response from some unions — and a certain degree of anxiety from employers. Both groups expressed reservations about the effect that the amendment would have in making it easier for some companies to obtain exemptions from council agreements — especially wage agreements.

Unions do not like such exemptions being granted because these result in lower pay for some of their members. Employers often argue that exemptions result in rival companies enjoying a competitive edge over them through the employment of cheap labour.

The department's view is that the Minister is the most appropriate person to deal with appeals over essentially non-legal matters. It also believes that the Act, in its present form, is discouraging job creation and the development of the small business sector.

Officials point to problems confronting an employer who is struggling to get his business on a sound footing and who cannot afford to pay wages in line with an industrial council agreement covering him. At the moment, such an employer faces the prospect of costly legal action through the Industrial Court to gain an exemption from the agreement should the council refuse to grant him one. The department feels it makes sense to provide a speedy and almost cost-free channel through which such appeals can be made.

It also appears that the proposed amendment has been prompted by complaints from employers in rural areas. They argue that they have to pay wage rates which may be appropriate for the cities — where employees face high housing, transport and other costs — but which are unrealistically high for rural areas, and are discouraging industrial growth in these regions.
UNION MAY ASK FOR PROTECTION

THE failure of this week's first legal national strike of black workers will lead to increasing pressure on the Government to provide legislation protecting strikers from dismissal, prominent trade unionists have warned.

The strike ended after less than a week on Friday when the employers threatened to dismiss all those on strike.

Trade unionists said the stand by AECI against almost 9 000 of its workers, "lays open the issue of whether it's worth going through the lengthy, time-and-money consuming process of having a legal strike."

The only protection workers who strike legally have is that they cannot be arrested. But a prominent trade unionist said both employers and workers had realised a legal strike could lead to mass dismissals.

Fosatu, for example, is increasingly introducing a clause in recognition agreements that protects workers for a certain period during a strike from dismissal.

Labour activists will increasingly press for some reform to the Act to prevent mass dismissals, or such threats of striking workers, or will agitate for a time period to be stipulated.

The last week has seen intense union activity around the country and a wave of strikes, dismissals and retrenchments.

• Impala Platinnum refineries started the week by dismissing their entire black workforce of 1 400 which had gone out in sympathy with seven co-workers who were fired after refusing to work after allegedly being assaulted and called "kaffirs" by white supervisors.

- Three hundred workers went on strike at the Wayne Rubber Company in Isipingo demanding a 12 percent pay hike. By Wednesday agreement was reached and the workers returned.

- In Pretoria, about 1 500 workers downed tools at the BMW factory in support of pay demands. Negotiations will begin on Monday.

- Up to 800 workers went on strike at the Rustspruit colliery near Springs in support of a worker fired after a pre-arranged work stoppage to mourn two fellow workers who died in an accident at the mine the previous week.
Draft bill for workers’ safety

From BARRY STREEK
A NEW draft law to promote the safety of workers in factories has been published by the Department of Health.

The Occupational Medicine Bill will impose a duty on employers not to expose workers to prescribed agents for a longer period, or in a concentration higher, than prescribed.

Employers will also have to ensure that ergonomic factors do not harm the health of workers.

Ergonomic factors are defined in the draft bill as any workplace or any machine, appliance, equipment or article used by, or to which an employee is exposed in the performance of his duties which may cause a psychosomatic illness or which does not fit the anatomical and biological characteristics of man.

The new bill will operate in conjunction with the Machinery and Occupational Safety Act which was passed by Parliament last year and which falls under the Department of Manpower.

Both measures follow a long dispute between the two departments as to which one would be responsible for ensuring the safety of workers.

Stronger action to ensure the protection of workers in South Africa was called for by the Erasmus Commission of Inquiry into Occupational Health which was published in 1976.

Comment on the Occupational Medicine Bill has to be submitted by the end of February.

Examinations

It provides for free medical examinations, at the cost of employers, where workers are exposed to prescribed agents or ergonomic factors and no employee may work under these conditions without this examination.

It will prohibit the victimization of any worker, either through dismissal or reduction of pay, if the employee provides any information to the Minister or department, or if he is suspected of doing so, about matters falling within the ambit of the law.

Employers will also not be able to make any deductions from salaries if they are required to take steps to protect the safety of workers.

The bill provides for inspectors who will be able to enter any premises without previous notice and they will be able to question any person.

The inspectors will also be able to seize any certificate, report, book, document or sample.

They will have the power to stop workers continuing working with an agent, order the medical examination of workers or rectify medical conditions.

Provision

The bill also makes provision for the appointment of an Advisory Committee for Occupational Medicine.

In addition it will apply to the state and employees of the state.

Fines of R2 000, or imprisonment of 12 months, or both, can be imposed for contraventions of the new bill.
New provisions in Bill on unregistered unions

Labour Reporter

RECOGNITION
agreements between
trade unions and em-
ployer bodies outside
official industrial rela-
tions machinery would
have to be submitted
to the Department of
Manpower in terms of
the Labour Relations
Amendment Bill.

The Bill, published to-
day, says that unless cer-
tain information is sub-
mitted to the Industrial
Registrar by unregis-
tered labour organisations, rec-
ognition agreements with
employers would not be
upheld in a court of law.

All labour organisa-
tions would have to sub-
mit to the Industrial Regis-
trar their constitutions,
names of officials and of-
fice-bearers and mem-
bership returns.

An explanatory memo-
randum says it has be-
come common for trade
unions to enter into rec-
ognition agreements with
employers outside the off-
icial collective bargain-
ing machinery.

These domestic agree-
ments laid down bargain-
ing and grievance proce-
dures, disciplinary proce-
dures and the deduc-
tion of trade union sub-
scriptions.

"Wages and conditions
of employment above the
minimum prescribed in
statutory wage measures
are also negotiated with
individual employers and
the agreements arrived
at are enforceable in
common law, irrespec-
tive of whether the em-
ployers and employees
are subject to an indus-
trial council or concilia-
tion board agreement."

Membership

Unregistered unions
would also have to main-
tain membership regis-
ters, keep accounts audit-
ed by a public
accountant, prepare balance
sheets once a year and sub-
mit statements of
income and expenditure
once a year to union
members.

They would also have
to submit membership
returns to the Industrial
Registrar annually and
keep "specified docu-
ments" such as financial
statements and minutes
of meetings for three
years.

The head office of the
organisation would have
been in South Africa.
Cusa challenges Bophuthatswana

By Carolyn Dempster, Labour Reporter

The Council of Unions of South Africa (Cusa), representing the combined membership of 11 unions, has challenged the Bophuthatswana Government over its new labour legislation.

In a statement released yesterday, Cusa says it will not become the victim of a "Bophuthatswana regime and its misguided labour advisers."

The Bophuthatswana Industrial Conciliation Bill, which is expected to take effect next month, bars "foreign" unions from operating in the homeland.

It also prevents shop stewards or union representatives from being members of foreign unions and specifies that unions organising in Bophuthatswana have to have their base in the homeland.

Cusa members daily go to work in South Africa and return to Bophuthatswana for the night.

In a stronger warning to employers, and in particular to multinationals, Cusa states it is prepared to fight the banning of "foreign" unions in every local and international forum.

"We call upon employers to state immediately what their views on the matter are."

Cusa also expresses its support for members of the National Union of Mineworkers employed by Union Carbide in Bophuthatswana.

About 300 workers at Union Carbide went on a three-day strike last week over wage grievances coupled with the introduction of the new legislation.
Labour
Bill shocks unionists

BLACK unionists fear a surprise new Labour Bill tabled yesterday may be a first step towards state control over recognition agreements between employers and unions.

A senior employer source said he believed the Labour Relations Amendment Bill was "a move against unregistered unions".

The Department of Manpower tabled the Bill without consulting employers, unions or its own National Manpower Commission (NNMC), on which a senior employer and union spokesmen sit and which advises the Government on labour matters.

But the director-general of manpower, Dr Piet van der Merwe, yesterday said there had been no time for consultation.

In terms of the Bill, all recognition agreements will have to be submitted to the department, and will have no force in law unless the relevant unions have submitted certain information to the department.

Dr van der Merwe said this would ensure that unions showed their "bona fides".

The department only wanted to see the agreements so it could get a "full statistical picture of the bargaining situation in South Africa".

The Bill was initiated by the department, but "certain representations" had been received.

Key emerging unions said they all furnished information to the department under existing legislation.

But the principle behind the new Bill was "unacceptable".

The general secretary of the Council of Unions of SA, Mr P Camay, said: "This could be the first step towards state control over agreements. Next they will want to dictate what they contain!"

Unions were happy to reveal information, but agreements were "private arrangements which have nothing to do with the State!".
Govt won't ease labour restrictions

Parliamentary Correspondent

RECOMMENDATIONS made in a White Paper that 'the restrictions on the geographic mobility of labour be removed as far as possible' have essentially been rejected by the Government.

The recommendations are made in a White Paper on A Strategy for the Creation of Employment Opportunities which was released yesterday.

The White Paper adds that the regional development policy should be applied in such a way as to contribute as much as possible in order to create employment opportunities.

While the Government has accepted these proposals, it has done so only in as far as 'they are reconcilable with other national objectives'.

The Government agrees with the report in seeing 'the largest possible role for the private sector and the elimination, where possible, of measures that inhibit the satisfactory operation of a market-orientated system'.

In this regard, the Government also emphasises that 'all public institutions, while not disregarding other national objectives, must keep in mind the importance of employment creation when performing their functions'.

The Government accepts that market forces should be given free play as far as possible and also affirms its intention 'to eliminate measures which directly or indirectly distort the relative prices of production factors'.

It also restates its commitment to the promotion of small business development and accepts that steps be taken 'to identify and remove measures and practices that unnecessarily restrict the development of the formal and informal small business sectors'.
Dismay over hasty amendment to labour law

By Carolyn Dempster, Labour Reporter

The Labour Relations Amendment Bill, 1980, tabled before Parliament this week has been described as a 'hasty and half-baked piece of legislation' which could herald a new era of recognition agreements between unions and employers in terms of the proposals reached in isolation, agreements which will have to be submitted to the Department of Manpower to be registered.

If it is found the agreement does not comply with the specifications of the Labour Relations Act, it will carry no weight in either the industrial or the civil courts. The parties concerned will also be guilty of an offence, according to Mr. Praschaw Carrad, general secretary of the Council of Unions of South Africa, who said: 'Once recognition agreements are registered, the next step will be to control their content. This is totally unacceptable to us.'

Monitor agreements

'Depending upon how it is implemented,' the legislation could have a negative impact on the state, it is going to be extremely difficult for the CIR to monitor agreements and it is likely some format will be required. Naturally we would resist this,'

The relevant provision of the Bill was described as 'unacceptable' by a prominent labour lawyer. He said the idea of giving statutory recognition to agreements was a good one but it depended on how the legislation was implemented. 'If it is for statistical purposes alone,' he said, 'it is insignificant but if it is for a purpose added to a pool of information, that is misleading.' The Bill also obliges unregistered unions to comply with certain basic provisions of the Labour Relations Act for the first time. If the Bill becomes law, unregistered unions will have to have their head offices in the Republic of South Africa. They will also have to submit a copy of their constitution, membership register, financial accounts and balance sheets to the Department of Manpower.
LABOUR LAW

Tightening all controls

The Labour Relations Amendment Bill, tabled in Parliament this week, seems designed to tighten government controls over the trade union movement. However, labour lawyers and academicians are as yet undecided about the exact implications.

Initial comments indicate that the intention behind the Bill is to enable the Department of Manpower to keep a far closer eye on union activity than has been possible in the recent past. This is sure to be interpreted by some unions, especially the unregistered ones, as an attempt to whip them into line. As such it has the potential to spark vigorous objections and perhaps even labour unrest.

The most contentious elements of the Bill are those concerning private agreements about wages and working conditions signed between unions and employers outside the ambit of industrial councils or conciliation boards. In essence, it states that if unions or employer organisations do not comply with certain conditions specified in the Labour Relations Act, the agreements will not be enforceable by law in any court, including the Industrial Court.

Furthermore, if the parties to such an agreement do not comply with the provisions, they will be committing a criminal act. The provisions include:

- Supplying the Industrial Registrar with a copy of their constitution, their head office address and the names of office bearers and officials;
- The maintenance of a membership register;
- Submitting membership returns annually to the Industrial Registrar;
- The keeping of proper books of account which must be audited annually;
- Making statements of income and expenditure available to members at a meeting to be held at least once annually;
- Such documents be retained for at least three years, and
- That the head office of a union or employers’ organisation must be situated in SA.

The Bill adds a further condition that a copy of any private agreements must be submitted to the Department of Manpower. Again it seems that if unions or employer organisations fail to do this, any agreements they sign will not be legally enforceable in any court and they will be guilty of an offence.

"The idea is to get all unions to operate within the system created by government," a legal source told the FM. "Many unions, mostly those among the emerging union groupings, are not prepared to do so. Some have been operating outside the system and at the same time making use of institutions like the Industrial Court when it has suited their purposes. The Bill seems to be aimed at ending that kind of duality."

Other features of the Bill are:

- Appeals for exemptions from industrial council agreements will be transferred from the Industrial Court to the Minister of Manpower. This affects mainly employers in "deconcentration" areas and small businessmen who cannot afford to pay the legally prescribed minimums, and
- The Minister of Manpower or the president of the Industrial Court may appoint extra members to the court to fulfil specific purposes.

The Bill does not propose any controls over union activity or collective bargaining. If passed in its present form, however, it will ensure that the Department of Manpower knows at all times what is going on between employers and unions.

It may also be designed to ensure that emerging unions who obtain funds from overseas sources, as some are believed to do, reveal their sources or revenue in their audited accounts.
Opposition mounts to labour bill

By RIAAN DE VILLIERS
Labour Reporter

OPPOSITION is mounting among independent trade unions to a new labour bill which will require unions to submit copies of all plant-level recognition agreements with employers to the Department of Manpower.

Several leading unionists this week expressed fears that the measure could be the start of an attempt by the State to extend its control over such agreements, which fall outside the official collective bargaining machinery.

In terms of the Labour Relations Amendment Bill tabled in Parliament this week, recognition agreements will also be unenforceable in the courts if the unions concerned fail to supply the department with certain information.

Mr Dave Lewis, general secretary of the General Workers' Union, said the GWU viewed the submission of agreements with "grave suspicion".

"We can only see it as an ill-conceived attempt by the State to reassert its role in collective bargaining, and an attempt at further interference in internal trade union affairs," he said.

Mr Prashaw Camay, secretary of the Council of Unions of South Africa (Cosa), described the moves as a "veiled attempt at control" over trade unions which defied the principle of voluntarism advocated in the Wichahn Report.

"If they want the agreements just for their files, it would be a waste of time and energy. However, the government may next say what should go into the agreements and draw up the formats as well," he said.

Mr Jan Theron, secretary of the Food and Canning Workers' Union, said earlier this week that the bill confirmed suspicions that the new labour deal was aimed at "controlling the labour movement rather than bringing about reform."

Asked to explain the reasons for the move, Dr P J van der Merwe, Director-General of Manpower, said this week that it was aimed at obtaining a "broader picture of the total collective bargaining process in the country."

Also, such agreements often came into question when either employers or trade unions applied for official conciliation machinery.

He denied that the State was extending its influence over labour relations and said the move was aimed "merely at obtaining more complete information."

Commenting on the penalty which will apply to unions which fail to submit information about their organisations, Dr Van Der Merwe said the measure was aimed at ensuring that parties to such agreements "prove their bona fides".

Stop this farce, Cusa tells Bop

By ZB Molefe

THE POWERFUL trade union federation Cusa (Council of Unions of South Africa) has launched a stinging attack on Bophuthatswana's Manpower Minister Rowan Cronje following the ban on SAbased trade unions in the homeland.

The Cusa attack comes in the wake of letters sent to a number of trade unions operating

in the homeland - among them SAAWU, CCAWUSA and NUM - warning them to stay out of the homeland.

Cusa, which has hundreds of members in the homeland from its member unions which include the National Union of Mine-workers and the United African Motor and Allied Workers Union, said it will not "become the victim of the Bophuthatswana regime and its misguided advances."

Cusa also alleged that the Bophuthatswana government had come to a deal with the white mine-workers of the Mine-workers' Union, and warned that this would sow the seeds of discord and suffering among workers.

It also slammed Mr Cronje for his "pious and sanctimonious utterances" in supporting the homeland ban.

On Monday members of CCAWUSA held a meeting to protest against the new Bophuthatswana labour legislation preventing any "foreign" unions operating in the homeland.

The protest meeting, which lasted four hours, was held at Metro Cash and Carry's head office in Ormonde to express their dissatisfaction with the decision.

"For some time now we have been expecting the so-called government of Bophuthatswana to take this action," said Cusa.

"Many of our members daily cross the road to work in so-called Bophuthatswana, Cusa has constantly maintained that this charade will be opposed whenever and wherever we encounter it."

Rowan Cronje's famous last words...

BOP Manpower Minister Rowan Cronje, a former member of Ian Smith's Rhodesian Front Cabinet, has apparently changed his tune about trade unions.

The homeland's recent ban on SA-based trade unions is in glaring contrast with Mr Cronje's utterances at a conference of the Institute of Personnel Management last year.

He said at the time, "Many people regard trade unions as a monster, I believe that a trade union is an essential and a most important part in the process of industrial stability and sound relationship."

In grand fashion he continued "In the field of industrial relations the obvious objective ultimately is industrial peace and harmony. However, if 'peace' means to an employer a humble, docile labour force, peace could never be a possibility."

Mr Cronje said that Bop's new industrial relations legislation would "actively encourage and assist with the establishment and training of these unions."

"Trade unionists might be wondering if he rather meant 'assisting in the neutralising and taming' of these unions."

The Dock Workers Union of South Africa has come out in support of Cusa's protest.

"We have always opposed the ban on trade unions in the homeland. We will continue to do so," a DWSA representative said.

"We will not be silenced by the Bophuthatswana government. We will continue to fight for our rights and interests."

Cusa's attack on Mr Cronje comes as a surprise to many, who had expected him to support the ban on trade unions in the homeland.

"We had expected Mr Cronje to support the ban on trade unions in the homeland," said SAAWU's general secretary.

"But his recent statements have surprised us. We will continue to fight for our rights and interests."
Professional musicians are looking for a better deal in ‘84

"Until now we’ve had no leave entitlement at all. They regarded us as casually employed."

"Yet about 90% of our members are professional, depending on music for a livelihood. The rest are not full-time, having other jobs.

"By the nature of their work, many musicians play on Saturdays and public holidays, but the position of weekend workers is still not clear.

What is clear is that musicians are now entitled to 14 days’ leave a year or 28 days for shorter employment, at 1½ days a month.

The Act is also specific on public holidays.

It is an ironic commentary on priorities, incidentally, that sales assistants, travel agents, demonstrator-salesmen, property salesmen, insurance agents and security guards are guaranteed 21 days’ consecutive annual leave. "Any other employees" which must include musicians get only 14 days.

"Anyway, we seldom get musicians being employed for a year,” says Mr. Herbert, who is himself a musician and agent.

MUSIC

J RALPH DRAPER

"Our people do small shows on contract, and it’s here that the question of proper notice becomes vital.

Sick leave is another source of relief for musicians, who claim they have been completely uncovered in this vital area. They are thus now entitled to similar redress as are freelance actors, whose new status was reported in Funfinder on January 20.

Other things covered by the new Act (but whose application to musicians is a "grey area") until test cases have been settled include hiring and firing, conditions of employment, payment records, meal breaks and overtime.

Most vital of all to union musicians is the clause prohibiting victimisation, which has long been a bone of contention.

"There has been quite a lot, mainly in theatre and TV productions. Not by the SABC or theatre management, but by musical directors. These are responsible for making up an ensemble and some tend to go on engaging the same people.

"Yet we have about 400 musicians on our books, including some 300 who are fairly well paid. Our membership in the last few months has increased enormously -- we are getting about 15 new applications a month. And, I may say, we are also going multinational."

"TMU has a directory, with members listed under their instrumental specialties. Unfortunately this is rather out of date, what with changing phone numbers and addresses, and Mr. Herbert says it won’t be possible to revise and republish it this year.

"He added, though, ‘Now we have gone national we are going to re-compile the list. Remember, we don’t only cater for musicians but for associated professions such as cabaret artists, sound men, choristers and clowns too.”

"Gone national” refers to developments since the disbandment of the South African Musicians’ Association with the Transvaal Musicians Union now moving to fill the gap countrywide.

"Hopefully, a truly national body will be useful to the union’s primary concern — reducing unemployment."

"There is a certain amount of unemployment, which in itself we think over-depends importations like mad,” says Mr. Herbert. "Of course, if certain things cannot be done by local musicians we do not object to importing.

"But we find that when locals are deprived of work by the use of musicians from overseas they turn away from music and take other jobs or at least leave.

"Remember, a local musician has to practice all his life if he is to match the standard of those brought abroad as in the recent Liberace show at Sun City."

"I’m not talking of top groups, of course, but of the orchestral TV season recordings and orchestral players. They just have to keep at it — and they deserve a decent living."

Spanish'}
PHYSICAL PLANNING ACT

Maintaining job destruction

Despite government's professed intention to repeal Section 3 of the Physical Planning Act (PPA), it is still being enforced. Enforcement seems less stringent than in the past — with applications for additional labour being granted more freely — but thousands of other applications have been turned down.

The Act is a nasty piece of work. It came into force on January 19, 1968, and since then Section 3 has probably wreaked as much havoc with people's lives and the labour supply as the influx control laws. Despite government's acceptance of the Riekert Commission's recommendation made five years ago that it should be scrapped, the provision is still on the statute book.

The section limits the number of blacks that employers in various "controlled" urban areas can hire without government's permission. In general, it has meant that if the ratio of black workers to white exceeds 2.5:1, an application for a permit has to be lodged with a committee specially appointed to weigh up the merits of each case. Controlled areas include the PWV region, Bloemfontein and Sasolburg in the OPS, and large parts of the western Cape.

From government's point of view the rationale behind Section 3 is simple. It is to force the decentralisation of industry by making life difficult for urban employers and to buttress the influx control laws. Underlying it is a misguided attempt to bring jobs to people in rural areas and homelands in the hope of stemming the flow to the cities.

Until April 1982, when the most recent decentralisation package was introduced, with far more attractive incentives than before, decentralisation was hardly a success. Naturally enough, Section 3 became a much-hated piece of legislation among both employers and workers. Over the years hundreds of thousands of blacks have been denied jobs because of it. Much potential for wealth-creation was destroyed at the same time.

More than one employer has had to move out of an urban area simply to get sufficient workers to run his enterprise. For their part, trade unions objected strongly to the Act because of the low wages paid in many decentralised areas.

Government figures released in 1976 show that the number of potential black employees affected by the refusal of labour applications since the Act came into force totalled 92,465. In November 1981 the FM reported that in the years between then and 1977 government appeared to have relaxed its attitude towards granting exemptions from Section 3. The number of blacks reported to be affected by it had dropped to around 5,000 a year.

The latest statistics, obtained from the Department of Industries and Commerce, show that in the five years between January 1979 and December last year, 28,402 applications involving black employees were refused nationwide in terms of Section 3. There is no indication of the number of workers involved.

During the same period a far greater number (155,490) of applications was granted. These figures do reveal a slackening of the fervour with which Section 3 was originally applied, and the department said there were no prosecutions for contravention of Section 3 during that time. But seven prosecutions are currently pending.

They are apparently related to technical infringements of the Act rather than to defiance of the black/white labour ratio requirement.

Nonetheless, it remains true that more than 28,000 applications, with an unknown numerical effect on employment, have been turned down in the last five years in terms of an Act government said it was going to abolish.

Government's acceptance of the principle that Section 3 should go was, however, qualified. Ways and means of generating sufficient finance in the cities to recover the cost of infrastructural and other government services (which include transport and housing subsidies) had to be found before Section 3 could be repealed, it was stated.

The relationship between Section 3 and local government finance does not make very much sense. The quest for additional sources of revenue for local authorities has, for a number of years, been the task of the Permanent Finance Liaison Committee (formerly the Croszer Working Group), under Gerhard Croszer, chief of public finance in the Department of Finance. Finding ways of fulfilling the preconditions for scrapping Section 3 is part of its job.

Recommendations from the committee have been submitted to government. It is probable that Croszer has recommended that more taxes need to be generated at the local level to pay for local infrastructure and services. This is not simply because of the need to scrap Section 3 of the PPA but, in the main, to finance black local authorities. This will obviously mean increased costs for all city dwellers.

Government's response to the Croszer recommendations is still awaited. But the underlying message is clear: Section 3 is going to be replaced with other measures which will "encourage" decentralisation — possibly by pricing certain enterprises out of the cities through selective levies.

Even more worrying was the intention expressed in the draft Orderly Movement and Settlement of Black Persons Bill, now before a Parliamentary Select Committee, to impose heavy fines on employers who hire black workers who are not legally qualified to be in urban areas. If that Bill becomes law in anything like its original form, government will not need Section 3 of the PPA.

But it could be that in the current climate of reform, the Orderly Movement Bill will be allowed to float away in the wind. In which case, it makes even less sense to keep Section 3 on the books. Five years after the Riekert Commission recommended its repeal, it is surely time for the provision to become a dead letter.
Powerful lobby

The Government is also considering expanding the definition of U.L.P. to include more than just the immediate requirements and worker/management relations. The report expresses the need for a defined U.L.P. to be published soon for comment.

Commission sources have assured that the report will be published soon. The report outlines the duties and responsibilities of the U.L.P. within the framework of industrial and management relations. It states that the U.L.P. will be in charge of workers' management relations.

Originally the U.L.P. was to be introduced under the Industrial Relations Act, but after the Liberal Government recommended allowing the U.L.P. on the bench of the U.L.P. Court in the bill of 1973, it was dropped. Consequently, the U.L.P. Court will be left to handle disputes. The U.L.P. has been set up by the Government.
Whether the Attorney-General intends to institute further legal action against certain persons whose names have been furnished to the Minister's Department for the purposes of his reply who were on or about 24 January 1984 involved in a criminal case in the Pinetown magistrate's court on account of alleged contraventions of the Labour Relations Act if not why not. If so, (a) what was the nature of the charges against them and (b) on what grounds will further legal action be instituted against them?
UNIONS OPPOSE STATE INTERFERENCE IN LABOUR PROVINCIAL COUNCILS

Parliament and Politics

By FIANNA DE VALIERS
THE industrial court's role in labour relations is under pressure again.

At issue is its role in unfair labour practice disputes — the majority of cases with which it deals.

Union victories in some of these led to an employer backlash and the Department of Manpower plans to curb the court's powers in this area. It has also prevented many such cases coming before the court.

Now a Pretoria Supreme Court has ruled that when it hears these cases, the industrial court is not acting as a court, but as an administrative body.

The immediate effect is that the court cannot grant legal costs to parties who bring cases to it — even if they win.

This largely confirms the existing position — the court has granted costs rarely — but does make it harder for unions and workers with limited finances to use the court.

Some lawyers believe the judgment goes much further and will sharpen the court's power to act effectively in unfair practice cases — the most crucial area of its operations.

This may be over-hasty. Lawyers stress that the decision cannot be assessed fully until a written judgment becomes available.

The case is also being taken to the Appeal Court for a final ruling.

Some lawyers argue that whether the industrial court is a fully-fledged court or not has little to do with its future role. Even if it is an administrative body, it can still take decisions and have them enforced.

The key issue, they say, is whether the ruling has specifically curbed the industrial court's powers. And even if it has, the issue will not be settled until the Appeal Court has decided the issue finally.

THE Department of Manpower's Bill extending greater control over union recognition agreements has run into sub-
THE LABOUR MARKET

When the referee joins in

Not to put too fine a point on it, government should get the bell out of labour. Its ideologica fixations distort the supply, marketing and mobility of manpower, cause endless human suffering, and hamper the economy at a time when it can least afford restrictions.

Pretoria should, in fact, follow the advice of its own Director General of Manpower, Piet van der Merwe, who preaches a doctrine of minimal official intervention between management and workers. No doubt it would argue that it does so. But as is so often the case where racial ideology is concerned, such protestations reflect, at best, a half truth.

Certainly officialdom tries — not always successfully — to avoid entanglement in management-union disputes. But that is only a part, and not the largest, part of the interface between employment opportunities and people.

It's no use preaching, and even in some ways promoting, a free labour market and a free collective bargaining system while at the same time legislating thoroughly systems of control. But it is at Pretoria's discretion where black's may work or live.

The Labour Relations Act, for all its faults, is basically a fine and enlightened piece of legislation. It is the basis of SA's present industrial relations system. But it makes a bad partner for totally unenlightened laws like the influx regulations, the Blacks (Urban Areas) Consolidation Act, the Physical Planning Act, the Group Areas Act, and the proposed Aliens and Immigration Laws Amendment Act.

At present, a businessman who takes some workers from the West to the East Rand for a particular job could find himself in court for employing workers in an area where they have no legal right to be. In fact, in the Randburg courts recently, a magistrate, after disuing out a suspended sentence, advised the accused to write to Minister of Co-operation and Development Piet Koornhof, and ask him to amend the law.

Indeed, if anything gives the lie to the impression government is so carefully trying to create that reform is permeating all sectors of society, it is the annual figure for pass law convictions.

In February Koornhof revealed that 140,000 black people, many thousands of whom must have been in full employment, fell foul of the pass laws in 1983. Koornhof is the man responsible for administration of these laws — and also the man who declared war on the "dempas" and said that "apartheid is dead." Yet his own figures show that pass convictions have risen substantially from 98,000 in 1982 and even more from 75,000 in 1981.

So influx control, it seems, remains a cornerstone of the National Party's ideology and strategy. And there is a great deal of truth in the observation that this kind of action significantly interferes with the functioning of a free enterprise system in labour.

The nature and degree of interference is diverse. Some of it covers purely labour issues while other elements are more oblique.

There are a number of different schools of thought on the role government should play. Free marketeers argue that any interference is too much interference. Others support the view that government must create the framework for labour relations and then act as the referee between employers and workers. But, generally speaking, some principles are regarded as inviolable.

It is acknowledged that since 1979, when the Wiehahn Commission of Inquiry into labour legislation tabled the first of its six reports, there has been a liberalisation of labour markets. The key reform was that blacks, who had been excluded from the definition of "employee" in labour law, took their rightful place in the labour process.

Black trade unions have mushroomed since then and the Department of Manpower (DM) has been hailed as the most progressive of all government departments.

Possibly as a result, there is tension between what the DM has been trying to achieve and actions by other departments. Influx control as applied by the Department of Co-operation and Development (CAD) is a major problem.

It cannot be argued that black workers have been granted the same freedom as their white counterparts when their right of movement is still restricted. Until June 1980 most blacks were condemned to remain in the areas in which they were born — without the right to move in search of better job opportunities.

Since then a lucky few who qualify for Section 10 rights in terms of the Urban Areas Act have, theoretically, been granted the opportunity to move to other urban areas. But they must have a job there, and "approved" accommodation.

Housing shortage

That provides the sting in the tail. There is a housing shortage in all black townships. So in the critical area of mobility, even blacks with Section 10 rights are severely disadvantaged. Those without these rights are restricted to the homelands — or to a joyless hostel existence as short-term contract migrants.

This is not the only barrier to entry or mobility. The Laws on Co-operation and Development Amendment Act, passed last year, reduces the effect of the court victories won in the Rikhotso and Komani cases, which were supposed to extend the rights of certain migrants to claim urban residence. Again the possession of lawful — usually unobtainable — housing became the deciding factor.

Last week the first reading of the Aliens and Immigration Laws Amendment Bill, which will be implemented by the Department of Internal Affairs and CAD officials, signalled government's intention to extend its powers of control.

It is widely believed that this Bill will replace the draconian Orderly Movement and Settlement of Black I vs Bill as the main instrument of influx control — at least as far as citizens of independent homelands are concerned.

There are numerous other areas in which government interferes in labour. One is Section 3 of the Physical Planning Act. In general terms this measure stipulates that employers must maintain a ratio of 2.5:1 between blacks and whites in their labour
force. If employers wish to exceed this, special permission must be obtained from a committee operating under the auspices of the Department of Industries and Commerce.

Over the years hundreds of thousands of workers have been denied jobs through this mechanism. Despite the fact that the Rietsert Commission recommended in 1979 that Section 3 should be abolished, it remains on the statute book.

Similarly, the definition of a "scheduled person" in the Mines and Works Act protects white miners by stopping blacks from taking up skilled jobs on the mines. Despite government's acceptance of the Wiesehahn Commission's recommendation that it be scrapped, it remains in force - seemingly out of fear of the conservative white mining unions.

Then there is the issue of police and security police (SP) intervention in labour matters. Almost since the advent of black trade unionism in SA unions have been prime targets for harassment.

SP intervention in labour matters seems to be picking up again. Last month witnessed the arrest of at least four unionists. These include "Skakes" Skaaksheke, general secretary of the Food and Beverage Workers' Union, Jeremy Baskin of the Paper, Wood and Allied Workers' Union, and Robert Mkhize, a Commercial Catering and Allied Workers' Union shop steward. Officials of the National Union of Mineworkers have also been harassed.

The homeland's policy causes other labour tensions. A Federation of SA Trade Unions (Fosatu) spokesman cites the example of some workers resenting the fact that their tax rebates will have to be claimed from homeland governments now that the "harmonised" taxation system is in operation. "The homeland's policy is starting to bite more and more into our affairs."

Strikes are another source of tension. Most strikes that take place in SA are illegal in terms of the Labour Relations Act. But observers point out that even when unions take the long and complex road to a legal strike there are a number of other statutes - like the Inhumiliation Act and the Trespass Act - under which unionists and workers can be prosecuted for strike related activities.

These factors all constitute interference in the labour market which are beyond the control of the DM. Even within the department's own domain there are questionable practices. The ultimate power to appoint a conciliation board when disputes are declared lies with the Minister of Manpower. He has the power to decide on its terms of reference, and this enables him to prevent disputes from reaching the Industrial Court. If the Minister does not define a dispute as involving an unfair labour practice, the court cannot take up the matter.

"The Minister's role should be to see that these things happen - like a referee - rather than make judgments and involve himself in the issues and perhaps hinder their resolution." Kate Jowell, assistant director of the University of Cape Town's Graduate School of Business told the PM.

She sums up the debate: "I expect government to establish a few ground rules for labour and management by which they can conduct their affairs. The Queenberry rules for bargaining. If you like, which establish the rights of each party and also the duties which they must accept in exchange for these rights."

It's time for government to climb out of the ring.
PRETORIA — A grant of R15 545 was yesterday given to the Iron, Steel and Allied Industries Union by the Minister of Manpower, Mr Pietie du Plessis, at the biennial Congress of the Confederation of Labour.

Mr du Plessis said it was the first grant paid to a union for training its office bearers in industrial relations.
Job safety law 'could spark labour unrest'

By Carolyn Dempster, Labour Reporter

Occupational health and safety issues are set to move to the centre stage of industrial relations within the next couple of months — and could well become flashpoints for industrial action.

This is the view adopted by labour relations consultants and experts with the imminent introduction of the Machinery and Occupational Safety Act.

They feel employers and unions are simply not well enough prepared for the changes the legislation demands, and fear conflict may grow out of the confusion.

The new Machinery and Occupational Safety Act, due to come into effect within the next two months, has been criticised by unions for giving management the sole right to appoint safety representatives.

The provision has been condemned by affiliates of both the Council of Unions of South Africa and the Federation of South African Trade Unions, and the issue of safety representatives is already being raised in union-management negotiations on procedural and recognition agreements.

The Occupational Medicine Bill, tabled before Parliament in January, is a complementary piece of legislation to MOSA.

One of the major defects of the Bill, as seen by unions, is that 'neither individual employees nor organised workers are given a clear role in determining health and safety policy at the workplace'.
Keep fingers sticky out

Labour Market
Unfair labour practices: Tighter definition mooted

Political Staff

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.

The National Manpower Commission has recommended a tighter definition of unfair labour practices including the outlawing of consumer boycotts by workers during disputes.

At present an unfair labour practice, a concept introduced after the report of the Wiehahn Commission of Inquiry in 1979, is undefined and this has caused some problems as it has been left to the Industrial Court to define it.

The National Manpower Commission, whose report led to the introduction of unfair labour practices, has recommended a stricter definition.

The definition of unfair labour practices should be designed to protect individuals as well as organizations and that there should be direct access to the court in cases of disputes involving unfair labour practices.

It also said that although a list of unfair practices should be given in the definition, this list must be capable of amendment as circumstances change.

However, the National Manpower Commission has recommended that the concept should be included in the definition:

- Employer interference in union affairs and union interference with employer affairs, such as an employer supporting, aiding or interfering in the establishment, management or administration of a union, or a union refusing to negotiate with management until a particular member of management is dismissed.
- The victimization of the members, officials and office-bearers of trade unions, works councils, or any similar organizations of workers.
- The use of unconstitutional, unfair and misleading recruiting methods and arguments by a union or employers' organization.
- The abuse of organizational or negotiating power by a trade union or a group of employees to the detriment of other groups or individuals, for example a union using its bargaining power to compel an employer to deal only with it and not to negotiate with a minority.
- The black-listing of employees and employers.
The biggest reinstatement

In what is believed to be the largest reinstatement order yet issued, the Industrial Court has instructed Vereeniging cable manufacturer, African Cables, to temporarily reinstate 324 workers who were fired after a dispute in January.

The order, which remains valid for up to 90 days, came into effect on April 18. It requires that the company either pay the workers for the period of the order, without necessarily re-hiring them, or permit them to return to work.

A company spokesman told the FM that the workers have been paid for April 18-24. He would not comment further on the company's intentions regarding reinstatement. But a lawyer acting for the workers says he expects them to be back on the job by Monday.

The application for temporary reinstatement was brought by workers who are members of the Engineering and Allied Workers' Union. The background to the dispute is that the company cut its working week to four days in October 1983, because of depressed economic conditions. This year it returned to a five-day working week, beginning Monday January 9. Because Monday January 2 was a public holiday, Friday January 6 was meant to be a normal working day, so that the first week of the year would be a four-day one.

On the 6th some workers reported for work, while others did not. On the following Monday, the vast majority of workers reported for duty. They did not commence work, however, but demanded to speak to African Cables MD Peter Muller. According to a source close to the union, Muller refused to address them en masse. Later in the day, according to the same source, the police were called in and ordered the workers to disperse. The company disputes the details of this action.

Document

The next day, Tuesday, management set up tables inside the factory area at which workers were told that they had to sign a document agreeing to certain work conditions before they would be allowed to work. These included undertakings not to participate in illegal work stoppages. While 185 agreed to sign, 404 refused and were later fired.

Of the 404, 327 made application to the Industrial Court for reinstatement. Last week the court ruled that all but three of them should be reinstated.

In court, counsel for the union members argued that they had not been adequately informed by management of the change back to the normal working week. Counsel also alleged that the company had been "unfair" in requiring workers to sign a new contract of employment or face dismissal.

It was also argued that mass dismissals can only be justified in situations in which companies are experiencing economic problems and in which the dismissal of workers is part of a retrenchment exercise. Counsel for the company countered that the undertaking the workers had been required to sign did not constitute a new contract of employment, but was rather a reaffirmation of existing work conditions. Company counsel also argued that if the workers were reinstated, African Cables would have to fire workers who had been hired to replace them and that this would cause unrest and dissatisfaction.

The court found that management had taken adequate steps to inform the workers of the change to the working week and that there could not have been a confusion about January 6 being a normal working day. It also found there was an element of coercion involved in the workers' decision not to sign.

However, mass dismissal was not regarded as the appropriate remedy in the circumstances.

The court also found that African Cables had acted "overhastily" in recruiting new workers as quickly as it had, especially when it knew that the fired workers had taken steps to obtain reinstatement.

Labour law

Labour law requires that the dispute should now be discussed at the metal industry industrial council. If no resolution is reached, it could be referred back to the Industrial Court for a final decision. The decision to grant reinstatement could be indicative of the court's attitude towards the dispute.

Labor commentators say that even in a climate in which the Industrial Court is regarded as moving in a more conservative direction, this judgment indicates that it does not regard mass dismissal as the appropriate remedy to industrial unrest.

SA's first trade union for teachers could be registered next month. It could also be the first labour union whose constitution expressly rules out strike action.

This follows a gazetted application to register by the 100-member SA Teachers' Guild (SATG), which was formed a few months ago. The Federal Council of Teachers' Associations (FCTA) has "regretted" the formation of the new "splinter" group.

The Guild, which consists of essentially the same members as the recently dissolved Transvaal Educators' Society (TES), has "only one objective: To negotiate comprehensive salaries, and conditions for all teachers and thus enhance the status of the profession," says SATG chairman John Lambson, who also headed the short-lived TES.

Further, says Lambson, the union will be "completely apolitical" SATG membership is open to all white teachers at the moment, although applications from other race groups could possibly be considered at a later stage, he says.

Lambson has his eye on the 21,000 white teachers (of a total 69,000) who, he says, do not belong to any association. Because of the "narrowness" of the objectives of the teachers' associations, Lambson hopes that those who are association members will remain so and join his union as well.

The Guild has applied to the Trade Union Council of SA (Tuesa) for membership, and Tuesa general secretary Arthur Grobbelaar has indicated that he is well disposed of explaining his opposition to the formation of the Guild, Transvaal Teachers' Association (TTA) chairman Jack Ballard says he can see no need for it, in view of the national FCTA's "outstanding" work for teachers.

The associations, which are not unions, would much prefer a "professional body" to deal with their salaries and conditions, says Ballard. He feels that the guild is merely "another platform" for the TES, which he says was an unrecognised body with very few members.

The FCTA is already "pretty far down the road" in its negotiations with Minister of National Education Gerrit Viljoen to form a national body embracing teachers of all race groups.

However, the formation of the SATG is a "national issue" and will be more fully considered when the FCTA meets early in May. Since the teacher associations are not trade unions, says Ballard, he doubts that they are entitled to lodge objections to the SATG's registration.
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Change registration system

By BARRY STREET

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY — The system of registration for trade unions could be scrapped if the government accepts a recommendation of the National Manpower Commission.

The commission wants the formal registration procedure replaced by a system where any organization wanting to operate as a trade union or as an employers' organization should comply with minimum legal requirements.

This proposal was contained in the commission's report into levels of collective bargaining and works councils, registration of trade unions and employers' organizations and the industrial court.

' Catering for race'

The report, which was tabled in Parliament yesterday, urged the existing requirement that the race of union members is stipulated in the registration of unions "should not feature in the requirements, explicitly or otherwise, although organizations would indeed always retain the freedom to cater for only one race should they so wish.”

It also said that existing provisions for objections to the registration of unions should not be retained and "no proof of representativeness would be required.” However, requirements about constitutions, membership registers and financial statements should remain in force.

The commission said it could be argued that the present system of registration should be retained as it had proved itself over a long period of time.

But the events of recent times were making new demands on the system and many of the newer unions had registered "rather reluctantly.

A significant number of unions had also remained outside the statutory system and had indicated, mainly because of the issue of race and representativeness, "they will not, in the foreseeable future, seek registration and will therefore, continue to operate outside the statutory framework”.

"Moreover, the potential for a substantial increase in the number of unions and their membership is great, in that at most 16 percent of the relevant black labour force is at present organized in trade unions while black workers comprise by far the largest part of the total relevant work force.

"It is an open question whether the existing system would meet with their requirements once they have become organized”.

The majority of the commission felt that it would be more satisfactory if there was no formal "registration/certification/listing on the part of the State, but only statutory provision that any organization wishing to operate as a trade union/employers' organization, inter alia for purposes of collective bargaining, should meet certain minimum requirements, and which would at the same time simplify the current statutory and administrative procedures relating to registration”.

It said the existing definition of a trade in the Labour Relations Act should be retained in the proposed system so that "an organization will not be able to operate as a trade union without clearly specifying its interests by undertaking, industry, trade or occupation and area”.

' Unitary system'

It also felt "some form of compulsion” was preferable "in that the protection of the interests of the members of the various organizations as well as those of the community at large, should be overriding”.

A unitary system of labour relations should be the aim and the statutory provisions "should at least lay the foundation for such a system”.

For this purpose "a certain degree of State intervention is required in the initial stage of statutory recognition of the existence of such organizations”.

However, the commission said, the existing requirement of linking the representativeness of a trade with registration "negates the principle of freedom of association, particularly where minority groups are concerned”.
Leave it to bosses and workers

Report urges

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY — The recognition of a trade union for bargaining purposes should be left to the dynamics of the labour relations system, according to a National Manpower Commission report tabled in Parliament yesterday.

In the section of the NMC report dealing with the registration of trade unions, the commission said it was of the opinion that this was a matter to be dealt with by the employee and employer parties concerned in terms of their own preferences, including the question of representation.

The commission also felt that the existing definition of a trade union in the Labour Relations Act should be retained in the proposed system, namely that an organisation would not be able to operate as a trade union without clearly specifying its interests by undertaking industry, trade or occupation and area.

"Despite the formidable arguments in favour of a voluntary system, it was concluded that a system incorporating some form of compulsion or other would be preferable, in that the protection of the interests of the members of the various organisations as well as those of the community at large, should be overriding.

Simple

"Moreover, as envisaged, the actual process of ascertaining whether the requirements are complied with, will be so simple and the advantages so obvious that it should not raise much objection."

The NMC found that to promote a unitary system of labour relations and the interests of the members of trade unions and employers' organisations and the community at large, a certain degree of State intervention was required in the initial stage of the statutory recognition of the existence of such organisations.

"This intervention should take the form of the laying down of certain minimum requirements that must be met by all organisations wishing to operate as trade unions or employers' organisations and participate in the collective bargaining process, and the industrial registrar should be empowered to issue some form of acknowledgement or confirmation that the requirements have indeed been met."
Unions angered by Labour law proposals

The Council of Union of Retained Employment Agencies under the Labour Committee issued a report on the establishment of a new industrial relations department.

The report, which was released today, said that the establishment of the department would give the unions a voice in the conduct of industrial relations. The department would be responsible for the regulation of industrial relations and would be responsible for the implementation of the Industrial Relations Act.

After the report was released, a number of union officials expressed their concern about the proposals. They said that the department would not be able to protect the interests of the workers.

The report also recommended that the government establish a consultative council to discuss the establishment of the department. The council would be made up of representatives from the unions, employers, and the government.

The report was presented to the Labour Committee by the government's representative, Mr. John Anderson. He said that the government was committed to the establishment of the department and would work with the unions to ensure that it was successful.
Report slated

BLACK trade unionists have expressed concern about the report of the National Manpower Commission tabled in Parliament this week.

The report — on collective bargaining and works councils, the registration of trade unions and employers' organisations and related matters — was released by the Minister of Manpower, Mr P T C du Plessis.

The report recommended an industrial court, to be known as the Labour Court and a Labour Appeal Court, the scrapping of trade union registration for a new system of "minimum standards" for all trade unions and collective bargaining bodies.

The Council of Unions of SA (Cusa) spokesman said that the report would put the country's labour laws "into the dark ages" if it was implemented.
Unions: ‘report is a throwback to dark ages’

By Carolyn Dempster, Labour Reporter

The National Manpower Commission’s recommendations on crucial labour matters have been slammed as a retreat into the “dark ages” of labour legislation by angry union leaders.

Following the submission of the NMC’s 450-page report to Parliament this week, reaction to the proposals from emergent union bodies has been scathing.

The Council of Unions of South Africa, representing 76 000 workers (according to Department of Manpower statistics), said the proposed changes in registration “would put the power in the hands of civil servants through regulations. This is not acceptable to us.”

Changes to the Industrial Court would “erode speedy inexpensive resolution of disputes”, it added.

A spokesman for the Metal and Allied Workers’ Union, an affiliate of the Federation of South African Trade Unions, said the union was “extremely unhappy with the recommendations”.

“If we are to take as read press reports on the NMC submissions, the proposals are in line with what we see as a clampdown by the State.

“This makes it quite clear that we can expect nothing of the National Manpower Commission,” he added.

Mr Frank Horwitz, a lecturer in Industrial Relations at the University of the Witwatersrand’s Graduate Business School, said he was loath to comment without first seeing the full report.

“I can only make a superficial assessment,” he said.

“But if the implication is that employers are going to be prevented from bargaining with organisations which have not complied with State requirements, employers will find themselves in a predicament.”

In its report, the NMC proposed that the current system of trade union registration should be abolished.

In its place would be a system whereby organisations wishing to bargain with employers would have to satisfy certain minimum requirements set down by the Department of Manpower.

If these requirements were not met, it would be a criminal offence for the organisation to bargain outside the system.

The report still has to be circulated for comment by interested labour, employer and union organisations.
Expert gives warning on trade union rivalry

Trade union rivalry is going to become one of the major labour issues in the next couple of years, a top labour lawyer has warned.

Mr Halton Cheadle, speaking at a seminar on critical issues in labour law in Johannesburg yesterday, said inter-union rivalry was already causing headaches for employers who found themselves caught in a vortex not of their own making.

The problem of inter-union rivalry, particularly between emergent and established unions, has been exacerbated by closed shop provisions.

When labour legislation was extended to cover black workers, the closed shop provision was merely extended to cover these workers, without their consent. In many cases, workers felt the established union was not representing their interests and wished to join another union without risk of losing their jobs. Industrial action often resulted.
Labour laws uncertainty ‘costs cash’

By Carolyn Dempster, Labour Reporter

Uncertainty stemming from the structural deficiencies of the Labour Relations Act was not only costing vast amounts of money but also jeopardising the industrial relations climate, Professor Johan Piron of the University of South Africa said yesterday.

Professor Piron, lecturer in industrial relations at Unisa’s School of Business Leadership, was a guest speaker at a one-day seminar in Johannesburg on critical issues in labour law.

He repudiated recent claims that the Industrial Court showed bias towards unions in its judgments.

“I cannot detect in any of the members who have sat in that court any bias at all. There are latent defects of the court system... with a structure like that there is not much one can do with it,” he said.

Professor Piron added that it was unfortunate that virtually none of the Industrial Court’s personnel had any industrial relations practical experience.

“I think the addition of a representative from the trade union side and a representative from the employer side would go a long way towards calming down attitudes and opinions people have formed concerning the Industrial Court.”

Arguing in favour of “the need for an interventionist court”, Mr Clive Thompson, a labour lawyer with the Centre for Applied Legal Studies, said that in view of South Africa’s limited and inglorious tradition of collective bargaining, “a lot of ground must be made up in a relatively short period”.

Recent decisions by the Minister of Manpower to prevent certain matters from reaching the Industrial Court — which he is empowered to do — meant that the court had been “blocked from performing its rule-making function”, said Mr Thompson.

“Whatever the statutes may say, it is evident that political considerations play a role in guiding the process of decision-making,” he added. “The Minister’s conduct can only be interpreted as a vote of no-confidence in the industrial court.”
Workers cry ‘fowl’ over farm rule

IS THE slaughter house at Rainbow Chickens a farm or a factory?
That’s the question to be decided by the Industrial Court, and the outcome could vitally affect hundreds of workers.

The issue was raised this week when seven workers from Rainbow Chickens, sacked on February 6 when they refused to do overtime, applied to be re-instated.

Lawyers for the Chicken giant said the court had no jurisdiction over the seven men because they were farm workers and are therefore excluded from taking action through the court.

The seven want to be re-instated because they claim their dismissal was an unfair labour practice and that they were given no hearing before being sacked.

Their lawyers, Durban’s "legal resources centre, argued that they were busy with industrial rather than farm work, and that the court could therefore rule that they be re-instated.

According to the lawyers, the plant is in the Hammarsdale industrial area.

The land is zoned for industrial use, the company pays rates on the “plant land,” assessed as factory not farm land.

They argued that if relief was not granted to the seven, it would indicate to the workers that management was entitled to do what they liked.

Workers at the plant would know they had no rights and everyone would live in fear of being dismissed as the seven.
Manpower report mood of discrimination

Parliament and Politics
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The Cape Times, Wednesday, May 9, 1984
Chicken farm men reinstated by court

Mail Reporter

THE industrial court has ordered the reinstatement of six Rainbow Chicken employees after finding they were industrial and not agricultural workers.

The case, brought by the Legal Resources Centre, followed the dismissal of the six workers from Rainbow's processing plant at the Humdersdale industrial township in Natal for refusing to work overtime.

Mr Arthur Chaskalson, SC, for the workers, charged that they had been unfairly dismissed because, in terms of the Basic Conditions of Employment Act, they had a right to refuse to work overtime.

Mr Roy Alloway, SC, for the company, said the Act excluded farm workers and domestic workers. In addition, such workers had no right of access to the industrial court.

Mr Chaskalson argued that workers in Rainbow's processing plant did work which was in essence no different from any other industrial operation.

Mr D B Ehlers found that the plant was an industrial and not a farming operation, that the workers were subject to the protective legislation and found their dismissal was an unfair labour practice.

He ordered the company to reinstate the workers.
Parliament and Politics

Unregistered unions had 140 004 members in 1983

By BARRY STREEK

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.

— The 59 known unregistered unions had 140 004 members, including 344 whites, last year, the Department of Manpower said in its annual report yesterday.

The report, which was tabled in Parliament, revealed that there were 204 registered trade unions last year with a total membership of 1,588,768, an increase of 63,264 or 5.2 percent.

The department said the amendment of the Labour Relations Act to incorporate workers of all races within the definition of "employee" had shown that a real need had been met by the reforms.

"This is clear not only from the positive reaction that resulted from the step, but also from the increasing use by the various population groups of the opportunity to establish and register trade unions and to use the official bargaining and conciliation machinery."

This had been reflected by the new applications of 54 unions for registration since the introduction of the reforms in 1979.

One notable result had been the intensive campaign by existing and new trade unions to recruit members from unorganized black workers.

"Although this had a positive result, it also gave rise to keen competition, particularly among the newly-established unions, to gain the support of the workers and in some cases this gave rise to labour unrest."

"This sometimes created high expectations among the workers as to the improvements in service benefits that the trade unions would be able to obtain."

"However, many of these expectations cannot be realized because of their unrealistic nature."

It also said the increasing work load of the Industrial Court was "an indication that, in the view of both employer and employee parties, it has an important function to fulfil in the regula-

The number of cases referred to the court had quadrupled: During 1983 a total of 130 cases had been reviewed by the court, compared to 39 in 1982.

The department also said that although a "significant part of the labour force" remained unorganized, these workers were becoming increasingly aware of the opportunities of being organized into unions.

"It appears that new black trade unions (registered and unregistered) are being formed in steadily growing numbers which, as may be expected, is resulting in greater competition in certain areas and industries."

"Some of these unions prefer not to make use of the existing statutory negotiating structures, and are not prepared to avail themselves of the dispute-settling machinery that is provided for in the Labour Relations Act."

"During 1983 there were however indications that the so-called new trade unions were willing to make use of the existing labour relations systems, especially the conciliation board system and the Industrial Court."
Worker safety (\textsuperscript{1994})

THE Government has passed legislation, aimed at protecting the safety and health of workers at workplaces countrywide.

The Minister of Manpower, Mr P T C du Plessis, said that the important feature of this legislation is the Machinery and Occupational Act of 1983 required employers and employees to consult each other about hazards which might exist.

The legislation also required employers and employees to form a consensus through a system of safety committees. The legislation will soon replace the Factories, Machinery and Building Works Act of 1941.

"The legislation spreads its protective umbrella over everybody who is in employment in South Africa, with the exception of those who work in the mining industry and who are protected by the Mines and Works Act.

"If there is a healthy relationship between the employers and employees and a genuine desire to ensure the safety of the workplace, infinitely more can be done to safeguarding the safety and health of the worker in that workplace," the minister said.

The minister appealed to employers to appoint representatives and give them a "fair hearing" when they come with requests or suggestions.

Employers should ensure that senior management took an interest in the workings of safety committees so that these committees could be meaningful and make a "real contribution" towards the safety of workers," he said.
LABOUR LAW

A premature act?

A question which has been worrying many people about the Labour Relations Amendment Bill (LRAB) was raised in Parliament this week by the Progressive Federal Party's chief manpower spokesman, Alex Berane.

Speaking during the debate on the Department of Manpower's budget vote, Berane highlighted the fact that a recent National Manpower Commission (NMC) report covers much the same ground — yet makes different recommendations — as one of the Bill's most contentious provisions.

The LRAB, which is currently being considered by a Parliamentary select committee, states that if employers' organisations or unions do not comply with certain conditions laid down in the Labour Relations Act, any agreements theyreach will not be enforceable in any court, including the Industrial Court.

The conditions include supplying the department with details of constitutions, head office addresses, names of office-bearers and officials, maintaining a register of members, keeping books of account, preparing annual financial statements, having books audited, and submitting annual financial statements to members.

Furthermore, the LRAB says that if parties do not submit details of any agreements they reach to the Department of Manpower within 30 days of concluding them, they will be guilty of a criminal offence.

The Bill was tabled during the first week of the current Parliament sitting. These provisions in it have been interpreted unfavourably in many quarters — including some in the employer camp.

Not consulted

Several members of the NMC, which is charged with making recommendations to government on labour matters, are known to be angry that the commission was not consulted about the Bill.

The NMC's report — which the Minister of Manpower received in July-August last year — deals with the levels of collective bargaining and works councils, the registration of trade unions and employers' organisations and related matters, and the Industrial Court. It was tabled in Parliament earlier this month.

Government is now awaiting comment on it before publishing its response in a White Paper.

Regarding registration, the majority of NMC members recommended that the current provisions in the Labour Relations Act should be repealed and replaced by a system in terms of which all organisations wishing to operate as trade unions or employer organisations should comply with certain minimum requirements.
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Workers can prevent dismissals

By JOSHUA RABOROKO

A legal device has been used with devastating effect by the trade union movement to prevent employers from dismissing striking workers.

This view is expressed by industrial relations consultant Andrew Levy in his new book "Unfair Dismissal," published by Davids Steyn Publishers.

In the book, Levy says the strategy is for the union to allege that the employer has committed an unfair labour practice by dismissing his striking workers and to apply to the Industrial Court for relief.

If the union can show the court that the sacked employees suffer more inconvenience than the employer suffers, the court will usually grant a "reinstatement" order compelling the employer to reinstate the employee.

This does not mean, says Levy, the employees actually have to go back on the job but merely that their wages have to be paid.

The employer in the meantime would have hired other workers and will face a double wage bill.

Stinging

Levy says the tactic has been so powerful that most employers have settled out of court. Others are learning to avoid the entire situation by making sure that industrial relations do not reach this low point.

According to Levy, the Industrial Court stunned the business world with a stinging judgment in a labour relations case involving the United South African Motor Workers' Union and Fodens Pty Ltd.

The judgment reinstated three Fodens employees who it ruled had been wrongfully dismissed, forcing the firm to re-recruit and negotiate with the union, ordered it to cease making derogatory remarks about the union and demanded it to stop victimizing employees for being union members.

"This case was an important first in South African industrial relations, according to Levy, who said it heralded a new age of collective bargaining.

He says one of the most important and confusing issues in industrial relations is the concept of unfair labour practice. It is one of the important factors which spark off strikes.

"An incorrect decision to dismiss a worker may lead to fines, damages, reinstatements or costs out-of-court settlements," he says.

The importance of the Fodens case was irrespective of law or the contract of employment, the company was found guilty of committing an "unfair labour practice" (ULP) purely because it had acted in an entirely predictable way to the presence of a black trade union.

"The concept of ULP is based on a consideration of equity or fair play. Although the law should be fair, it sometimes is not and the question of fairness becomes a determining factor," he says.

In his contention, three things have to be looked into before employees are fired. These are: "Could I, or should I, or will I get away with it?" If the answer to these questions is no, then "You can fire any worker at your own peril," he says.

"What was good law," Levy continues, "is not a necessarily good practice nor good labour relations. If a company finds out that its best heavy equipment operator has a fake licence, it might be proper to fire the worker but be more practical and help him find another licence.

He says it is important that managers should know about the labour legislation because the less equipped managers will be forced to know
Director slates new labour Bill

Pretoria Bureau

"The Labour Relations Amendment Bill indicates that the Government is trying to over control a situation that is in the process of evolution."

This was said yesterday by Mr Peter Morum, managing director of Firestone in South Africa. He was speaking at a seminar of the Natal Chamber of Industries in Durban at which changing industrial relations were discussed.

"We have a proliferation of trade unions, we have inexperienced management and we have an over-reactive Government," he said.

No good was done for labour peace when the police locked up labour leaders. Mr Morum said, as their replacements were even more distrustful.

Mr Morum also noted that the proposed Bill did not allow for verbal agreements which would now have no validity in law. He said SA had barely started along the road to enlightened labour negotiations and the gap between workers and management had to be reduced.
ILL-FED CHILDREN: No valid estimates

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY

The government has no "general and valid" estimate of the number of children in South Africa suffering from malnutrition, the Minister of Health and Welfare, Dr N. van der Merwe, has said in a written reply to a parliamentary question.

Dr Van der Merwe told the Progressive Federal Party (PFP Parktown) that because the term "malnutrition" was ill-defined, and the standards used to assess it were not "universally applicable", there were "no general and valid estimates of the number of children suffering from malnutrition per population group" in South Africa.

POLITICAL STAFF

PFP attacks 'big stick' labour bill

The government continually states it believes in freedom of association in labour matters.

The Labour Relations Amendment Bill will make most agreements between unions and employers unenforceable in courts, including the industrial court, and it will make it a criminal offence for employers not to submit particulars of agreements to the Department of Manpower.

The bill was attacked by a number of unregistered unions when it was first introduced yesterday, the Progressive Federal Party spokesman on manpower, Dr Alex Boraine, MP for Pinelands, said the decision to go ahead with the bill was "cynical in the extreme".

One of the changes proposed by the select committee is that "particulars" of agreements will have to be provided to Department of Manpower inspectors instead of copies of agreements, as originally proposed.

The other change is that employers or employer groups will be responsible for forwarding these particulars and they will have 30 days to do so, instead of 30 days in the original draft "parties to the agreement had to forward copies of the agreements.

Dr Boraine said the government action was "nonsense" and a "stupid way" to deal with labour legislation.

"This can only antagonise workers, who will see it as an attack on them and as an attempt to control them, although the government continually states it believes in freedom of association in labour matters.

He said, however, welcome the changes that had been proposed by the select committee.

In future, agreements, unless they complied with the regulations, would not be enforceable in any court, including the industrial court.

"I think that is pathetic and a cause of trouble," Dr Boraine said.

He was also strongly opposed to a provision in the bill which will enable the minister to order all or any of the provisions of agreements to be inoperative if he considers it to be in the interests of employers or employees or in the public or national interest.

Dr Boraine said this gave the minister new powers, which were essentially political, and the select committee had refused to change this.

"In general, the big stick is still in the proposed law instead of the carrot.

"They are taking a strange step to deal with a small part of the labour movement, and it seems to me they are merely trying to please the right-wing trade unions.

"A large number of bodies, including the Federated Chamber of Industries, sent memoranda to the select committee, asking the government not to proceed with the measure.

"If the government is committed to industrial peace, they are going about it in a very funny way and if they are to continue the enlightened approach of Wiehahn, they have a very remarkable way of showing it," Dr Boraine said.
Go-ahead for "half-baked" bill

Political Staff

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY - The government yesterday pushed ahead with the controversial Labour Relations Amendment Bill, in spite of calls from two opposition parties and the Federated Chamber of Industries for it to delay the measure.

The chief Opposition spokesman on manpower, Dr Alex Boraine (FPF Pinelands), said during the second reading debate of the bill that it was not only "premature and illogical" but also "potentially disruptive".

He also said it was "half-baked and quite out of step with the spirit of legislation which has been introduced into this House since the appointment of the Wiehahn Commission".

Dr Boraine called on the government to postpone consideration of the proposed legislation until after it had received representations on the 400-page National Manpower Commission report on trade union affairs.

In his speech, he quoted telegram from the Federated Chamber of Industries and the Transvaal Chamber of Industries also calling on the government to defer the bill until after comments had been received on the Manpower Commission report.

The FPI had said it was "unwise" to proceed with the measure, particularly in view of the need to maintain labour peace in South Africa and the TCI had said it was "illogical" to proceed with a measure which could be changed as new information became available.

The vast majority of unions in South Africa complied with the minimum requirements of the law, so there were about six unions who refused to do so, Mr Du Plessis said.

These unions wanted to sign agreements and enjoy the benefits of the law but they did not want to comply with the requirements of the law.

The government did not prohibit anyone from signing agreements but those agreements should be in accordance with the provisions of the law.

Asked by Mr Miller what would happen if the new law led to illegal strikes, Mr Du Plessis replied "if they take part in illegal action they know they are heading for trouble".

He also said the cornerstone of the measure was that it was reasonable and in keeping with the feelings of the majority of people who wanted peace in the labour field.

In particular, the bill was welcomed by established unions, Mr Du Plessis said.

"If we just let this matter die, we are going to get chaos in the labour field."

...
Labour Bill ‘half-baked’ — opposition

Parliamentary Staff

A BILL on labour relations has been attacked by opposition speakers in the Assembly as “half-baked”, potentially disruptive and out of character with the Government’s earlier labour reforms.

Both the Progressive Federal Party and the New Republic Party opposed the second reading of the Labour Relations Amendment Bill. The Conservative Party supported the measure.

The proposed legislation, which has been under investigation by a parliamentary select committee, was introduced by the Minister of Manpower, Mr PTC du Plessis.

The Bill provides among other matters for agreements between trade unions and employers, under certain circumstances, to be unenforceable in courts, including industrial courts.

It makes it a criminal offence for employers not to submit particulars of agreements to the Department of Manpower.

A further contentious provision takes away from the industrial court appeals by persons who feel aggrieved by any decision of an industrial council and empowers the Minister to handle such appeals.

Dr Alex Boraine (FFP Paarl) said the Bill was not only premature and illogical, but was also potentially disruptive.

Speaking in yesterday’s second-reading debate, he said the Bill was also “half-baked” and out of step with the spirit of labour legislation introduced since the appointment of the Wehahn Commission.

The Government’s “stubborn determination” to proceed with the Bill at this stage was not helpful to the maintenance of labour peace in South Africa.

Dr Boraine said that although certain improvements had been made by the select committee, the Bill was being proceeded with in spite of the fact that important representations on a recent National Manpower Commission report about labour matters were still being awaited.

The Government had also not yet tabled its White Paper on the matter.

Dr Boraine said he would have thought that if the Government wished to build on the success of recent labour legislation, it would proceed with discretion, sensitivity and patience. The Government’s approach in this instance was a contradiction of all these qualities.

“To rush this Bill through at this stage will help no one. It may indeed widen the already existing distrust between some labour unions and the Government and make the task of the employer even more difficult than it is.”

The evidence called for by the select committee had been almost equally divided between those who supported, with qualifications, the legislation on those who opposed it. Major reservations had been expressed.

Dr Boraine moved an amendment declining to pass the second reading of the Bill until such time as the Government had published its White Paper on the report of the National Manpower Commission.

Mr Ron Miller (NRP Durban North) said the provision disallowing certain unions the right to take their disagreements to court was a drastic step to coerce a few unions.

Mr Miller said he agreed with Dr Boraine that the matter should wait until all evidence had been received.

He warned that trade unions could resort to strike action if they were prevented from taking part in bargaining procedures.

Speakers on the Government side defended the Bill and rejected the main opposition criticism.

Mr G C Ballot (NP Overvaal) said Dr Boraine had certain valid arguments.

However, he could not agree with Dr Boraine’s viewpoint that the Government was using “the big stick”. The mere fact that the Government had referred the Bill to a select committee showed that it regarded labour matters as “sensitive” and was prepared to handle them accordingly.

Mr Casper Uys (CP Barberton) said his party had serious misgivings about certain aspects of the Bill, but agreed with other aspects.
Bill may lead to strikes

THE controversial Labour Relations Amendment Bill passed its final stage yesterday with the official Opposition and the New Republic Party warning that it could lead to increased strike action.

The Bill provides, among other matters, for agreements between trade unions and employers, under certain circumstances, to be unenforceable in courts, including Industrial Courts.

The Progressive Federal Party and the New Republic Party opposed the Bill, while the Conservative Party supported it.

Speaking during the third-reading debate yesterday morning, Dr Alex Boraine (PPP Pinelands) said the Bill struck at the freedom of contract for both workers and employers. Certain of the clauses of the Bill, which he described as “premature, ill-timed and half-baked”, were “conducive to conflict rather than labour peace”.

One of the contentious provisions takes away from the Industrial Court appeals by persons who feel aggrieved by any decision of an Industrial council and empowers the Minister to handle such appeals.

Dr Boraine warned that the labour field was “volatile and sensitive”, and urged the Government not to wreck the excellent developments that had taken place over the past years with unnecessary action.

Militant

He warned the Government not to be bullied into a false sense of security because of the decline in strike action recently.

“When the upturn in the economy comes it is possible that we will be faced with a militant labour force,” he said. Mr Ron Miller (NRP Durban North) said his party agreed there was a need to regulate trade unions but they disagreed that the Bill would do what it purported to do.

The Bill would cause more discontent than solve problems, he said. It would not persuade unregistered unions to register but would rather “drive them into a corner” and they would resort to strike action, he said.

Replying, the Minister of Manpower, Mr Piet du Plessis said the Bill would have the effect of forcing trade unions to comply with the law and would help to achieve the ideal of total registration.

Rejecting Opposition arguments, he said the Bill would result in “orderliness” and would force trade unions to “play according to the rules”.

The Bill did not detract from collective bargaining. It would in fact, protect workers and employers. Employers would now know who they were dealing with, he said.
Bill 'encourages total registration'

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.

- The "ideal" of total registration of trade unions would be encouraged by the Labour Relations Amendment Bill, the Minister of Manpower, Mr Pietie du Plessis, said yesterday.

The bill, which went through its final stages in Parliament yesterday in spite of the opposition of the Progressive Federal Party and New Republic Party, will limit the power of unions which do not comply with the minimum requirements of the law to negotiate enforceable agreements with employers.

Mr Du Plessis said the bill would not take away the rights of anyone to act collectively "provided there is compliance with the minimum requirements, as laid down in this law."

Some unions did not want to comply with these requirements but wanted to take advantage of the system.

"The department, and in many cases workers in particular factories, do not know the bona fides of those people, yet those people act on their behalf.

"They could be ordinary agitators or people who have motives other than the advancement of the interests of the employers.

"They could be people with strange motives who want to slip in through the backdoor to achieve certain political objectives by making use of the machinery which has been introduced into the labour market," Mr Du Plessis said.

The minimum requirements of unions included the duty to keep records and report back annually to their members on how their money was spent, and to spell out their aims in a constitution.

"If such an organization or trade union is restricted by its constitution, then its members will know if the organization is busy with other matters and is not serving and security and this would be brought about with the bill.

The effect of the measure would be "the realization to a greater degree that we take trade unions and employers' bodies so far that they move closer to the ideal of total registration."

His predecessor had in the past followed a very reasonable path, particularly as both the Witsbahn Commission and the National Manpower Commission had recommended that registration be the absolute minimum condition for a union to use the dispute-settlement procedure provided in the law.

What had happened, however, was that people who in no way complied with the requirements of the law were entering the collective bargaining terrain.

The bill would protect workers against trade unions which possibly wanted to exploit them and lead them on the wrong road, Mr Du Plessis said.

"Unions such as Tusca were always in favour of extending rights to black workers and workers of other colours."

They are today in the kraal. They work within the system.

"Must I now ignore them because there are now a few organizations which say to Parliament they will not take any notice of its law and carry on as they like — to drive on the right-hand side of the road, and if necessary even upside down."

"We simply cannot allow it. The whole point of departure of this legislation is to bring about order and discipline and to bring security for everyone," Mr Du Plessis said.
Call to resist Labour Bill

THE BLACK Allied Mining and Construction Workers' Union (BAMCWU) has called on the black community and organisations to resist the Labour Relations Amendment Bill which puts stricter control on trade unions and employers.

By JOSHUA RABOROKO

The resolution was taken at the union's national congress held at St Peters, Hammanskraal at the weekend.

In terms of the Bill, all recognition agreements will have to be submitted to the Department of Manpower, and will have no force in law unless the relevant unions have submitted certain information to the Department.

The emotion-charged congress said that it noted the latest ploy by the State to further entrench itself in worker organisations as shown by the Bill.

Resist

"We call upon all black worker organisations and the black community to resist such inroads into the freedom of the workers," the resolutions read.

The congress also strongly condemned the latest exploitation and oppression of black workers. It committed itself to resisting new forms of exploitation, especially low wages for workers.

Referring to a trade union federation, BAMCWU said it fully supported the initiative of forming a principled alliance with other worker organisations.

BAMCWU is one of the seven trade unions which established a new alliance of unregistered, independent trade unions.

Diseases

Among resolutions passed at the congress was one noting the high rate of diseases caused by the environment at workplaces.

The newly re-elected president, Mr Letsatsi Mosala, told The SOWETAN yesterday that the union was committed to working closely with other unions. The union's strength was growing rapidly and "we intend signing recognition agreements with several companies where we have membership."

New office bearers are Mr Mosala, president, Mr M Rakoea, senior vice president, Mr W Mashugo, vice president, Mr P Nefolovhodwe, general secretary, Mr W Mafifi, national organiser, Mr Simon Mokoena, second vice president, Mr M Mokhine, publicity secretary, Mr Patrick Make and Mr W Mbala, committee members.
MANPOWER REPORT

Collective criticism

The National Manpower Commission (NMC) report on collective bargaining and related matters continues to arouse controversy.

The report was tabled in Parliament a few weeks ago. It covers levels of collective bargaining and works councils, the registration of trade unions and employers’ organisations, and the Industrial Court. At that stage government took the laudable step of announcing that it would not publish its response in a White Paper before it had received comment from labour circles. It is now becoming clear that at least some sectors have serious criticism of the recommendations.

Many of them were aired last week in a debate at the SA Institute of Race Relations. NMC chairman Henne Reynders fielded questions on the report from labour lawyers, trade unions, employer representatives and academics.

The questions centered mainly on the two most controversial aspects of the report: those relating to the registration of trade unions, and proposals to tighten up the definition of an unfair labour practice in the Labour Relations Act (LRA).

Race out

The majority of the commissioners recommended that the Industrial Registrar should not take race and representativeness into account when considering applications for trade union registration. This is generally seen in a positive light. But it was also recommended that unions should not be able to operate and participate in collective bargaining unless they comply with certain minimum requirements. These include supplying the Department of Manpower, with basic information, about union constitutions, the scope and purposes of the organisation, office addresses, membership, and lists of office-bearers. Proper accounting procedures would also have to be complied with.

These requirements are essentially the same as those laid down in the LRA at present. Most unions comply with them. But the sting in the tail introduced in the NMC report is that the commission has recommended that unions which do not comply with them will be liable for prosecution. Despite denials, this has been widely interpreted as a move to clamp down on unregistered unions — some of which have a high profile outside the workplace in the political arena.

At present, an unfair labour practice (ULP) is very loosely defined in the LRA. Unions have taken full advantage of this. Assessing all allegations of ULPs has become a major part of the Industrial Court’s work, and unions have won significant victories through this mechanism. The NMC has recommended that the definition should be narrowed and has listed a number of examples of what should in future be regarded as ULPs.

Legal Resources Centre attorney Geoff Budlender asked a number of key questions in the Race Relations session. He pointed out that since the publication of the Wiahhn commission’s reports, and the subsequent changes to legislation, a new era of accommodation had come about in the SA labour field. Government appeared to be endorsing the view that there should not be in which management is prepared to reconcile such unions once their representivity has been tested. The NMC recommendations, he said, appeared to be designed to protect such union members from themselves. As such, he found it difficult to reconcile them with statements from the Director-General of Manpower that the age of paternalism in labour was dead.

Objections

Other speakers raised numerous objections to the NMC’s examples of proposed ULPs. A number of the labour lawyers objected to the fact that many of the instances of ULPs which have been upheld in the Industrial Court were not included in the NMC’s list.

Attorney John Brand objected to one of the proposed ULPs. This proposal states that the unjustifiable dismissal of an employee by an employee of another population group, where the onus is to prove that such a dismissal is not justifiable, is a ULP. Brand said he did not know of any cases in the Industrial Court regarding ULPs in which these particular points had been contested.

Objections were also raised to the NMC’s proposal that “union interference with employer affairs” should be a ULP. In the debate, it became apparent that if unions take up any issue which management regards as its own prerogative, and which it has not agreed to negotiate with unions, this could be a ULP. Brand said union challenges of management prerogative are the essence of collective bargaining. If that was to be regarded as interference, there would be nothing left to negotiate, he argued.

Another NMC proposal debated was that “the abuse of its organisation or negotiating power by a trade union to the detriment of other groups” should be an ULP. The NMC qualified this proposal by stating that it should apply in situations of “unions using their bargaining power to compel an employer to deal with it only and not to negotiate with a minority union.” Many emerging unions demand that employers negotiate only with majority unions. Brand said the proposal was intended to protect minority racial unions, without stating it openly.

Reynders conceded that the present wording of the ULP proposals created room for misunderstanding. But he stated that the proposals were merely guidelines. If they were finally accepted by government, legal experts would have a hand in drawing up regulations clarifying them. He appealed to the participants not to be too sceptical about them as faults would be ironed out in the drafting process.
LABOUR LEGISLATION
A troubling change

The basis for the fragile peace in labour relations in SA could well have been broken by the adoption of the Labour Relations Amendment Bill in Parliament this week. At present the Labour Relations Act (LRA) requires both registered and unregistered trade unions to comply with certain minimum requirements. These include supplying the Department of Manpower with details of constitutions, head office addresses, names of office-bearers and officials as well as financial information. The most contentious aspect of the amendment is that if unions do not comply with these minimum requirements, any agreements they reach will not be enforceable in any court, including the Industrial Court.

Not only were there sharp divisions about whether or not to proceed with the Bill, but the warnings about the negative effects among workers — by bodies like the Federated Chamber of Industries (FCI) and opposition manpower spokesmen — were ignored.

Moreover, it is by no means clear why it was necessary to proceed with the legislation now. As both PPF and NHR manpower spokesmen Alex Boraine and Ron Miller pointed out, government had asked for comments on the National Manpower Commission (NMC) report on collective bargaining and related matters — which covers some of the same issues — before it publishes a White Paper on the report. The FCI made the same point in a telex sent the Select Committee which considered the original draft of the Bill.

Boraine lashed out during this week’s Parliamentary debate “Government is using a large hammer to deal with a problem which has the signs of working itself out.” I say that the stubborn determination to proceed with this Bill at this stage is not helpful to the maintenance of labour peace in SA.

Miller was equally emphatic “Inevitably there are going to be more strikes in the future, as a result of this clause (dealing with the non-enforceability of agreements). In the final analysis, those trade unions will resort to strike action, which in itself will be illegal. We are, however, leaving them no alternative. We are driving them into a corner and when they are in a corner and have nothing to lose, they will go for illegal striking.”

But Manpower Ministor Poetse du Plessis — who has said that the new law would only apply to “five or six” unregistered unions who refuse to comply with the LRA’s minimum requirements — would not back down.

He argued that these unions wanted to benefit from the advantages of the labour relations system but refused to accept any of the obligations that went along with it. This “permissive” situation could not continue. It was necessary to have “order” and “discipline” to ensure compliance with the minimum requirements of the law. He also said that both the Wiehahn Commission and the NMC had recommended compulsory registration.

In many cases, he said, the Manpower Department and factory workers did not know the “bona fides” of these unions. Yet, their officials acted on behalf of workers. They could be ordinary agitators or people who have motives other than the advancement of the interests of the employers. They could be people with strange motives who want to slip in through the back door to achieve certain political objectives by making use of the machinery which has been introduced into the labour market,” Du Plessis said. The Bill would be a protection to workers and union members.

While no one would argue that the minimum requirements demanded of unions are excessive, the Bill does amount to compelling unions to register. In the labour field — which Du Plessis himself has described as “sensitive” — such a move could turn out to be dynamite.

Unusually, the report of the select committee which considered the original draft of the Labour Relations Amendment Bill was not published before the measure was debated in Parliament.

Chief Opposition labour spokesman Alex Boraine, describing this as an “undesirable state of affairs,” said “One would have thought that under normal circumstances a report of a select committee would be available when one was debating a new Bill that emanated from that committee.”

By the time the debate was over, the select committee report still had not been published.

But another Opposition MP, Nic Olivier, gave an indication of the division of opinion about the measure.

He said those who had submitted evidence in support of the Bill included the Department of Manpower, Motor Industry Employees’ Union, Transport Owners’ Association, Mine Workers’ Union, National Union of Furniture and Allied Workers’ Union, Industrial Council for the Clothing Industry of the Cape, Sigma Motor Corporation, Textile Workers’ Industrial Union, SA Confederation of Labour, and the SA Police.

Olivier said those who had opposed the measure included the Chemical Engineering Industries’ Federation of SA, General Workers’ Union, Leyland SA, Natal Chamber of Industries, Federated Chamber of Industries, Midlands Chamber of Industries, Transvaal Chamber of Industries, Mine Surface Officials’ Association, Associated Food and Cannery Workers’ Union, University of Cape Town’s Graduate School of Business, University of Port Elizabeth’s Industrial Relations Unit, Premier Group Holdings, SA Bus Employers’ Association, SA Labour and Development Research Unit, as well as the Association of Attorneys and other bodies.
THE CLOSED SHOP

Controlling the entry points

Few labour issues can heat emotions like that of the closed shop. The practice of employing only workers who are members of specific unions is controversial—and particularly so in SA, where race is an ever-present factor in the workplace.

Before 1979, in the pre-Wiehahn period, Africans could not be members of legally-recognised trade unions. The closed shop was widely condemned by labour reformists as entrenching job reservation. Only recognised unions could enter into closed shop agreements prohibiting employers from hiring non-union labour—and all these unions excluded Africans.

Since government accorded legal recognition to black trade unions, much has changed. Unions mainly representing unskilled black workers—founded both before and after Wiehahn—have flourished. And as they gained strength, they began to challenge the closed shop prerogatives held by the older unions. Some have applied for, and been granted exemptions. The Federation of SA Trade Unions (Fosatu), affiliated to the Paper Wood and Allied Workers' Union, has, for instance, been exempted from the SA Typographical Union's closed shop at a number of Nampak factories.

At one stage it seemed that if the emerging unions continued to gain exemptions, there would be a long-term erosion of the closed shop. But, recently, the older unions have begun to use the closed shop to resist the organisational gains made by the newer unions. One defensive tactic has been to develop a mechanism to expel workers from established unions if they join emerging ones—and so bring down closed shop penalties on the employer.

This seems to be the case in the present battle between Fosatu's National Union of Textile Workers (NUTW) and the Garment Workers' Industrial Union (GWIU). Both have members at the Pinetown protective clothing manufacturer, James North (Africa) Conflict started last year when the NUTW applied to the Natal clothing industrial council for membership. The application was refused on the grounds that the NUTW did not have sufficient representation in the industry. The NUTW subsequently announced that it would challenge the industrial council's decision in the Industrial Court.

The GWIU, which is affiliated to the Trade Union Council of SA (Tucsa), followed suit last year by amending its constitution to allow it to expel any member who joined another trade union. It was a masterful tactic. Labour laws do not prevent workers from belonging to two trade unions. But in terms of the GWIU's closed shop agreement with James North, it meant that the company would be liable for censure and prosecution by the industrial council if it continued to employ NUTW members.

While the GWIU's tactic was undoubtedly aimed at ensuring its survival against the NUTW onslaught, it posed a gigantic headache for James North. No company enjoys being caught in the middle of a trade union membership war. James North took the sensible decision to test the workers' true feelings by conducting a secret ballot.

The result was a resounding victory for the NUTW. Some 219 workers voted in favour of it, while only 43 opted for the GWIU.

But the GWIU did not take the matter lying down. It alleged ballot irregularities and worker intimidation, and has questioned the impartiality of James North's ballot auditors.

The NUTW's challenge of the Natal clothing industrial council's rejection was heard in the Industrial Court last week. No decision had been handed down by the time the FM went to press. But the GWIU did concede in court that the ballot results were accurate. The judgment will be crucial for determining the future of the GWIU's closed shop in the Natal clothing industry, in which the NUTW has made significant inroads. NUTW general secretary John Copelyn tells the FM his union has organised some 5500 Natal clothing workers—although not all fall within the GWIU's closed shop.

The closed shop emerged as a point of contention in another case heard last week in the Rand Supreme Court. This arose out of an application lodged in March by the National Union of Furniture and Allied Workers (NUFAW) for an urgent interdict preventing the Paper Wood and Allied Workers' Union (PWAWU) from recruiting workers in the furniture manufacturing industry. The furniture union, which dissipates from Tucsa recently, argued that the PWAWU was acting in contravention of its...
own constitution, which did not cover furniture workers. The NUFAW's action stemmed out of the PAWAU's encroachment at Brits furniture factory Pat Cormick. But the NUFAW obviously intended to stop its Fosatu rival from organising anywhere in the furniture manufacturing industry.

A complicating factor in the case is that the PAWAU had applied to have its scope extended before the NUFAW's application to the Supreme Court. By the time the matter came up for hearing last week, the extension had been granted. The hearing therefore revolved around costs and whether the NUFAW was entitled to prevent the PAWAU from recruiting furniture workers at the time the application was lodged.

The NUFAW argued that employees who joined the PAWAU would be endangering their right to continued employment in the furniture industry because it has a closed shop. The PAWAU's legal representatives contended that the employees had every right to belong to more than one union. The NUFAW stated in court that it intended amending its constitution to prevent this— as did the GWIU. The FM went to press before the court passed judgment.

Flashpoint issue

These two cases vividly illustrate how the closed shop has become a flashpoint between the emerging and older unions. Tucsa General Secretary Arthur Grobbelaar is a staunch supporter of the concept: "It is a legitimate means whereby trade unions can ensure that they retain their representation and representativeness. The Labour Relations Act provides various escape routes to keep them on their toes."

But while the emerging unions seldom have much in common with Tucsa, it would be far off the mark to assume that they are against the closed shop in principle. A leading Fosatu representative told the FM: "We feel the closed shop is a legitimate union demand. Fosatu does not accept that the closed shop is against freedom of association, but it has been abused in SA because of minority and racist unions. The closed shop must be based on representativity. The industrial closed shop that some SA trade unions have was not based on that. Workers must also have a way of getting out of a closed shop in the event of a union losing support." 

According to a National Manpower Commission (NMC) report on the closed shop issued in 1981, 50 industrial councils out of a total of 104 had 57 closed shop agreements. These covered about 250,000 workers, 23,000 of them union members. The report stated that certain unions are involved in eight closed shop deals. Of the exclusively white unions, 25% had closed shops, while of the unions which catered for whites, coloureds and Asians, 82% had closed shops. Only one black union had a closed shop. An senior government spokesman told the FM these figures remain roughly valid.

The majority of these agreements contain a reciprocal clause—that employees are obliged to work only for employers who are party to the agreement, while employers can only hire union labour.

The NMC's investigation of the closed shop arose from the Wiehahn commission's consideration of the practice. It reveals exactly how contentious the closed shop is. In Part I of the Wiehahn report the majority of commissioners recommended that the closed shop should be maintained, subject to constant surveillance by the NMC. However, government in its responding White Paper, favoured the minority view that future closed shops should be prohibited and that existing agreements should be maintained depending on the wishes of the participating parties. But it instructed the NMC to investigate the matter further.

After much deliberation the NMC's 1981 report recommended that the capacity to negotiate closed shops should be retained but that more safeguards should be considered. The NMC stated: "Although there are strong philosophical and practical objections to the closed shop it is a long-established practice in SA the retention of which will have more advantages than disadvantages. It is a way of recognising that trade unions need some sort of security arrangements which is justified because of the role they play in the maintenance of industrial peace."

It added that a prohibition on future closed shops, despite possible merits, would result in profound disruption of a large number of stable employer-employee relationships.

In its White Paper on the fifth Wiehahn report, government accepted the NMC's recommendations— but decreed that a 90-day period should be allowed before an employee has to become a union member. That will not be the NMC's last word on the closed shop. It is shortly to release another report on safeguards.

There are many arguments for and against the closed shop—and they are not restricted to SA. According to Kate Jowell of UCT's Business School, the International Labour Organisation (ILO) has not taken a stand on the issue despite the fact that it has adopted more than 156 conventions on labour issues. Thus, she says, could be seen as indicating the conference's acceptance of the practice. However, it could also be indicative of the difficulty of formulating a convention acceptable to the three parties involved in the ILO—international labour, management, and the organisation's various states' representatives.

Arguments for closed shops are:
- They contribute to industrial peace since they lead to the development of larger and stronger trade unions. This obliges employers to pay due heed to them and to comply with agreements.
- They help prevent the establishment of a large number of trade unions in the same industry, so contributing to greater trade union stability.
- They aid trade union discipline, and consequently help employers too.
- It would be unfair for non-union members to derive benefits from the actions of trade unions without bearing the obligations of membership.
- They are so entrenched in SA that abolition will lead to disruption.

Some find these arguments compelling. But in the final analysis, closed shops restrict both employees' and employers' labour choices. This goes against the grain of all that free enterprise represents. Just as workers should have the freedom to associate, and to join the trade union of their choice, they should also have the freedom not to associate. In a country like SA this is a vital principle.
STANDING OF INDUSTRIAL COURT

By BRENDAN RYAN

THERE is an urgent need to clarify the status of the Industrial Court, according to the directors of Anglo American Corporation.

In their review for the year to end-March they say the Industrial Court must be more fully integrated into the judicial system.

"An equally pressing requirement is that of clarifying the court's role in the settlement of disputes and collective bargaining."

"An important step in this process would be a clearer definition of the 'unfair labour practices' concept," they say.

"This is likely to prove complex and difficult — should the court deal only with conflicts of rights or also conflicts of interest?"

"How are the two important characteristics of justice, namely certainty (clear knowledge of what is unfair) and equity (the judgment of fairness in a particular set of circumstances) to be reconciled in this area?"

Anglo's directors say the corporation strongly supports the need for a branch of the judiciary that specializes in industrial relations.

One of the functions of the court is to adjudicate on allegations of unfair labour practices while, at the same time the court was created, legislation concerning unfair labour practices was introduced.

The Anglo directors say this legislation is extremely widely defined. There was a significant decrease in both the frequency and intensity of strikes during 1983.

"Even though deteriorating economic circumstances will have played a role, this decline is also related to increased use of dispute-resolution machinery in the form of industrial councils, conciliation boards and, especially, the Industrial Court."

The directors say a multiplicity of unions is likely to persist in mining for some time as the unions present work on an occupational lines.

"Although the existence of a number of unions does not in itself create difficulties, the mining industry currently lacks a collective-bargaining structure to enable parties to bargain in a common forum," they add.
INDUSTRIAL COUNCILS

Whose jurisdiction?

A demarcation dispute that has bedevilled industrial relations in the building and furniture industries for almost 50 years is close to being resolved.

At issue is whether workers making built-in kitchen and laboratory cupboards, as well as other fine woodwork such as church fittings, should fall under the jurisdiction of the industrial councils for the building industry or those of the furniture industries.

All involved are believed to have agreed, in principle, on a solution. Clarification is still awaited on a few minor areas of disagreement. No-one is prepared to reveal details of the settlement. It is claimed that the matter is extremely sensitive and any premature discussion "could be prejudicial to the final negotiations."

However, the FM has learnt that, in essence, the agreement provides for dividing the contested functions between the jurisdictions of the different industrial councils. The seemingly logical solution, however, is more complex than appears at first sight. The dispute has a legacy that stretches back to the post-war days and it has taken a year of "extremely sensitive negotiations" to get the parties to the point where they are now.

One of the problems is that employer groups insisted that no employees should be prejudiced in any way by the final settlement. There are thousands of employees involved together with a number of trade unions and employers. With some employees destined for a change in status in terms of the new demarcation agreement, which could mean they will be entitled to changed benefits under their new industrial council, negotiations became extremely tricky.

In addition, legal sources say that there were principles of labour law at stake. The splintering of big industries through the emergence of new technology is leading to the demise of some large industries and the fragmentation of trade union groups. This has major implications for SA labour law.

However, there seems to be a degree of elation that a settlement is finally in the offing. Industry spokesmen say an anarchic situation existed with employers playing one industrial council off against the other -- often to the detriment of employees.
DISMISSALS

Following procedure

Anyone who fails to comply with negotiated grievance and disciplinary procedures in labour matters does so at his peril. This is clear from an industrial court judgment which strongly endorses the concept that the law will support those who comply with negotiated procedures against those who deviate from them.

The court refused to reinstate a Siemens worker who claimed he had been unfairly dismissed because he had been selectively punished for a group decision taken by a number of workers. The company argued that disciplinary and grievance procedures negotiated with the Metal and Allied Workers' Union (Mawu) had been ignored.

The worker was employed in the winding section of Siemens' Isando plant, which operates a system of production target times. He had been warned for failing to reach his targets. A Mawu shop steward said workers found it difficult to comply with the target times and thus had been drawn to management's attention.

The worker claimed that, in accordance with a group decision by workers in his section by which he felt bound, he refused to go to the supervisor's office to be warned for working slowly. He was fired for refusing to obey orders. This led to a strike involving 390 workers which was eventually settled after management threatened to fire workers who failed to report for work.

The company argued that the worker had not been dismissed selectively, since he had been fired before the strike for refusing to obey an order and that the workers had failed to follow the prescribed grievance procedure.

The court found that because the worker had not obeyed an order, his dismissal was lawful in terms of common law. It also found that there was no factual evidence that Mabuzela had been dismissed in order to punish him selectively for participating in a collective decision.

Summing up the implications of the case, Siemens group industrial relations manager Bill Doyle told the FM: "This case shows that both parties are bound by the principle of fairness with regard to discipline and grievance procedures. If you have procedures, you must apply them. By applying them, you make sure that you eventually reach the best and fairest decision."
 Industrial Court

Union loge case

Employers will be heartened, and trade unions dismayed, by a new Industrial Court finding in a case involving the selective rehiring of workers fired during a strike. The case is fairly clearcut and its outcome could give important guidelines for future employer conduct in similar situations.

It evolved from the dismissal in January of some 70 employees. Workers on strike for higher wages were given notice to return to work. Two workers, who were not involved in the strike, were found to have been dismissed unfairly and reinstated. The company had reinstated two others before the court hearing.

The case arose out of demands for a pay increase and union stop-order facilities, made by Mawu shop stewards during a meeting with Vetsak's personnel manager. At a subsequent meeting management refused the demands and the personnel manager undertook to convey this news to Vetsak workers the next day. However, he could not attend the meeting and sent an assistant in his place.

The workers were dissatisfied with this and claimed that the personnel manager should address them. The personnel manager and another management representative then arrived and asked the workers to appoint shop stewards to represent them. The workers did so and then returned to work. The shop stewards were told of management's decision.

This message was conveyed to the workers who decided that they would not work the next morning but would not work until management explained its decision to them.

According to the workers' statement, they gathered in the factory yard the next morning. Vetsak's chief manager Piet de Jager arrived and asked why they were not working. After wrangling about which management representative should address the workers, De Jager told them a wage increase was out of the question.

Recognition

A discussion about recognition of the union followed. De Jager then said that if the workers did not return to work their action would be regarded as an illegal strike. Management finally stated that if work did not resume by 3 pm the workers should collect their wages. At 4 pm the workers clocked out and left.

On January 30 the workers arrived and found the factory gates locked. They were told that management was seeking advice from the industrial council. Later they were told to return the next day to be re-employed. On the Tuesday the workers applied for re-employment and were told to return the next day to find out whether they had jobs.

n court, counsel for the workers argued that there was no reason why the 29% of workers who were not re-employed should have been treated more severely than the 71% who were. All the workers who participated in the work stoppage (Vetsak's legal representatives argued that workers who had participated in an illegal strike and had therefore been fired) were found to have been dismissed unfairly and reinstated. The court found that all the workers had been treated in the same way. There was no room, therefore, for a submission that some workers had been treated more fairly than others.

The court also found that the workers' refusal to return to work constituted a breach of their contract of employment and that in view of management's attempts to explain why their requests had been turned down, their action was unreasonable.

Dismissal

The court said the workers' counsel had not attacked the reason for the dismissal, but the result of the re-employment. From this he had tried to infer that the dismissal was unfair. "The fallacy of the argument seems to lie in the fact that the unfairness of the dismissal is not sought in the reasons for the dismissal, but in the result of the conclusion of the contract of employment. These two concepts differ completely," the court ruled. As a result there was no onus on Vetsak to explain why it had re-employed some workers and not others.

The court's decision has led to union fears that employers may be deemed to be justified in dismissing any workers participating in a work stoppage no matter what the circumstances led up to it.

If this is the case, some lawyers argue, the court will invalidate certain important sections of the unfair labour practice definition.

However, as the court's order is only temporary, the matter is not yet settled. Some of the workers fall under the jurisdiction of industrial councils, although the majority do not. The majority have applied to the Minister of Manpower to appoint a conciliation board to hear the dispute. If the board fails to resolve it, the workers can apply for the court to hear the matter again.

But if the Minister refuses their request, or if the workers refuse the unfair dismissal from the conciliation board's terms of reference, they will have no further legal recourse.

The metal industry industrial council has failed to resolve the dispute and has been refused an extension to consider it. The 12 workers who fall under its jurisdiction may now apply to the Industrial Court for a final determination. The motor industry industrial council, which covers four of the workers, has been granted an extension to hear the matter. If it fails to settle the matter, those workers can also return to court.

---

**Foundations' Creed**

The Urban Foundation — now seven years old — was established not only to eliminate injustice but to fight against institutionalised discrimination. Addressing the organisation's annual meeting, its chief executive, Jan Steyn, said: "The foundation is — and has to be — as businesslike and efficient as possible in its activities, but the commitment with which it identifies and addresses its tasks comes from a desire to see a more just society in which the worth and dignity of every individual in SA is recognised and preserved."

The foundation, he added, should never forget that it is dealing with people, real individuals and families, with aspirations and emotions, with a desire to secure a better living for themselves and their families. It should continue to contribute to the reform of society and thus provide the avenues through which people can improve the quality of their own lives.

"Only thus can the reform process in SA achieve its objectives of a more just society without forfeiting stability and plunging into the abyss of violence, where the future of all our people, whatever their race, colour or creed, will be jeopardised for generations to come," he said.
Chickens Firms Toss Line
After Report to Police

BY CAROLYN MORGAN

SUN TRIBUNE
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'Courts have key role in disputes'

By STEVEN FRIEDMAN
Labour Correspondent

DURBAN. — Factory conflict would grow and the State would lose its remaining credibility in the eyes of black workers if the Government’s industrial court was prevented from playing an active role in labour disputes, a conference on labour law held here was told yesterday.

Lawyers and legal academics made repeated pleas for the court to continue intervening in disputes and some speakers argued that the court’s role in disputes should be increased.

But a prominent company lawyer told the meeting that, since the court had begun operating, "the pendulum has swung too far" and the labour balance of power had increasingly favoured workers.

Meanwhile the court’s president, Mr BJ Parsons, revealed at the conference that the court heard over 400 cases since its 1960 inception and more than half involved applications for temporary orders re-establishing the "status quo" in disputes.

The plea to preserve the court’s role in labour disputes comes amid growing signs that the Government is moving to curb its powers.

This follows employer dissatisfaction with the law setting up the court, which they believe is too favourable to workers. The granting of "status quo" orders re-establishing fired workers has been a key source of this opposition.

Mr Parsons revealed that the court heard 402 cases since 1980, of which 232 had involved requests for "status quo" orders. Another 74 involved allegations of "unfair labour practices" — also a target of employer discontent.

A labour lawyer, Mr Chris Alberly, told the conference that the key reason for the introduction of Government labour reforms had been the need to win credibility with black workers in the wake of the 1976 Soweto unrest.

The court had played a major role in both reducing shop floor conflict and in winning some credibility for the authorities among black workers. If it ceased playing that role, the credibility of the State would suffer, he said.

Mr Chaman Patel, lecturer in public law at the University of Natal, also pleaded for a continuing active role by the court.

Mr Nicholas Hayzen, of Wits University, said the contract of employment placed employers in a position of "domination" and that bodies like the court could play a key role in redressing this.

Several speakers from the floor rejected the view that the court had favoured workers, arguing that it had simply acted against "intransigent" employers. Some argued that the court could take an even more active role.

However, Mr Nias van den Berg, a company lawyer, argued that the law setting up the court favoured workers and had "shaken" employers.

The definition of an "unfair practice" was so wide that workers could — in theory — win a case even if they did not prove an employer acted unfairly.
'Change destructive industrial councils''

Labour Reporter

A CALL for drastic changes to the industrial council system to make it more representative of workers was made by trade unionist Johnny Copelyn at a conference on labour law in Durban yesterday.

Mr Copelyn said industrial councils were collective-bargaining institutions, destructive of trade unionism and unrepresentative of most workers. He said they adopted undemocratic practices.

Mr Copelyn, who is general secretary of the Fosatu-affiliated National Union of Textile Workers, called for amendments to the legislation governing industrial councils to make it an obligation on unions in the council to consult workers before committing themselves to agreements about wages or conditions of employment.

Membership of the council should be opened to anybody with seating being based on a pro-rata representation in the industry, he said.

Speaking in defence of the industrial council as a collective-bargaining institution, Johannesburg labour lawyer Arthur de Kock said the system provided a number of benefits to employers and employees.

There was no law setting minimum wages for workers, but through the council employers could fix minimum wages by negotiation.
Law should protect legal strikers — Prof

Labour Correspondent
DURBAN — A University of the Orange Free State law professor yesterday called for major changes to South Africa's strike laws which would offer legal strikers greater protection against firing.

Professor Y J Claassens told a labour law conference that no right to strike existed in South African law and that labour law paid only "lip service" to the right to strike.

The key reason, he argued, was that common law allowed employers to fire strikers, even if their strike was legal. The courts reinforced this by applying common law standards to legal strikers rather than standards in labour law, which were more lenient.

It was necessary, he added, to offer workers who engaged in a legal strike some protection against dismissal.

Prof Claassens also argued that there should be a time limit of 21 days to these strikes. After this, the dispute which gave rise to the strike should be referred to the Industrial Court for arbitration.

The law should also stipulate that for a strike to be legal, workers would have had to follow the dispute procedures laid down in labour law and the strike would have had to be led by a trade union.

If, however, workers struck legally, an employer would have to give them 14 days' notice before dismissing them.

If he did decide to dismiss them, he should be forced to fire all the workers or none of them, Prof Claassens argued, so that employers could not react to strikes by dismissing workers.

An employer would not be allowed to re-employ strikers selectively.

Prof Claassens acknowledged that most strikes were illegal because workers did not feel they could delay seeking redress until the official dispute machinery had run its course, but said it was in society's interests to "set some limit" to the right to strike.

His proposed changes should thus cover legal strikers only.

In another paper, Mr Martin Brayley, a labour lawyer, urged the Industrial Court to adopt the standards used by American courts in deciding whether mass dismissals of workers after a strike were unfair.

In strikes over wages, employers should be allowed to fire strikers who demanded higher wages only if they had a "legitimate commercial reason" for doing so.
Progress of labour law is hampered

Labour Correspondent

DURBAN. — Recent judgments by temporary members of the Industrial Court were hampering the developments of laws to protect workers from unfair dismissal, a leading labour lawyer told a labour law conference in Durban yesterday.

Mr John Brand said that employer resistance to the court and attempts by the Department of Manpower to prevent alleged "unfair labour practices" cases coming before the court were also an obstacle.

"The inevitable consequences of these developments are to return the conflict over dismissals to the shop floor," he said.

He added that common law protection for fired workers was inadequate and that additional safeguards through Industrial Court decisions were necessary to protect workers against unfair firing.

Mr Brand also argued that recent developments had brought South Africa's law on dismissals into conflict with both conventions of the International Labour Organisation which were "universally accepted" as well as with recommendations of the Wiebahn Commission.

Mr Brand said the growing trend for workers and unions to use the court had meant its permanent members could not handle the volume of cases, and temporary appointments had to be made.
Owner seeks legal aid

EAST LONDON — A case in which a Quigney boarding house owner is charged with contravening employment regulations was postponed until tomorrow.

Mr H W W Stuart of Belvedere Lodging House applied yesterday for an adjournment to enable him to seek legal assistance.

He has pleaded not guilty to charges of underpaying his staff, not giving the required notice before terminating their employment, not giving them leave pay, not giving them the prescribed amount of leave a year, failing to register the boarding house, failing to provide the Department of Manpower with wage records, not complying with the Unemployment Insurance Act, not providing the department with an attendance register and not registering for Workman’s Compensation.

Mr Stuart denied allegations that he had been unwilling to communicate with the Department of Manpower.

Under cross-examination yesterday, Mrs Nozipho Priscilla Mtywaku and Mrs Muriel Nowandile Koko told the court that they had had no paid leave while employed by Mr Stuart.

They said they were not given notice and leave pay on the termination of their employment.

Mr Stuart in reply that they had been off every Saturday for 21 months in lieu of leave, and he was of the opinion that they were upset at “having to leave a little gold mine.”

They told the court that they earned R50 a month and did not complain to Mr Stuart because they experienced difficulty in approaching him.

They said they worked Mondays to Fridays from 7 am to 4:30 pm and had been given no set lunch of tea break, no food or transport fare. They signed no attendance register or pay slips and were given no unemployment insurance cards on the termination of their employment.

The magistrate was Mrs A H Nicmood. Mrs A. du Plessis presented. Mr Stuart was not represented.
The policy contradictions

In some areas, black townships fall outside the prescribed area of the town. The classic examples are KwaMashu and Umlazi in Durban. People living in these areas are called frontier commuters, crossing the border each day between SA and KwaZulu.

In some areas, the homelands fiction is dispensed with completely. In Pretoria, for example, there is a policy to freeze land acquisition and home-building inside the prescribed area and to develop a new township, Soshanguve, just outside the prescribed area. Young people living in Atteridgeville or Mamelodi needing their own homes are faced with the choice of potentially having a home offered to them in Soshanguve. But this is a cruel choice, as it is done at one price of the right to remain in the urban area, because taking up residence in Soshanguve means losing Section 10 rights in Pretoria, and

Where urban relocation takes place, the new township is sometimes placed outside the prescribed area. The consequence is that when township residents are moved, they lose the Section 10 rights which they had previously. Examples of this are Valspan and Badplaas.

If one steps back and looks at what is happening in the arena of the Department of Manpower and the Industrial Court, one sees different developments taking place. The policy arrived at after the Wiehahn Commission was that the manpower process would be one of incorporation — if you like, of co-optation — of trying to bring people inside the system. The fundamental reference point of these institutions is therefore promotion of industrial peace.

Government's first response to the Wiehahn Commission was to accept only partial incorporation. It said that the right to become a union member would be restricted to permanent residents, which meant people with Section 10 rights. This was an attempt to reconcile two conflicting policies by partial incorporation.

It rapidly became clear that it simply could not work. Government then reversed its stand and took up the position that all workers were entitled to become trade union members. The consequence is that a policy conflict is now starting to emerge. At a time when people are said to be foreigners with no rights in SA, they are enticed into becoming participants in the industrial council system and are to be involved in the creation of industrial council agreements.

This conflict emerges in practical terms around issues involving job security. At a time when more and more people are to become migrant workers, the Industrial Court says that there is a right to security of employment. This right has its origin in the definition of an unfair labour practice, which includes unfairly prejudicing a worker's job security.

Further, at a time when people are increasingly to be required to leave the area at the end of their migrant labour contracts, we have an Industrial Court judgment which states that it can be an unfair labour practice to refuse to re-employ a migrant worker at the end of a contract.

There is thus a conflict which is not theoretical, but which has real and immediate meaning.

Loss of employment is always a serious matter for workers. The influx control policy raises the costs very substantially. Migrant workers who lose their jobs are required to leave the area immediately, to register at the tribal labour bureau in a homeland as a workseeker and to sit and wait there until re-recruited. The prospects of this are very poor.

This factor raises the stakes within the factory in disputes over dismissals or over re-employment, because the price increasingly becomes very high. The potential for conflict within the industrial structure is thus raised enormously. If employment and dismissal are major issues around which industrial conflict is arising today, how much more will it be so in 10 or 15 years' time, when the influx control and citizenship policies bite even more deeply?

In my opinion, this is where one of the great political dramas of our times is likely to be played out and is being played out at the moment. It's a debate which will, of necessity, involve worker organisations and management, because the conflict and consequences will be manifest in management and trade union policies and on the factory floor.
The PFP’s Alex Beazley called the whole investigation “lukewarm.” His colleague, Roger Halley, said that a review of the staggering amounts of money involved, a far more thorough investigation would have been warranted.

The form of the report also suggests that the AG did not make wide use of his powers of subpoena - both of people and documents. Consequently, the continued uncertainty about the activities of Italian millionaire Marno Chiavello—who is a SA resident and could have been subpoenaed.

Opposition speakers were shouted down by government supporters who accused them of sour grapes. But there is substance to the PFP’s call for a select committee, with the power to call and question witnesses and to receive and examine evidence.

The Opposition questioned the number of witnesses who were called and the number who were not. Halley said the report was “strangely silent” on the role played by F W de Klerk, who is a former Minister of Mineral and Energy Affairs. The burden of responsibility was on De Klerk, he said, and he wondered why De Klerk was not inter-viewed by the AG. “In summing up, I believe there are so many unanswered questions to more than justify the appointment of a select committee to investigate in depth.”

Harsh words

These are harsh words to be uttered in the public and privileged forum of Parliament. No aspersions were cast on the AG, but the public may be left with the impression that the full story remains untold.

There were encouraging signs that oil deals might become subject to parliamentary control through the Auditor-General. The AG went to lengths in his report to stress that had there been such control, “criticism and gossip of the kind that necessitated this inquiry would largely have been forestalled.”

His recommendations called for a fresh look at SA’s crude oil purchasing set-up, particularly with regard to financial control. He said that despite the confidential and sensitive nature of oil deals, it was desirable that State monies levied in terms of the State Oil Fund (SOF) be subject to parliamentary control and preferably also audited by the Auditor-General.

The PFP contends that the root of the problem is government’s refusal to concede that money controlled by the Strategic Fuel Fund Association (SFFA) and the SOF is in fact public money.

That point at least has been cleared up. The AG is explicit in his report in that he considers money involved in oil deals as “public moneys.”

Mineral and Energy Affairs Minister Dame Steyn said in a radio interview this week that he had indicated before that government was considering parliamentary control of oil deals through the Auditor-General. True, but Steyn has also argued that neither the SFFA nor the SOF should be subject to the Auditor-General’s scrutiny because they are private companies.

A final interesting point in the AG’s report, overlooked by many, was his recommendation that consideration be given to a Fuel Energy Corporation along the same lines as the Atomic Energy Corporation or Armscor.

In this way, he said, the whole structure of crude oil purchases, plus the search for and exploitation of oil resources and the synthesis of fuel from coal and other substances could be combined and brought under effective control with the parliamentary auditing of State monies.

LABOUR LAW

Scope of the court

When the Industrial Court (IC) was first established in October 1979, SA trade unions were not much interested. In 1980 only 15 disputes were referred to the court. But with each year that has passed it has adjudicated a growing number of cases and the last two years have seen its case load growing by leaps and bounds.

This emerged from figures supplied this week by the president of the court, B J Parsons. He was speaking at a conference on labour law in Durban organised jointly by the Centre for Applied Legal Studies and Natal University’s Department of Public Law.

According to Parsons, the IC heard 38 cases in 1980, 41 in 1981 and 19 in 1982. There was an enormous increase with the IC presiding over 168 cases in the first six months of this year, 148 cases were referred.

The figures provide overwhelming evidence that the IC has become a major factor in industrial relations. They also suggest the success government has achieved in persuading reluctant unions to use the formal dispute settling procedures provided by the Labour Relations Act.

There is no coincidence that the giant leap in the number of cases heard occurred in the latter part of 1982 after the court’s jurisdiction was extended to cover applications for temporary reinstatement, status quo orders, in terms of Section 43 of the Labour Relations Act. According to Parsons’ figures, the IC has adjudicated 232 applications for status quo orders since then.

The other major function of the IC has been to preside over disputes involving allegations of unfair labour practice (ULPs). It has heard 74 such matters since 1980. The remainder of the court’s work has largely been devoted to arbitrations (46) and demarcation disputes (25).

Applications for status quo orders can be made for:
- The suspension or termination of the employment of an employee (or employees) or a decision by an employer to fire workers;
- A change or proposed change in the terms or conditions of employment of an employee (or employees). This excludes action to give effect to any relevant law or major regulating measure, and
- An alleged ULP.

Allegations of ULPs have been the basis of most of the IC’s work. Unions have won major legal victories through this mechanism. This phenomenon has caused alarm in some employer circles who have come to regard the functions of the court as being weighted in favour of unions.

The alarm is related mainly to the fact that an ULP is very widely defined in the Labour Relations Act and there have been growing calls from employers to introduce...
Cheadle, this will become one of the major legal, social and political problems in the future as there are two union movements in SA with very different views of collective bargaining and organizing, and

- Making determinations in disputes arising out of tension between plant and industry level bargaining.

REGIONAL AFFAIRS

SADCC's chances

Is the existence of the Southern African Development Coordination Conference (SADCC) threatened by the signing of the Nkomati Accord? "No," says Professor Gavin Maasdorp, head of Natal University's economic research unit and a specialist in southern African affairs. Far from undermining the economic goals of member states by drawing SA closer to its neighbours, he argues, the agreement could help the SADCC.

But there are provisos. The key, he believes, lies in Mozambique's role in the SADCC transport network.

The organisation's transport links through Angola and Mozambique, plagued as they are by guerrilla attacks and staffing difficulties, are tenuous. Consequently, SADCC countries rely on SA to move their goods to and from the coast.

Maasdorp argues that if the accord promotes political stability by neutralising the operations of Mozambique insurgents, and if Mozambique begins to grips with its transport inefficiencies, then "the overarching advantage of free trade in Africa will be able to resume its traditional flows via east coast ports, thus eliminating a major aspect of dependence."

SA membership of SADCC, which some suggest has become more likely as a result of SA's improved relations with some neighbour states, seems unlikely.

The problem, Maasdorp believes, is that SADCC countries face being overshadowed by SA's economic muscle — unless they can wring concessions out of Pretoria such as the Zimbabwe/SA preferential trade agreement.

Says Maasdorp "SADCC's relationship with SA must be summed up as follows: normal trade — yes; concessionary preferential trade — yes, but preferential trade on equal terms — no."

On the wider implications of the Nkomati Accord, Maasdorp says Mozambique's principal aspiration, that of attracting foreign investment, will not be achieved unless it can control the security situation and improve the efficiency of the public administration. Not an easy task.

No economic rescue can be expected from SA, he says. The accord has been signed at a low point in the business cycle and at a time when SA is being buffeted by world recession, a low gold price and crippling drought.

Everything you have heard about L'Ormarins is true!

- L'Ormarins is situated on high cool slopes fed by pure snow waters of the unique Great Drakensberg waterfall
- L'Ormarins produces select cultivars such as Riesling, Rhine Riesling and Sauvignon Blanc which are harvested in the cool of the night to preserve colour, taste and flavour
- But the proof of the making is in the tasting. L'Ormarins produced the champion South African white table wine in the 1982/83 season.

L'Ormarins
RIESLING • RHINE RIESLING
SAUVIGNON BLANC
BLANC FUMÉ (OAK-AGED SAUVIGNON BLANC)

The proof of the making is in the tasting!
Labour laws in South Africa put under the spotlight

By Mariah Vengtas
Labour Reporter

THE definition of an 'unfair practice' under the Labour Relations Act was so wide that workers could — in theory — win a case even if they did not prove an employer acted unfairly, it was stated at a conference on labour law in Durban last week.

The Act and its far-reaching implications on black workers in South Africa were put under the spotlight at the three-day conference.

While some leading labour lawyers and academics hailed the legislation as a move away from racial discrimination against black workers, others argued for changes, especially in matters relating to unfair labour practice.

In a paper on unfair dismissal, labour lawyer John Brand summed up the South African unfair-labour-practice jurisdiction as a step in the right direction, but warned that it was still developing.

However, a word of warning was sounded that factory conflict would grow and the State would lose its credibility in the eyes of the black workers if employers continued to act unfairly.

THE Court was prevented from playing an active role in labour disputes.

Criticism was also levelled against recent judgments by the Industrial Court which it was claimed were hampering the development of a body of law to protect workers from unfair dismissal.

The development of a fair-dismissal jurisprudence arose from the judgments of lawyers with very limited knowledge of labour law and the court's mission was to serve on a temporary basis on Industrial Courts.

Another feature to emerge from the conference was the role of the Government's Industrial Courts in helping to resolve worker disputes.

shop-floor conflict and in winning some credibility for the authorities among black workers.

If it ceased playing that role the credibility of the State would suffer.

Natal University law lecturer and conference co-convener Chirman Patel, in a review of the Industrial Court, said employers should have no need to fear the court as thus far none of its judgments had been in conflict with the accepted practice of the more reputable firms.

A leading company lawyer, Mr Mans van den Bergh, argued that since the court was established the pendulum has swung too far and the labour balance of power had increasingly favoured workers.

US standards

Another labour lawyer, Mr Martin Broxsey, urged the Industrial Court to adopt the standards used by American courts in deciding whether mass dismissals of workers after a strike were unfair.

He said that in strikes over wages employers should be allowed to sack workers only if they had a legitimate commercial reason for doing so.

In a paper on recent developments in labour law and influx control Mr Geoff Budlender of the Legal Resources Centre warned that government moves to toughen influx control would lead to a 'great political drama' being played out in the factories.

He said labour law was guided by conflicting principles — a desire to keep some black workers in the cities and to keep others out.

The tightening of pass laws would not only mean black workers losing their jobs if they other enactments work in a more subtle way. The system of influx control which ensures that blacks are in a perpetually vulnerable position serves to act as a severe deterrent against potential union activity.

In South African law there was no fundamental right to the freedom of assembly or association which was unhindered by legislative controls.

South African courts had, on occasion, recognised the importance of the right to gather together and express opinions.

Despite the commendable sentiments expressed by judges of the Supreme Court the freedom of assembly, association, movement and speech have been substantially eroded by drastic legislative measures, he said.

There had been a discernible trend in the past four years indicating that the full force of South African security legislation had been increasingly brought to bear on trade unions and their leaders.

Potent weapon

'However, what the law gives in the Labour Relations Act it takes away in the Internal Security Act," he said, adding that the provisions of the Internal Security Act constituted the 'most potent weapon' for the prevention of gatherings.

The Black Labour Act No 38 of 1953 totally prohibited strikes by black workers as well as the instigation of such strikes and the incitement of black workers by any person to take part in or continue such a strike.

In 1973 the prohibition of strikes by black workers had been repealed.
Law changed without consultation, says Cusa

By Carolyn Dempster
Labour Reporter

The Government's action in pushing through the controversial Labour Relations Amendment Act without waiting for comments on the recommendations of the National Manpower Commission (NMC) report has been slammed as "duplicitous" by the Council of Unions of South Africa (Cusa).

"It shows the Government is merely pretending to consult unions, but is determined to push through its repressive legislation at all costs behind closed doors," Cusa says in the most recent issue of its newsletter Izwi lethu.

COVERED

The Act came into effect this month with publication in the government gazette of July 11. But the deadline for comments on the NMC report has been extended to August 31 — although a portion of what it deals with is covered by the new legislation.

When the issue was debated in Parliament in June, the chief Opposition spokesman on manpower, Dr Alex Boraine, urged the Government to defer the Bill until after comments had been received on the NMC report.

The same plea was made in telegram to the Minister of Manpower by the Federated Chamber of Industries and the Transvaal Chamber of Industries.

However, the Government turned aside objection after debate and passed the Bill through its final stages with two minor amendments by a parliamentary select committee.

This means from now on that agreements negotiated between unregistered unions and employers, which are not "passed" by the Department of Manpower, will be unenforceable in court, including the Industrial Court, and it will also be a criminal offence for employers not to submit details of the agreements to the Department of Manpower.

Not only does this herald increased government control, it could also be seen as a tougher line on emerging unions, states Cusa.

Provision has also been made in the Act for the Minister of Manpower to order all or any of the clauses of an agreement to be inoperative, if he considers it to be in the interests of employers, employees, or the public or national interest.

"This gives the Minister powers of an overtly political nature - an extremely ominous sign," comments Cusa.

The comments add weight to criticism that the legislation is premature, half-baked, and out of step with the spirit of reform as envisaged in the Wielaba report which advocates less state interference in labour matters.

SUPERFLUOUS

But Dr Piet van der Merwe, Director-General of the Department of Manpower, said today that the same points had been raised and thoroughly debated in Parliament.

"To comment at this stage when the legislation is on the statute books would be superfluous."

He added that the NMC report went much further than the changes promulgated in the Amendment Act and the NMC recommendations would have to run their own course and be considered in time.
Govt presses ahead with unpopular Bill

DEPARTMENT of Manpower-watchers keen to figure out the direction of the department under its new ministerial head, Mr Pietie du Plessis, are even more in the dark after the reintroduction to Parliament last week of the Labour Relations Amendment Bill.

The Bill has re-emerged from a parliamentary Select Committee with only two minor changes.

Its most important feature is that it seeks to prevent unions who do not submit certain information to the department from having recognition agreements enforced in court.

The Bill provides that “particulars” of all recognition agreements must be submitted to the department. It also contains a provision enabling the Minister to declare an agreement inoperative if he “considers it to be in the interests of employers or employees or in the public or national interest”.

At the time it was first tabled it was pointed out that the Labour Relations Act already compels unregistered unions to submit this information and they can be prosecuted anyway.

The reintroduction of virtually the same Bill four months later appears even more lacking in reason than when it was first tabled.

Apart from trade union opposition to it, the Bill has been strongly criticised by nearly all the leading employer groups, including the Federated Chamber of Industries.

The main criticism is that, in direct contrast to the department’s stated policy in recent years of employer-employee self-governance in industrial relations, the Bill extends Government interference into the area of employer-trade union agreement.

In Parliament, the Progressive Federal Party — who often voted on the same side as the Government on labour issues during the Fanie de Jager era — have vociferously criticised the Bill.

And in the interim, while the Bill was apparently gathering dust with the parliamentary Select Committee, the department has called for comments on the National Manpower Commission’s report on collective bargaining and related matters, which should lead to major changes in labour legislation.

Thus, without even waiting for the process which they themselves set up to be completed, and in the face of criticism by just about all concerned, the Government is pressing ahead with this Bill. Why?

Even Mr Du Plessis concedes most unions in South Africa comply with the regulations — and the new legislation is, in his own words, aimed at just six “undisciplined” unions.
POTCHEFSTROOM — There had been a sharp increase in the number of strikes and work stoppages in South Africa since 1978, the Director-General of Manpower, Dr Piet van der Merwe, said at the weekend.

Addressing the University of Potchefstroom Post-Graduate School of Business on Saturday night, he said that in the first five months of this year there had been 180 strikes involving 35,754 workers, against 54 strikes in which 7,096 workers were involved during the first five months of last year.

Strikes had increased from 106 in 1979 to 284 in 1982, but decreased slightly in 1983 to 338 — although this decline had not continued during the first five months of this year, Dr Van der Merwe said.

He said there had also been an increase in the number of workers involved in strikes.

Altogether 14,160 workers were involved in strikes in 1979, against 141,571 in 1982.

Most of the strikes had, however, lasted for relatively short periods. In 1983, 47 percent of the strikes lasted a day or less and 83 percent less than three days. The average duration of strikes was only about 1.9 days, he said.

The average duration of strikes during the first five months of this year was 2.6 days.

"This is an encouraging sign and indicates that the parties involved are succeeding in finding one another in a short time," he said.

He said greater use had been made in recent years than in the past of "machinery provided for in legislation to settle disputes."

Dr Van der Merwe said membership of registered trade unions in South Africa had escalated in recent years, from 730,000 in 1978 to 1,3 million in 1983 — an increase of 73.1 percent.

"Vital" "Black workers in particular have been joining registered trade unions in great numbers and their numbers have increased from nil in 1978 to 470,000 in 1983."

Membership of registered trade unions representing workers of different race groups had also risen sharply, from 200,000 in 1978 to 639,000 in 1983 — an increase of 300 percent.

Dr Van der Merwe said healthy relations between employers and employees were vital for economic growth and development in South Africa.

"That is why the government pays continual attention to the effectiveness of labour policy and legislation with a view to the establishment of machinery which can be used by the parties involved to maintain and promote healthy relations," he said. — Sapa
Tuesa to debate union registration

JOHANNESBURG — The Trade Union Council of SA is to debate two resolutions at its annual conference in September which reject reforms of the government's controversial union-registration system proposed by the National Manpower Commission (NMC).

Both reject a suggestion by the NMC that already-registered unions should lose their right to attempt to block applications for registration by rivals.

Last year, Tuesa adopted a resolution calling for the outlawing of unregistered unions.

The NMC, which advises the government on labour issues, has compiled a report on registration which calls for major changes in the present system.

Among its recommendations were that unions who do not win approval from a government registrar be outlawed.

But it also suggested that the present law, which links registration to the representation strength of a union, be changed.

It noted that this allowed registered unions to block or delay the registration of rivals on the grounds that they were not representative.

In some cases, this objection has been on racial grounds, with the registered union trying to block a rival on the grounds that it does not represent enough workers of a particular race.

A first agenda for Tuesa's conference reveals that the Garment Workers Union of SA will propose a resolution calling for union representation strength to "remain a key factor in their registrations."

It says this is necessary because there is a need "for strong unions to represent workers."
No basic wage law for Ciskei

EAST LONDON — Workers in Ciskei are not protected by a minimum wage law and the new labour laws would not provide for basic wages, a government spokesman confirmed yesterday.

The Basic Conditions of Employment Act, which is to determine maximum working hours, annual leave, overtime and other benefits in the interests of workers, has been passed by the Ciskei Parliament but has to be signed by the President before it passes into law.

Mr D J Mgcau, Director-General of the Department of Manpower Utilisation, said that the Act would “hopefully” become effective from September 1.

“Once the legislation is enforced, we will have labour inspectors but there will be no basic wage,” he said.

Mr Van Wyk said that it was “unfortunate that Ciskeians are living badly and being deprived but that is why the CPDB is here — to improve their lot.

“As far as possible, we encourage industry to pay reasonable wages and ensure social security for workers. With our huge backlog of unemployed people, our first priority is to provide jobs and training,” he said.

“We do get occasional situations of labour exploitation brought to our attention and we try to convince the employers not to exploit their workers,” he said.

Mr Van Wyk said that no checks were conducted into working conditions because there was no legislation governing these conditions.
Strike was legal, staff were fired

Dispute process fails the worker

The face of South African labour relations has undergone rapid and dramatic change in the five years since Wiehahn, but one crucial feature remains unchanged: Under the Labour Relations Act, South African workers still face the threat of dismissal in the event of strike action — legal or illegal. The lack of protection for the worker who has religiously followed the official dispute-settling procedures, only to be faced with firing at the end of the process, may be the rock on which a system of sound industrial relations will founder.

There is growing consensus among labour experts that there is little incentive for unions to observe the legislation if they gain nothing by it. To facilitate sound industrial relations, South Africa's strike law will have to change to afford some protection to strikers and employers will have to recognize the employee's right to strike, they argue.

At present employers may resort to lock-outs, mass dismissal and the threat of dismissal to coerce striking employees to go back to work. This happened in South Africa in January this year when a national illegal strike by 8560 members of the SA Chemical Workers' Union (SACWU) was broken by threats of dismissal by AECI management.

SACWU general secretary Mr Maneni Samela stated the union's case simply: 'There was nothing we could do. The workers were in a position where they were not protected by legislation and management could have carried out the threat.'

Last week at Dunlop Tyres in Durban 1200 members of the Metal and Allied Workers' Union (Mawu) were fired shortly after embarking on a legal strike. "The fact that workers can be dismissed within the first hour of a strike in terms of the Labour Relations Act proves how totally inadequate current labour legislation is," said Mawu. "There is obviously little incentive for workers to follow the law in respect of strike action."

Professor Loet Douwes Dekker of Wits Graduate School of Business has said the practice of dismissing striking workers is unfair and the ability to strike must be protected.

Unions that faced the prospect of mass dismissal of their members on a legal strike should be able to challenge the dismissal in the Industrial Court and seek a Section 43 reinstatement order on the basis of unfair labour practice. Alternatively they should be able to seek damages and take the matter to the Supreme Court.

The past few years have seen an increasing use by emergent and largely black unions of official dispute-settling machinery. This trend could well change if unions see no point in following lengthy procedures for dispute resolution, he said.

Within an hour of embarking on a legal strike 1200 workers at a Natal company were fired en masse last week. The action has focused attention on a growing debate of critical importance in industrial relations: Why should a union follow statutory dispute-settling procedures if an employer can still legally resort to mass dismissal — and what are the implications for the resolution of future industrial conflicts? CAROLYN DEMPSTER reports.

In a recent paper on "The right to strike" he says the AECI case demonstrated that employers in South Africa "are not prepared to grant workers the right to strike."

This runs counter to current practice in Britain and on the Continent where striking workers are protected against being dismissed although they do forfeit all pay and benefits for the period.

In Professor Nie Wiehahn's view the dismissal of workers participating in a legal strike could be considered an unfair labour practice — of both the statutory and non-statutory kinds.

"If the employee has chosen the legal strike route he should be afforded some protection. I don't think we can allow a system in South Africa where there is no distinction between the effects of a legal and an illegal strike," he added.

Mr Clive Thompson, a prominent labour lawyer and lecturer at the Wits Centre for Applied Legal Studies, has said it is likely that unions, to guard against mass dismissal, will build some protection into recognition agreements with employers.

However, this was likely to happen only with enlightened employers. "The inadequacies of our law are not conducive to sound industrial relations,"
course, building societies
Van Staden elaborates “I tried to take the shortest route to get there. One has to accommodate what one can, cater for a transition period, and hope to reach one piece of legislation as soon as practicable.”
In the meantime, many amendments can be expected during a period of education and diversification.
The outcome is likely to be a few institutions in the monetary system with building society parentage — and maybe with banking parentage, too. Any future all-embracing Act for the industry will accelerate the move towards one-stop financial service institutions that can offer cheques, debit cards, deposit facilities, insurance, mortgage bonds, participation bonds, and hire purchase — to name only a few services. Competition will see that they are further brought together under one roof.

The question remains will the societies meet the challenge? Some certainly will. But the writing is on the wall for the mutual society. In the end, this institution may have no option but to become a bank, or “get broken,” in the words of one society spokesman. And the faster a society adapts to change, the better; since those in the lead will push for an all-embracing Act to accommodate their further development.

Meanwhile, Pretoria’s door is open to suggestions — until September 10 1984. Societies wishing to remain structured on a mutual basis are likely to provide the greatest criticisms of the draft Bill. But they may as well face the fact that it is probably too late to change the proposed law. They won’t be the only institutions in SA that will have to cope with confusing and restrictive legislation.
The societies should turn the situation to their advantage. That, ultimately, is what free enterprise is all about — in the monetary sphere as well as any other.

UNFAIR DISMISSAL

Employers on the line

Industrial relations in SA have undergone a revolution in the past five years. Labour legislation introduced in response to the Wiehahn Commission’s recommendations has seen to that. In the process, a new and vigorous generation of trade unions has emerged. Strikes are up — and escalating each year — and litigation reaching the Industrial Court is at an all-time high.

One area of employer-employee relations that has been highlighted to an extent never seen in the past is that of dismissal. Specifically, when is dismissal unfair? The matter has become highly sensitive, with newly-aware labour bodies challenging employers at every turn, and even the most sophisticated management have floundered. This is unfortunate, since worldwide, it is accepted that unfair dismissal is sufficient cause for a strike.

That fact is being felt at home. Statistics compiled by leading South African industrial relations consultant Andrew Levy show that, in 1979-1983, 34% of all strikes were triggered by wage disputes. But a high 24% were caused by dismissals which employees felt were unfair — and, in the last year, measured from July to July, strikes over dismissals increased to 28% of the total.

These figures should be enough to set alarm bells ringing for employers. Traditionally, it is management’s prerogative to dismiss. It is also commonly held that it is unnecessary to have strikes over dismissals, after all, management and workers have a common interest in uninterrupted production. Why, then, the rising trend of strikes over dismissals?

Obviously, not all strikes triggered by dismissals can be traced back to unwarranted and high-handed decisions. But even when dismissal is warranted, clumsy handling of this most sensitive of management functions is all too likely to lead to adverse worker reaction.

Dismissal is one issue on which the emerging unions have proved deadly serious — perhaps naturally so. Organising largely among unskilled black workers, much of their strength lies in numbers, and they don’t want to lose it.

Underlying much of the debate about dismissal are two principal notions that the
Most countries acknowledge that employers may terminate employment for economic and technological reasons. But termination should occur with the least possible prejudice to the worker, it’s argued. SA has not codified the criteria that should apply in these circumstances — but the Industrial Court has given some guidelines. Employers must have:
- Notified a representative union at the earliest opportunity of the intention to retrench.
- Met a representative union or employee body before retrenching, to discuss possible alternatives — such as layoffs, short time and transfer — as well as to agree on selection criteria. If there is no consensus on the criteria, employers must adopt objective ones such as a last-in-first-out basis for retrenchment, unless they can give good reasons for departing from them. The agreed criteria must be fairly applied.

If a representative union is not involved, employers must have:
- Considered possible ways to avoid retrenchment, such as transfers, eliminating overtime and working short time.
- Consulted with employee representatives on criteria to be applied in selecting employees for retrenchment.
- Ensured that the criteria, as far as possible, do not depend solely on the opinion of the person making the selection, but can be objectively checked against such factors as attendance records, job efficiency, experience or length of service.
- Given sufficient prior warning to employees who may be affected and their representatives.
- Ensured that selection is made fairly in accordance with the criteria adopted, and considered any representations employees' representatives may make, and consulted affected employees.

**WATERY WOES**

Black workers have been the main beneficiaries of Industrial Court rulings in cases involving allegations of unfair dismissal. But there are growing signs that white workers are also beginning to seize upon the protection offered by the court.

Take the case of the female horticulturist dismissed by the Welkom municipality. She was responsible for a number of the city’s parks. During the drought, the hydrocarbons were prohibited from watering their gardens and the municipality itself made use of sewerage water.

One day, according to evidence heard in the Industrial Court, she noticed a municipal hosepipe draped over the wall of a private home belonging to Welkom’s director of parks.

When she asked the black worker attending the hosepipe who had given him instructions to water the garden, he replied “The big boss.” She ordered the pipe to be removed and reported the incident to her superior.

When the director found out about the event, she alleged that he became most aggressive towards her and denied that he had issued an order to water his garden. He claimed to have instructed the worker to water the pavement outside his home.

However, soon thereafter the horticulturist said she found a private hosepipe attached to a municipal tap in the same park. She informed her superior, established that it belonged to the director, and reported this to her superior. Later, after an inquiry held in terms of the municipal by-laws, she was dismissed on the grounds that she had failed to obey instructions.

The woman consulted Johannesburg labour lawyers Cheadle, Hayson, Thompson. They took the matter to the Industrial Court alleging that the charges against her had been “trumped up.”

The municipality did not defend the action and the court granted the woman temporary reinstatement in terms of Section 43 of the Labour Relations Act. The Minister of Manpower is currently considering establishing a conciliation board to hear the dispute.
Industrial Court to date, there is little doubt that the allegation that it is an unfair labour practice to commit constructive dismissal is certain to surface at some stage.

According to a leading labour lawyer, John Brand, who spoke at a recent conference on labour law, the SA legal system contains two major requirements for guaranteeing that dismissals are justified or fair. Firstly, employers must have a valid, substantive reason to dismiss. Secondly, there must be a procedure to ensure that an employer does have a valid reason.

Valid reasons cover three crucial areas: the worker's conduct, the worker's capacity, and the operational requirements of the undertaking. Besides victimisation, employers weighing up workers' conduct should bear in mind that:
- The law provides that an employee may not be disciplined if he has filed a complaint or is participating in proceedings against an employer involving alleged violation of laws or regulations.
- A worker's race, colour, sex, marital status, family responsibilities, pregnancy, religion, political opinions, national or social origins may not be used as a reason for dismissal, and
- Dismissal for absence from work during maternity leave is universally accepted as unfair.

Another requirement of fairness is that workers must know — or be presumed to know — the consequences of their misconduct. In cases where workers are fired for infringing company rules, it would be necessary to establish that they knew the rules.

Generally speaking, it would be unwise for employers to dismiss a worker on the grounds of incapacity unless appropriate instructions have been issued, written warnings delivered, and the worker given both the opportunity and a reasonable time to improve.

These provisions apply unless the worker can be shown to be acting in a wilful manner. Then the reason for dismissal must be considered as misconduct.

As far as retrenchment is concerned, there is a growing body of criteria mainly arising out of Industrial Court rulings (see box). But in all cases of dismissal, employers should adopt the following procedures:
- Workers must be warned, except in situations involving incidents such as theft and violence — where summary dismissal is justified.
- The nature of what constitutes misconduct must be clearly spelled out so that workers can defend themselves adequately.
- An inquiry must be held within a reasonable time, under the auspices of a neutral management person. Workers must be present throughout such an inquiry, and must be able to request representation, be allowed to question witnesses, and make use of an interpreter if necessary.

In weighing up the facts, the convener must consider whether the misconduct has been established by sufficient evidence. Only thereafter should decisions be made about appropriate punishment. Then factors which should be considered are the nature and severity of the misconduct, sanctions imposed on other employees for similar misconduct, and the service record and personal circumstances of the worker. Findings must be communicated to the worker in writing. Above all, workers must have the right to appeal against dismissal.

As Levy has stated in his book on the subject, even fair dismissal will not always give good results or be accepted by employees. "Ultimately," he notes, "an employer faces two tribunals. The Industrial Court and the populist court of the employees themselves. One of the greatest mistakes that many personnel managers make is to believe that (dismissal) procedures in themselves bring industrial peace. Perceptions and interpretations of facts and events differ, and some conflict is inevitable."

For those perturbed by union successes in the field of unfair dismissal, it is worth noting what another leading labour lawyer has to say. "The Industrial Court's decisions have influenced employers to negotiate their own disciplinary procedures and to voluntarily adopt safeguards against arbitrary dismissal, thereby removing such disputes from the street and the court. In addition, the court has only given the judicial rubber stamp to practices which have long been accepted by enlightened employers."

In short, sensitivity is — and in many cases always has been — the watchword in industrial relations.
RICHARD SCHUSTER

Passing the buck

Richard Schuster is the industrial relations adviser to Reunert Ltd, a division of Barlow Rand.

The Labour Relations Amendment Act of 1984, which came into effect two weeks ago, introduces some startling new principles into our law, some of which are of great importance to businessmen and trade unionists alike.

Section 3(1) sets out to compel unions and employer organisations to comply with certain administrative provisions in the Labour Relations Act. It does so by making contracts reached between unions or employer organisations which have not complied with these provisions, unenforceable in any court, including the Industrial Court.

The administrative provisions referred to cover relatively mundane matters such as the maintenance by all unions and employers' organisations of registers of members, the retention of these and other important documents for at least three years; and the duty to furnish the Industrial Registrar with details of membership, office bearers and similar information.

No doubt, the Department of Manpower's intention was to give employers a reason to refuse to contract with unions that do not comply with these provisions and thereby put pressure on them to comply. An effect of this sub-section is that, for example, a wage agreement between an employer and a union will be unenforceable from the outset if the union has accidentally failed to notify the Registrar of the election of a new office bearer.

The union may also escape the wage agreement during its period of operation if it believes it expedient to do so by the simple device of appointing a new office bearer and deliberately not informing the department.

It is a fundamental principle of our law, however, that contracts should be enforceable by legal action. To allow a person unilaterally to escape his contractual obligations would be to destroy the element of certainty that is essential to commercial relations.

Although certainty might be less important in labour relations than in commerce, and although it is unlikely that there will be much litigation in this country based on collective agreements between employers and unions, both employers and unions in SA do believe that their agreements, whether procedural or substantive, should be enforceable by legal action.

It is also undesirable in principle to penalise a party to a contract for the oversight or deliberate misconduct of the other party.

Civil law sanction

One may even question the use of a civil law sanction to enforce purely administrative provisions. A more common and logical way is to enforce compliance via the criminal law. Perhaps it was deemed politically inadvisable for the State to have the task of prosecuting the so-called emergent unions to procure compliance with these provisions. The onus was therefore shifted onto employers.

Other practical consequences of this sub-section are:

- An agreement between an employer and his works council will now always be unenforceable.
- If an employer lends money to an employee to assist him in buying a house, and that employee is a member of a union which has not complied with the prescribed administrative provisions, the employer will not be able to recover his loan by legal action if the employee defaults. This will only happen if the loan agreement was not part of the employee's service contract.

Conversely, if the employee and his employer entered into a profit-sharing scheme, the employee will not be able to hold the employer to his contractual obligations if his union has not complied with the prescribed administrative provisions.

Another possible result, although it may seem absurd, is that if a housewife who is an employer because she employs a domestic servant contracts with a builder who is a union member to extend her house, she will not be able to enforce that contract in court unless the builder's union has complied with the prescribed administrative provisions. The builder will also not be able to claim payment from the housewife.

Section 3(2) is intended to oblige employers to send copies of their recognition agreements with unions to the department.

However, the actual wording means that only legally unenforceable agreements need be sent. Although this is presumably a mistake, it does mean that employers will not be obliged to supply the department with copies of enforceable agreements until the wording is changed.

The sub-section also requires that certain "implied agreements" should be sent to the department. By "implied agreements," the draftsman probably meant tacit agreements. However, this imposes an intolerable burden on employers as many informal agreements between them and their employees, although of no interest to any outsider, would be affected.

Finally, it is difficult to reconcile the department's stated policy on non-intervention in plant level bargaining, with a provision which looks like a first step towards State involvement.
Unionists and employers slam new Labour law

Controversy and haste have characterised the path of the Labour Relations Amendment Act of 1984. In spite of employers' urging that the legislation be shelved until the National Manpower Commission completes its crucial reports on union registration and collective bargaining, and in spite of strong opposition to the proposed changes from unions and the FPF, the Bill was pushed through Parliament without significant revision in July this year.

As the Act stands, there is a lack of clarity, and several anomalies and the amendments have engendered doubt and suspicion.

STATE CONTROL

SA Institute of Race Relations senior researcher Ms Carole Cooper states that much of the criticism has been levelled because of perceived “increased State control over the collective bargaining process, undermining the fundamental principle of self-governance in labour relations that was the guiding principle of the Wiekhuysen Commission.”

Critics maintain that certain of the amendments will lead to instability and an increase in labour unrest, she adds.

Nonetheless, the Act looks set to remain in force until at least the end of 1985, says Mr H van Noordwijk, the Department of Manpower’s chief director of labour relations.

This has since been confirmed by the deputy Director-General of Manpower, Dr C P Schepers.

13 GROUPS

However, there are at least 13 large employer organisations and unions who would argue that not a great deal has been achieved by the key amendments to the Act, among them the SA Federated Chamber of Industries, the General Workers’ Union, the Premier Group and the Steel and Engineering Industries Federation of South Africa (Seifsa).

Major clauses of contention are:

- That henceforth there are no agreements between an employer and organisation and a trade union will be enforceable in any court (including the Industrial Court) unless both the union and the employer organisation have complied with certain requirements.
- Any unregistered federation must, within three months of the commencement of the Act, submit to the registrar a copy of its constitution, head office address and names of officials and office-bearers.
- The right to hear appeals against decisions of the Industrial Court regarding exemptions from Industrial Council agreements has been vested in the Minister of Manpower.

His rights now extend to the interests of employers, employees or the public or national interest.

These three controversial amendments to the Act have important ramifications.

Details of in-house agreements have to be submitted within 90 days of conclusion to the relevant labour inspector.

If union and employer do not comply with the requirements of the Department of Manpower, the agreement will not be legally binding and neither party will be compelled to abide by it.

In addition, those employer organisations who do not supply details of agreements reached with unions to the Department of Manpower will be criminally liable.

Under the new legislation, unregistered unions have been placed on the same level with registered unions and will have to comply with the same requirements in future.

At least part of the pressure to bring unregistered unions within the structured fold of the Labour Relations Act came from established unions.

This was revealed by the Minister, Mr Pietie du Plessis, in Parliament when he said established unions had complained of “having to abide by the regulations when unregistered unions were left to do as they pleased.”

LOW WAGES

Finally, points out Ms Cooper in her topical briefing, exemptions from Industrial Council agreements would enable employers in border areas to pay lower wages and would encourage the growth of low-paying small businesses if employers were not bound to maintain wages set down in the national agreements.

It also remains to be seen whether unregistered trade unions will go through the lengthy process of concluding agreements with employers, only to have them invalidated by the State.
Parents urge pupils to return to school

HUNDREDS of parents yesterday urged boycotting pupils to return to class when schools reopen tomorrow.

The decision was taken at a meeting held at the Rev Williams Hall in Katlehong. The meeting was attended by pupils, parents, teachers and pupils who were called by the Azam Students Movement (Azam) to urge pupils to return to school "but under protest".

The Azam organisation yesterday released a statement calling on Soweto students to return to school on Thursday so as to allow them to attend the Bonang Khumalo funeral tomorrow.

Resolutions

"Azam calls on students to exercise their right to work and learn and to use school as chatels and a means of taking control of their own lives and environment. This could be demonstrated by staying away from school tomorrow," said the statement.

Resolutions taken at the Katlehong meeting were:

- That police should keep away from the schools tomorrow.
- That pupils continue with their demands from the Department of Education and Training.
- That an umbrella body of school committees countrywide be formed to take up the issue with DET.
- That teachers should keep the community informed about developments in the department.
- That parents should not turn a blind eye to the present crisis in education.

Parents assured the pupils of their 100 percent support for their demands and expressed solidarity with them in their struggle for "a just and equal education." Most of the pupils who addressed the meeting expressed concern at having to write the final examinations because "we are way behind the present syllabus and most of us will not be able to catch up.

Meanwhile the mayor of Katlehong, Mr. Noel Mokothi, said he was surprised to learn that parents were worried about the safety of their children when schools reopen tomorrow. The fear for the few talk in the township that close to 100 Zulu tribesmen have been organised to be on hand should there be trouble when schools reopen tomorrow.

\*\*\*\*

By SELLO RABOTHATA
Unions ready to go 'illegal' again

TRADE union leaders' honeymoon with the new labour laws is over.

Some are threatening to discard the legal process, the consequences of which could be disastrous for commerce and industry.

Illegal strikes look set to increase sharply if dissuasion of legal strikes by the labour relations authorities is thrown out by the courts.

"If workers can secure no advantage from legal strikes there is every possibility they will resort to illegal strike action," says a leading labour lawyer who may not be named for professional reasons.

"Workers have resorted to illegal strike action to avoid prosecution, and seek protection against claims of damage, and court interdicts. Recent strike activity has, however, shown that the dismissal of five workers, a "legal" strike by 2 600 members of the Metal and Allied Workers Union has been declared illegal in terms of a temporary interdict granted by the Natal Supreme Court.

The interdict was granted although the union had followed all the procedures laid down in the Labour Relations Act.

"This included applying for a conciliation board, allowing a 30-day grace period and conducting a strike ballot before opting for a legal strike," says Geoff Schreiner, a Metal and Allied Workers Union official.

But the company involved, Dunlop, says a multiplicity of factors influenced the strike decision and that the reinstatement of the five workers was not the only motive.

Peace

Dr Piet van der Merwe, Director of the Department of Manpower, says the controversial Act is geared to ensuring industrial peace. But if aspects of the Act present problems, these will be examined and reviewed if necessary.

Andre Malherbe, labour relations advisor to the Johannesburg Chamber of Commerce, says that it is grossly unfair to legally compel companies to retain striking workers.

While he agrees that lawfully striking workers should be afforded some degree of protection, "the right to dismiss workers cannot be denied to management."

"However, the Act should make provision for a notice of dismissal rather than allowing summary termination of the employment contract," says Mr Malherbe.
Problems with strike law

In the field of labour law, the tension between lawful conduct and fair conduct continues to plague the development of a coherent body of rules for the regulation of the major areas of conflict between employers and unions.

After some initial hesitation, the Industrial Court tackled the question of individual unfair dismissals head-on and proceeded to apply the International Labour Organisation's standards with remarkable alacrity. Notwithstanding perfunctory notice periods in employment contracts, the court decided that a dismissal should be for good cause only and be preceded by a fair inquiry.

An employer's contention that a dismissal which complied with the contractual notice period and which was otherwise lawful could not be unfair was effectively rejected by the court in *Mawu v Barlows Manufacturing*.

The objects of labour peace advanced by the Labour Relations Act were to take precedence over the formal and artificial "agreement" on termination assumed by the individual contract.

Unfortunately the court's treatment of larger-scale issues, usually involving trials of strength between unions (as opposed to employees) and employers, has been rather less salutary. Perhaps struck by the stridency of recent criticisms which have come its way from certain employer parties and over-awed by the prospect of challenging the boundaries of managerial prerogative on really telling issues, the court has demonstrated a conservatism that comes close to an abdication of its rule-making function. The result has been that the emerging law on strikes is shot through with confusions and contradictions that one looks largely in vain to the 1984 clutch of decisions for guidance on the subject.

At least one steady theme does emerge: workers who ignore negotiated and statutory dispute procedures and who engage in wildcat action will have a tough time in securing relief through the court. This judicial attitude underscores the premium placed by the Act on collective bargaining. However, the virtues of the bargaining process have apparently been overlooked in pronouncements on other features of labour disputes.

Trade unions like to argue that all strikes are provoked by management. The Industrial Court could not be accused of being uncharitable if it regarded this proposition with a measure of scepticism. Nonetheless, where mass dismissals have followed the wake of strike action, the court's first inquiry should be to ascertain who was responsible for the breakdown. Should it be established that the strike was indeed triggered by provocative managerial behaviour, especially behaviour which amounts to unfair labour practice, the court should not be chary in reinstating the affected workers. Instead it has tended to engage in an exercise to determine whether the parties conduct has been lawful rather than fair. The practice has been to assess whether the dispute can be characterised as a lock-out or a strike and, if it decides on the latter (which, given the nature of labour disputes, it usually must), it declines to give relief. Only the grossest managerial action meets with a reinstatement order, and then normally a qualified one.

Arbitrary and selective dismissals or rehrnings in the course of labour disputes are also expedients which deserve short shrift before the court. They lend themselves to abuse and are a deviation from the basic legal principle that like cases should be treated in like manner. In *Ngobeni v Vetsuk*, however, the court was not impressed by these considerations and came to the starting conclusion that, provided an employer was legally entitled to dismiss, he was also at liberty to refuse as he saw fit. Instead of viewing the dispute in question as a whole, it scanned the sequence of events and then homed in on a particular segment which it declared to be decisive. If one accepts that only a careful assessment of the equities of the total situation can lead to sound industrial relations and labour stability, this piecemeal approach grounded in narrow common law notions must be eschewed.

A more discerning and contextual treatment can be found in the decision of *Rood van Myunakonde v Kamer van Mynwes* Here the court had to decide whether the otherwise lawful dismissal of workers on a legal strike would also be fair. It concluded that, under certain circumstances such dismissals could be unfair, and noted that one of the factors that needed to be taken into account was whether the parties had negotiated in good faith during the strike. It also indicated that selective dismissals and rehrnings could constitute unfair labour practices.

The principles regulating individual unfair dismissal have crystallised out after a relatively short period of uncertainty. Despite the clear guidance available in the more developed jurisdictions abroad, and despite an accommodating domestic statute, the weighty issues of collective action and mass dismissals remain unresolved in this country. In the interregnum, self-help will presumably prevail on all sides.
OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY

New Act, new issues

The long delayed implementation of the Machinery and Occupational Safety Act (Mosa) took place this week. It has raised expectations that safety will in future become a hotly contested bargaining point between employers and trade unions.

The Act has important implications for SA's employers as it covers all workers except those falling under the Mines and Works Act and the Explosives Act. It is the first safety law to fully cover people employed in commerce, the civil service and educational institutions. It also covers domestic servants.

This is not the only innovation in Mosa. In broad terms it requires all employers who employ more than 20 workers to appoint one safety representative (SR) for every 50 workers. In companies in which two or more SRs are appointed, a safety committee must be created.

From the ranks

The Act stipulates that SRs have to be appointed from the ranks of a company's employees and that they should be allowed to perform their safety functions within working hours. It obliges SRs to conduct monthly workplace safety inspections and to report any threat or potential threat to the safety of any employee to their employer or a safety committee (if one has been created.) SRs may also make reports to a government inspector about serious accidents at the workplace, or injuries or deaths resulting from exposure to hazardous working conditions.

Mosa also prohibits the sale of machinery or safety equipment that does not comply with its prescribed safety standards. This represents a significant shift from the Factories Act which only made the users of potentially dangerous machinery responsible for ensuring that prescribed standards were being maintained.

The Act makes provision for fines of up to R1 000 or imprisonment for not longer than six months, or both, to be imposed on people who contravene its regulations. If a court finds negligence the penalty can be extended to a maximum fine of R4 000, or up to two years' imprisonment, or both.

Mosa was passed by Parliament last February. The key reason why implementation of the Act was delayed so long was a wrangle over its regulations. Meanwhile all the regulations of the Factories Act have remained in force.

Some draft regulations for Mosa were published for comment in government gazettes last year. But at a meeting last August organised by the Federated Chamber of Industry, the Steel Engineering and Allied Industries of SA and the Afrikaanse Handelsinstituut so many objections were raised about them that they were withdrawn. Among the contentious issues were those relating to thermal conditions in factories. Unions also objected to the Trade Union Council of SA, for instance, taking issue on proposals to limit the floor space allocated per worker.

Mosa makes provision for an Occupational Advisory Council to advise the Minister of Manpower on the administration of the Act and any other matters. The council is empowered to appoint technical committees on a temporary basis to give expert advice. A committee has been established to consider regulations for thermal conditions, lighting and ventilation.

Rewriting regulations for Mosa is a massive task. The department expects it to take three years.

Mosa has been hailed as a major advance in health and safety legislation as it has brought these issues directly into the workplace and helps avoid the pitfalls of the previous Factories Act. Says Gus Weich, the Department of Manpower's chief director of occupational safety: "The main feature of Mosa is self-regulation. Much of the responsibility falls on SRs, safety committees and employers. The Factories Act relied on employers and inspectors. Now we have brought in the employees. We are going to see that this system works."

But some unions have raised objections to Mosa. Chief among them is criticism of the fact that employers are empowered to appoint the SRs instead of allowing workers to elect or nominate them. The unions argue that the definition of 'employee' in Mosa is too wide and that the requirements are too extensive. They also argue that SRs' rights are limited in comparison with overseas countries and that the safety committees are "toothless" as they are merely consultative bodies with no decision-making powers.

Collective bargaining

These are factors which employers will, no doubt, have to face. It is almost a certainty that unions will use collective bargaining machinery to persuade employers to nominate people in whom they have confidence as SRs. This battle will echo previous union battles against the liaison committee system.

In these situations employers would do well to remember Manpower director-general Piet van der Merwe's sentiments on the issue. He has stated that employers would be wise to consult employees about such appointments. Consultation could make the representatives more credible and help them to carry out their duties more effectively.
Labour guidelines vital lawyers

By Carolyn Dempster, Labour Reporter

CAPE TOWN - Labour lawyers say urgent steps must be taken to rectify the deficiencies of the Industrial Court, or labour relations in South Africa will suffer.

Speaking at a one-day seminar on emergent unions in the Western Cape, Professor Pieter le Roux, of the University of South Africa's law faculty, criticised the vagueness surrounding unfair labour practice and the application of status quo reinstatement orders.

"If the court does not start developing guidelines in the near future, the whole issue of unfair labour practice will be thrown back to the political arena."

The Minister of Manpower, had in recent months, circumscribed the jurisdiction of Conciliation Boards to effectively bar unfair labour practice disputes from reaching the Industrial Court, he said.

This damaged the image and status of the court, said the professor.

Mr John Brand, also a prominent labour lawyer, argued that the lack of clear guidelines on the question of unfair labour practice and the actions of the Minister was contributing to potential collapse of the "Institutionalisation of conflict" procedures.

The already severe and growing level of unemployment in South Africa means that the fund is totally inadequate to deal with mismanagement, this is exacerbated by workers' ignorance of their rights, the second part of the paper looks at the strengths and weaknesses of the system.

To that end, the paper starts at a point of listing as close as possible people only.

"In a number of other, mainly Western, countries, it is argued that in comparison to many of the above, the South African Unemployment Insurance Fund is not inadequate, the scope of South Africa's

Carol Cooper

AN INADEQUATE COVER FOR THE EMPLOYED

SOUTH AFRICA'S UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE FUND
'Unclear' labour laws irk Seifsa

By DAVID FURLONGER
Industrial Editor

UNCERTAINTY over the legal definition of unfair labour practices is hampering the development of industrial relations, it was claimed yesterday.

Mr J W Nelson, president of the Steel and Engineering Industries Federation of SA (Seifsa), said that although the new industrial council procedure encouraged unions to resolve differences through agreed procedures rather than strike action, there were still serious problems.

The Industrial Court was causing widespread debate with its increasingly prominent role in the industrial relations field, while there remained widespread ignorance of what constituted an unfair labour practice.

"The uncertainty arising out of the current definition of an unfair labour practice is inhibiting employers in the conduct of their industrial relations. It is important that a formula be found whereby the court can assist in ensuring fair employment practices without becoming directly involved in both the structure and practice of collective bargaining."

Mr Nelson was addressing Seifsa's annual meeting in Johannesburg.

He said increasing fragmentation of the trade union movement through breakaway groups made it difficult for employers to maintain stable bargaining and consultation arrangements.

He rejected claims that this could be overcome by fragmenting existing collective bargaining arrangements within industrial councils.

"It is difficult to see how this could function on a practical basis. This would, in fact, lead to a highly unstable business environment which would make long-term investment in the metal industries unattractive."

Mr Nelson said metal industry employers had experienced a difficult year in the labour relations field.

He criticised the rejection by the Metal and Allied Workers' Union and SA Boilermakers' Society of wage rise offers ranging from 9.1% and 12.1%.

He said they rejected the deal because of the minimum wage for lower-paid employees.

"The severe recessionary conditions limited the ability of the employers to meet the aspirations of the trade unions. Employers face a serious dilemma in that major increases in wages in this area must lead to further reduction in employment levels at a time when unemployment is already high."

Mr Nelson expressed concern at the declining number of apprentices entering the metal industries. The 1983 apprentice intake was 36% down on 1982, confirming the inadequacy of a system in which on-the-job training played so important a role.

Even the establishment of a Metal Industry Training Centre had earned little support from employers.

"The continuing imbalance in the supply and demand for artisans constitutes a serious limitation, not only on the development of the industry, but also on the wage structure, because it inhibits the attainment of a more equitable distribution of income between skilled and unskilled employees."

Mr Nelson said engineering industry activity continued at depressed levels, last year, although the steep decline from 1982 to mid-1983 appeared to have been halted.

After falling 13% in 1982, steel production in the first seven months of this year had risen 15.8% Ferrous casting, after a 20% slump in 1983, was up 2.3% so far this year.

The exception to last year's decline was ferro-alloy. The industry increased production by 12% in 1983, and prospects this year remained strong in anticipation of continuing growth in demand and improved prices from Europe and the US.

Mr Nelson predicted a continued cutback in economic activity in the immediate future and said Government expenditure must be curtailed.

Recent "corrective action", such as increases in GST and company tax, curtailing of tax allowances and high interest rates, were all inevitable. But the extent of the economic problem had led to unwelcome side-effects.

"The economy is clearly in an extremely serious situation and the recent social unrest, while possibly sparked by other factors, is not unrelated to the very difficult economic conditions and unemployment levels pertaining."
EAST LONDON — Tek Corporation has been charged here with contravening basic conditions of the Employment Act.

The Department of Manpower alleged the company had not paid all the leave pay due to some employees who had been retrenched.

The case was postponed to December 20.
— DDR.
Labour laws’ chief surgeon

By Sue Leeman, Pretoria Bureau

When Professor Nic Wiehahn talks about the revolution in labour legislation occasioned by his commission’s report, he refers descriptively to a patient undergoing major surgery.

As chief surgeon, he is responsible for having performed that surgery and introducing a new era of conciliation, particularly for the black labourer.

This quiet-spoken man who began his own working life as a labourer on the railways has just recently been appointed head of the University of South Africa’s School of Business Leadership (SBL).

He believes his early experience as a blue-collar worker probably made him much more sensitive to the labour situation.

By 1977 after many years in the labour field he had seen enough of what he calls the “sporadic pains” of South Africa’s old race-based labour relations system.

The then Minister of Manpower, Mr. Fanie Botha, approached him for advice and the rest is history.

It is now more than five years since his report and the legislation it provoked. And there has been time for an evaluation.

What he once described as “the deep economic division between white ‘aboveness’ and black ‘underness’” is starting to disappear, he believes, although the recession has slowed things down.

Management, he feels, is generally far more aware of the need for sound industrial relations and most have initiated a company negotiation system of their own.

“Lot of political resistance from the mining quarter, he said,”

Professor Wiehahn points out that white mineworkers are traditionally conservative in outlook and expects white unions in general to take a tougher stand on most things in the future.

Asked whether he thinks the term unfair labour practice needs to be more closely defined, Professor Wiehahn is emphatic that any closer definition would place restriction on the Industrial Court, which he believes is working well.

“Unfair labour practice is a concept, it is a chameleon-like term which depends very much on circumstances.”

“We must keep it as wide as possible.”

Regarding the country’s latest catchword “productivity” Professor Wiehahn says sound industrial relations are a cure for this labour “pain”.

“Allowing workers a greater role in decision-making is also conducive to productivity.”

He has always managed to be thoroughly productive himself, even in the early days with the railways when he had still to get his matric.

He recalls wryly how he saved the enormous sum of 18 pounds from his railway salary and went back to school full-time to do his matric.

At the University of the Orange Free State he studied law during the day and “moonlighted” at night to pay his way.

With his BA LLB finally tucked under his arm he went on to become an advocate in the Supreme Court.

This was followed by several university teaching posts and finally his appointment to the staff of the SBL.
Ciskei plans big changes in tax, labour legislation

Argus Bureau

EAST LONDON — Ciskei is expected to introduce far-reaching changes to personal tax and labour legislation soon.

The homeland, committed to developing free enterprise and free market principles, has already abolished company tax, introduced major land reforms and lifted restrictions on small business development.

Legislation, substantially reducing personal taxation and placing it on a flat-rate system, could be passed by early next year, according to reliable sources.

Separate taxation for husbands and wives is also said to be on the cards.

JAPANESE PRINCIPLES

Big changes to labour legislation, largely inherited from South Africa at independence, are also likely to be made within the next few months.

It is understood these could be based on Japanese economic principles designed to encourage competition between companies and promote productivity.

President Lennox Sebe, on the recommendation of the Swart Commission report last January, has set Ciskei on a path to a free market and free enterprise system.

Company tax for industries not using decentralisation concessions was abolished earlier this year.

PERMANENT RESIDENCE

Major land reforms, permitting freehold and leasehold title, have also been introduced.

Chief Sebe has also offered foreign industrialists indefinite permanent residence in Ciskei and the option of buying the land on which their businesses are built in an endeavour to attract foreign investment to the homeland.

Numerous restrictions on the establishment of small businesses were also abolished when the Small Business Deregulation Act was passed earlier this year.
Two payments of R73 575 were due for the company's administration expenses, and the company's directors have been ordered to pay a total of R19 758 in terms of the Labour Relations Act in contributions to the National Insurance Fund and the National Housing Trust Fund.
THERE are still some "holes" in the new labour laws. One of these is the predetermination of farm workers.

The Labour Relations Act specifically excludes these workers from registering trade unions. The issue is politically sensitive but I understand that the prohibition is being reviewed in Pretoria, although no conclusion has been reached.

Baanaskap is more entrenched on the farm than in any other sector of the economy. The mind boggles at the reaction of many farmers—particularly in present economic conditions—to unions coming to negotiate the full panoply of disciplinary and grievance procedures, not to mention wages and conditions.

In discussion with Professor Nic Wiehahn, architect in the late 1970s of the revised labour laws, I asked about the gaps which remained, specifically those involving farm workers.

He pointed out that these people were not the only ones excluded. The right of civil servants to strike was circumscribed. Also workers at keypoints such as Sasol were not able to withdraw their labour legally.

He added "I still believe agricultural workers should be included under the system. The exclusion should be scrapped because those farmers who do not want trade union representation on their farms would still have the right of admission."

"I doubt whether it is possible to organise farm-workers in the conventional, formal way, except possibly at estates such as Zebedeina where there are hundreds of orange pluckers who have at present no way of negotiating a dispute."

Professor Wiehahn observed that under the labour dispensation any discrimination on the grounds of colour, sex or race was prohibited. If that were carried to the ultimate, to include the most under-privileged working group of all, it would be possible to make considerable "image" capital overseas.

For Professor Wiehahn it has been an eventful month in which he has been appointed Director of Unisa's School of Business Leadership and has accepted the chairmanship of the Care Enterprises group.

It seems likely that under his leadership Unisa's SBL will continue to have a high profile in human relations. "After all, approximately 50 percent of management success depends upon how you handle people."

These people skills have to be applied more subtly in South Africa than anywhere else. The responses of blacks, it has been proved, are totally different to those of whites.

It was for this reason that "productivity" became such a dirty word because a concept which seemed reasonable to a white was seen by blacks, as Professor Wiehahn puts it, "more exploitation—management wants us to work harder."

Now a similar dilemma arises from the report "Project Free Enterprise" which also came out of a Unisa study and which showed virtually all blacks—and a good many whites—have rejected the capitalist system.

Since that report came out in July, its co-ordinator, Professor Martin Nasser and some of the country's leaders have been engaging in think tanks to consider its implications.

Professor Wiehahn says he has frequently been urged to start politicking the worker movement and explaining the benefits of free enterprise.

The dangers inherent in that are great. One can just imagine the glee of agitators if it were to be announced that private enterprise had voted R5-million, or whatever, to a campaign to teach the workers to love their management.

The answer to that particular dilemma will come, not from propaganda, but from sound industrial relations. As a more equitable industrial system evolves—and great strides have been made—the labour force will feel in its living standards the reasons for hating capitalism.

Asked about his private sector role with the Care Group, Professor Wiehahn explained: "It is developing into an organisation exclusively concerned with human resources—medical care, personnel selection, employee benefits and training. Because it is a specialist group it can be diagnostic and plan well ahead for the human resource needs of the future."
New law on unions likely

Mail Reporter

NEW labour legislation dealing with the registration of trade unions is likely early next year, according to Dr C F Schepers, Deputy Director-General of Manpower.

Dr Schepers said last week that some employers and trade unions were urging that unions should be compelled to register before they could exist and communicate or bargain with employers.

"These are thorny issues and it was for this reason that the National Manpower Commission (NMC) was asked to investigate the whole issue," he said.

In its report earlier this year, the NMC recommended that trade unions be made to comply with certain minimum requirements and that the industrial registrar issue some form of acknowledgement that the conditions have been complied with.

"It is expected that the department will introduce possible legislation in the first half of 1985," he said.

He said the Government had passed legislation earlier this year determining that no agreement between an employer and a union which had not met the minimum requirements of law could be ratified in a court of law.
ONE wonders what new legislation on registration the Department of Manpower has in mind for the next session of Parliament.

Last week, Dr C F Scheepers, the deputy director-general of Manpower, said the legislation would probably be ready early next year.

He said it followed urgings by some employers and trade unions for registration to be made compulsory.

Given last year's amendment to the Labour Relations Act, the National Manpower Commission's proposals on the subject and the apparent shift of the department under its new Minister, one could well see a tightening up on unregistered unions and on the registration process.

If that is the case, the department could succeed in further alienating emerging unions, many of which are already questioning their involvement in the official labour relations system.
Firm would need R100m to meet Govt health law

Finance Editor

A LARGE Durban company would have to invest more than R100m to comply with the original health proposals made by the Government in its new industrial health laws.

But Mr Roland Freakes, the executive director of the Natal Chamber of Industries said the company has "a performance record of 670 million man hours without a single instance of heat exhaustion or stress."

He was opening a seminar of the Chartered Institute of Industrial Safety Engineering yesterday on "People, Pro-duction and Profits."

Mr Freakes said that the Government's thermal regulations under the Machinery and Occupational Safety Act had been rejected by industry and referred back to a Technical Committee for reconsideration.

Valid case

"No amount of persuasive rhetoric, no amount of official dogmatism will ever satisfy me that there is a valid case for imposing such demands upon a company."

"Let me assure you that this is not an isolated example. There are many other companies which would be called upon to outlay tens of millions to meet these idealistic rules."

Mr Freakes said the country could not afford "that kind of unessential expenditure."

The money should be devoted to the expansion of industries, the creation of new jobs and upgrading economic growth and prosperity.

"That is what our future is all about."

He said that industry accepted that employees had to be protected against biological and chemical hazards and so on.
HALTON CHEADLE

Labouring the law

Halton Cheadle spent last week in the eye of the Sasol storm. As assistant director of Wits’ Centre for Applied Legal Studies and a widely respected expert in labour law, he’s played a prominent role in hammering out guidelines and precedents in SA’s rapidly evolving labour relations field for some time now.

Cheadle (35) handles public-interest and test cases, usually for trade unions. As legal representative of the Chemical Workers’ Industrial Union (CWIU), the Sasol case in which 6500 workers were dismissed and sent home for participating in last week’s stayaway has been one of the most harrowing he has handled.

“The company set 11.30 Tuesday morning as the deadline for the workers’ return. Normal production would have resumed a mere 10½ hours later. Now a new workforce must be trained, and normal production is months away.”

Sasol’s motive could have been a crude attempt to “teach the union a lesson,” Cheadle believes, or even a straightforward move to break the union. “If the move was sanctioned by government, then most likely it’s intended to break the stayaway weapon once and for all.”

Cheadle speaks fast and directly, not pulling punches. He’s forceful, routinely dominates any gathering he’s in, and can be boisterous. He’s hyperactive — and needs to be, with the workload he shifts. In addition to teaching a full LLB in labour law, he’s often away from Johannesburg, where he lives with his wife and two daughters, sorting out strikes and handling delicate negotiations between management and workers “But I’m going to have to start pacing myself,” he admits.

Cheadle’s concern with labour goes back to the beginning of the Seventies’ wave of black unions. “Very much the golden boy, both his political science honours degree and his Wits LLB were attained cum laude. He captained the Natal Currie Cup swimming team, won university colours, and was news editor of the university newspaper — the type who normally ends up a Rhodes scholar. But Cheadle took a different route.”

While still a political science honours student in Durban, he became involved in organising textile workers. That grew out of his involvement in the Wages Commission, a Nusas research project, and led to the formation in 1973 of the National Union of Textile Workers (NUTW), now one of Fosatu’s most successful and aggressive unions.

Nostalgically, he recalls “We were absolutely green, but 10 years ahead of anybody else. Few people knew anything about labour relations or labour law. We negotiated the first recognition agreement in SA, with Smith and Nephew, drawn from an American factory agreement. I’d got hold of That was the beginning of the strategy of fac-
tory-based organisation.

"Textile workers were at the vortex of the 1973 strike wave," Cheadle says. "Because we knew enough to predict it, they thought we caused it," and government slapped a banning order on him and fellow unionists early the following year. During the hiatus, he studied law. When his order was lifted in 1976, he was armed to tackle the booming labour law field.

Among the test cases he's handled are: Metal and Allied Workers' Union versus Stoobar in 1982, the first case in which employees were reinstated by the Industrial Court when the court adopted the International Labour Organisation's principles of fair termination, NUTW versus Stag Packing, which changed the common-law rule of reinstatement of employees; and the James North case, in which the NUTW cracked a Tussa union's closed shop.

Cheadle is also involved in NUTW's current recognition battle with the Frame Group and recently won the first interdict in the Industrial Court in a case involving NUTW and Jaguar Shoes. On top of that, he edits SA's first industrial law journal, and a layman's publication on employment law.

The most exciting creative period for labour law is probably over now, he thinks. "But in a few years we've established legal rights that took decades to achieve elsewhere."

Though the Industrial Court is becoming more conservative, and unions will increasingly be thrown on the defensive, there's still plenty of scope for development in the field, Cheadle says. "Major issues like the closed shop have to be hammered out. The duty to bargain in good faith is another instance -- an employer may enter into an agreement and then not consult the union as agreed. New types of recognition agreements, industrial council constitutions and, of course, arbitrations will provide a lot of work."

He argues that the State, by placing limits on the Industrial Court's jurisdiction, is effectively diluting its function as a moderator in collective bargaining. "Disputes won't be eliminated, they'll just take another channel."

Similarly, as homelands crack down on unionisation, so unions will take their bargaining to SA head offices and press for industry agreements. After the East Rand and the Witwatersrand are organised, Cheadle thinks, Bophuthatswana will become "the new frontier." If so, this will provide more than adequate scope for this combative labour fund.
LABOUR RELATIONS

Future challenges

Much of the debate about labour has now shifted from considerations of overall policy to questions of technical and administrative detail - about which there are wide differences of opinion in employer and trade union circles.

This was said by Director General of Manpower Flett van der Merwe in his speech on The Manpower Scene Developments and Challenges at the FM's annual Investment Conference last week. Van der Merwe said the major challenge which lies ahead is to achieve the greatest possible measure of consensus on the way these technical problems should be handled.

One of the major areas of contention is the question of the registration of trade unions. This issue was addressed in an amendment to the Labour Relations Act (LRA) which came into effect on September 1. The LRA now states that any agreements reached between unions and employers will not be enforceable in court unless unions comply with certain requirements. Among them is the provision that unions must supply the Department of Manpower with details of their constitutions, membership, office addresses, names of office-bearers and that they maintain their financial affairs in good order.

The National Manpower Commission (NMC) also raised the question of registration in a recent report which was released for comment. The Manpower Department is expected to react to the issues raised in the report early next year.

Majority of the commissioners recommend that race and representativeness should not be taken into account when registration of unions is required. The requirements be met. These are similar to the provisions now in the LRA in that if unions do not comply with them, they should be prevented from operating.

Van der Merwe said his department had received comments on the NMC report from 41 organisations. At least five lines of thought are discernible from these comments:

- That the status quo obtained before September 1 be retained.
- That the present position be retained.
- That the present position be replaced with the majority recommendation of the NMC.
- That compliance with the minimum prescribed requirements should be voluntary.

Another highly contentious issue Van der Merwe said, is the definition of the unfair labour practice in the LRA. The only thing that labour commentators agree on is that the definition is very wide. He said many say there is nothing wrong with it, while others say it should be changed as the breadth of the definition is making industrial relations impossible to manage.

Legal strikes

Legal strikes have also become a contentious point. Said Van der Merwe: 'Trade unions in general appear to favour a greater degree of protection for workers involved in legal strikes. It has been suggested that employers should be statutorily prohibited from terminating the contracts of employment of such workers or from requiring them to vacate the accommodation provided them. The suggestion is not changing the Employment Act, employers, on the other hand, argue that this would place them in an untenable position as far as the continuation of their business activities are concerned.'

The way strikes - and more particularly illegal strikes - should be handled is another point of debate. Van der Merwe said one lobby argues that strikes should be decriminalised. Another says that the present provisions in the LRA should be more rigorously enforced by the Manpower Department. As for actually handling strikes, the major challenge facing union leaders and employers is how to prevent them from spilling over into violence and damage to property, which necessitates police involvement to restore order. He said.

Van der Merwe said the Manpower Department finds it a difficult problem when it is asked to comment or advise on a particular line of action taken by either union leaders or employers in strike situations.
tations. "The department can and is prepared
to explain the provisions of the LRA to any
party that approaches it, but cannot advise
on actions or purported actions by indi-
vidual parties. Actions by individual parties
may at any time result in a dispute being
declared (and) prior comment or opin-
ion would put the department and the Min-
ister (of Manpower) in an invidious position
should this occur," he said.

Strike ballots and ballots to determine
the support for a closed shop agreement
among union members are yet another
problem. According to Van der Merwe,
there is a strong feeling in some employer
quarters that ballots should be secret,
that they should be officially supervised
and that statutory provision should be made
for this. In union quarters it is generally ar-
gued that unions are autonomous bodies
and that there should be a minimum
amount of outside interference in the man-
agement of their affairs. A third school of
thought suggests that the supervision of
ballot papers should be a matter entirely for
regulation between management and unions.

Van der Merwe also answered critics
who claim that the Manpower Department
has been blocking conciliation boards from
considering allegations of unfair labour
practices (ULPs). This means that the In-
dustrial Court is also prevented from con-
sidering ULP allegations if a board fails to
resolve the dispute referred to it.

"Both the Minister and the department
are required to act within the framework of
the LRA, which lays down the criteria (for
the appointment of conciliation boards).
The department is anxious that the widest
possible voluntary use should be made of the
conciliation machinery provided for in
labour legislation and would do nothing un-
toward to inhibit its use," he said.

CRIME
Statistical evidence

A special study for the London Daily Tele-
graph by Gallup International indicates
that SA is the third most crime-ridden
country in the world after Colombia and
Brazil. The study was restricted to SA
whites and experts agree that if blacks
were included, the picture would even be
bleaker.

The 1984 annual report of the Commis-
sioner of Police gives a statistical break-
down of crime for the year to June 1983.
During this period, 301,736 "offences"
were reported — a 4% increase on the pre-
vious year. "Offences" consist of murder,
robbery, rape and other serious crimes.

Figures for the 12 months to June 1984
will not be available until the next parlia-
mentary session in January. However a
spokesman for the SA Police tells the FM
that the pattern for 1983-1984 remains vir-
tually unchanged from the previous year.

There has been a marginal increase in
the number of murders (see chart). Rob-
bery figures appear to have remained vir-
tually static since 1980, as have those for
rape. The number of burglaries has in-
creased dramatically and car theft is also
on the increase. Serious assault has de-
clined slightly from the previous year un-
der review. The statistics show only the
total number of cases reported to the police.

The rape figure, in particular, is subject
to wide interpretation since many cases go
unreported. Of the 15,946 rapes reported
in the 1982-1983 period, nearly 9,000 prosecu-
tions were instituted — about 1,000 less
than the previous year.

Police statistics divide crime into "of-
fences" and "infractions of the law."
According to Manue Slabbert of the Depart-
ment of Criminality at the University of
Cape Town, 40% of infractions consist of
"apartheid law" contraventions, such as
pass offences. If these were to be decri-
malised, the figures would slump rad-
ically.

The number of cases in this category has
increased dramatically, from 612,576 in

Police statistics have come under increas-
ing criticism for their lack of in-
formation. Progressive Federalist Party
spokesman Helen Suzman said in Parlia-
ment that the report is "getting more sten-
der as the years go by." The number of
categories has diminished drastically over
the last 10 years and there is now no racial
discrimination.

SA not only has one of the world's highest
crime rates, but also one of the highest
rates of judicial executions. Between 1978
and 1980, executions peaked at around 130
a year, after declining markedly in the
mid-Seventies. Since 1980 the numbers have
levelled off to around 95 a year.

According to the Prisons Department, 97
people have been hanged in Pretoria's Central
Prison this year, with 175 in death row.
Statistics show the repressive power is being
used less now than in the early Sixties and
mid-Seventies.

The high proportion of black executions
does not appear to be simply a question of
demographics and the notorious murder
crime in the black community says John
Dugard, director of applied legal studies at
Wits University. "I do not believe judges
pass the death penalty more readily on
blacks than whites, but subconsciously this
happens. Studies in the US have shown this
to be the case and there is no reason to
think SA can be any different."

A contentious issue is legal representa-
tion for capital offences. The majority of
blacks, because they cannot afford their
own attorneys and advocates, are assigned
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Choice of moderation

Move to

Professor Potter's comments echo those of many others who have argued that the current system of moderation is flawed and in need of reform. "The system is broken," he says. "It is time for a change."
Change the labour laws or prepare to bury South Africa's mining industry

By GAVIN RELLY, Chairman of Anglo American

Professional people of colour to accept legal responsibility for a number of acts essential to the operation of a mine. It is to the Government's credit that they so readily implemented most of the Whites only Commission's recommendations for a dramatically different labour dispensation.

As a result, job reservation does not exist in any industry in South Africa other than mining.

Government, in keeping with a new spirit of non-interference, set employers and the unions the task of arriving at mutually satisfactory arrangements which would allow for the proper incorporation of black people into the mining structure.

Four years later, this simply stated objective has not been achieved.

It is impossible to foster an esprit de corps and generate a sense of belonging if people are not allowed to live together and partake of the same recreational facilities. Moreover, the country, with its very limited financial resources, cannot afford the luxury of dual facilities.

Challenge

Economies of scale dictate that it is much more efficient to erect one integrated Technical Institute and unseat the balance of the capital in a commercial undertaking which would provide employment for the artisans who qualify in a multicultural facility.

Another objective should be to facilitate the maturing process of our trade union relationships.

Black unionism, despite its rapid growth in numbers, is still very much in its infancy.

A balanced industrial relations structure is absolutely vital for the economic prosperity of our country, but nobody has ever pretended this could be achieved overnight.

Employers, union leaders and workers alike need the time and understanding of all to make mistakes and learn from their experiences.

The final goal is perhaps the most elusive. It will prove extremely difficult to overcome the racial prejudices of several generations, but overcome them we must.

It is not just the one-on-one interaction that encourages a man's participation, but his overall standing in the community and the respect accorded him.

While this may be the greatest challenge, it is also the one that is within the reach of each of us to do something about.

These arguments have essentially been predicated on the economic base. However, the rationale is more broadly based than that.

We would make a grave mistake if we too readily discarded the absolutely essential ingredient of good government — that is, to give people a realistic hope as to their future prospects.

If we are to stabilize the whirling undercurrents which stress our social fabric, we must promote and encourage an emerging black middle class who can reasonably fulfill all the normal aspirations which we ourselves hold dear.

Let us not fool ourselves as to the consequences of trying to avoid these challenges.

Without dramatic changes it will not be possible to make mining operations to make their contribution to the economy.

Without the investment opportunities, there would be no foreign funds flows to the country and no opportunity to put domestic monies to productive use.

This would severely impede the economy's ability to generate employment opportunities.

And let there be no doubt that idle hands and idle minds, driven by hungry bellies, will sure as night follows day, bring great waves of social unrest such as this country has never seen before.
SOUTH African law pertaining to strikes and the workers' right to strike came in for some criticism in the Springbok Radio programme "Top Level" broadcast last night.

An industrial relations consultant, Mr Eddie Nicholson, said the fact that employers have the right to fire strikers after they have followed the legal procedures required for a strike, made the South African industrial relations procedure suspect.

He added that the system's credibility had to be questioned if in spite of following procedures the consequences of the strike action may result in firing just as it would in an illegal strike.

Unions, he said, would then be justified in questioning why they should go through the long list of requirements for a legal strike when the same end effect would be achieved if a strike was illegal.

Speaking on the same programme, the Professor of Law at the University of the Orange Free State, Professor Jimmy Claassen, said without the freedom to strike without being fired, there could be no equilibrium in industrial relations.

He said only lip service was paid to use of the strike as a legitimate tool of negotiation.

The acting general secretary of the Trades Union Council of South Africa, Mrs Ruth Imme, said Tusa, which represents 400 000 union members, had taken a policy decision to oppose the retention of the common law right to fire strikers.

It was recommended that strikers' service contracts be retained — Sapa
3 unions protest

Labour Correspondent

A DELEGATION from three unions representing domestic workers went to Pretoria yesterday to protest over delays in an official Government inquiry aimed at improving laws affecting these workers.

A statement issued by a lawyer representing the unions said they would hand over a letter to the Minister of Manpower, Mr Plet de Plesis, urging him to speed up an investigation by the National Manpower Commission into domestic workers' conditions.

They would also demand that the Minister agree to meet them before the next session of Parliament.

The three are the Johannesburg-based SA Domestic Workers Association (SADWA), Cape Town's Domestic Workers Association, and the Domestic Workers Association of SA.
Key labour law report

By STEVEN FRIEDMAN
Labour Correspondent

A KEY official report on labour laws affecting farm and domestic workers has been completed — but the laws are unlikely to be changed before 1986, according to the director-general for Manpower, Dr Piet van der Merwe.

He said the Government would only decide early next year how to react to the report.

The report is the result of a National Manpower Commission inquiry into the conditions of farm and domestic workers which was launched in May, 1982.

Both groups of workers are excluded from most of the clauses in labour law granting workers protection, bargaining rights and social security. They have therefore been described as "the most exploited" workers in the country.

Delays in completing the report have angered three domestic workers' unions, who last week sent a delegation to Pretoria to demand a meeting with the Minister of Manpower, Mr Piet du Plessis.

They said they had repeatedly asked for progress reports on the NMC's work but received no reply. There had also, they charged, been no response to their submissions to the NMC besides a letter of acknowledgement almost a year later.

Their delegation delivered a letter asking for a meeting with Mr du Plessis before the beginning of the next session of Parliament.

Recently, the chairman of the NMC, Dr Hennie Reynders, said the report on farm and domestic workers was handed to Mr Du Plessis about a month ago.

Dr van der Merwe said the report was with the Government translators at present. The Government could not, he said, take a decision on it until their work was finished early in the new year.

If the Government did decide to change the law, it would only be able to do so "in 1986 at the earliest."
I. This agreement provides different wages for Worcester and Goodwood area, Worcester Textile Manufacturing Industry (Cape) and Belville, Worcester Textile Manufacturing Industry (Cape), Stellenbosch, Myburgh, Goodwood, Arica, Trade Union: Textile Workers’ Industrial Union (South), Textile Manufacturers’ Employers Organization: National Association of Textile
National strike looms

Own Correspondent
Johannesburg - South Africa could face its first legal national strike next month unless the Minister of Manpower appoints a conciliation board to hear the dispute between Sasol and the Chemical Workers' Industrial Union (CWIU).

Labour lawyers said yesterday that if the minister failed or refused to appoint a conciliation board within 30 days of the application being lodged, a legal strike could be held.

Stayaway

The CWIU lodged its application on Thursday, which means that if a conciliation board has not been appointed by February 8 the national strike can go ahead.

The dispute between Sasol and the CWIU centres around the reinstatement of the 6,000 workers dismissed by Sasol last year for their participation in the two-day work stayaway.

The 24 unions, who are currently involved in unity talks, have all threatened to go on strike unless the minister appoints a conciliation board. They will all be in a position to strike legally, since the law does not define that only parties to a conciliation board may go on strike.

Labour lawyers interpret the law as meaning that all unions who support the same demand on the same issue can go on strike. A national legal strike will present extraordinary difficulties for employers, and although workers can be dismissed in a legal strike, they can seek legal recourse. But such action would herald industrial unrest and confrontation throughout the country on a massive scale.

The dispute with Sasol arose when the 24 unions jointly demanded that Sasol reinstate all the dismissed workers and negotiate their reinstatement with the CWIU.

Sasol would not concede to the demand and has said it will reinstate only those workers who have "satisfied" Sasol that they were not "instigators or instigators" in the strike.

Sasol would not comment on the situation yesterday apart from saying that the 1,500 workers it has reinstated so far and the processing of applications from at least 4,000 dismissed workers are not a result of union pressure.

Re-employed

Meanwhile Sapa reports that Sasol said yesterday that more than 1,800 of the 5,000 Sasol workers dismissed after last November's stayaway had been re-employed over the past eight weeks.

However, it warned that it could not tolerate stayaway action "which is not work-related and which could jeopardize the safety of its staff, innocent third parties and/or the (Sasol) plants."

In a statement issued in Johannesburg, Sasol said it had received more than 4,000 applications from former employees since November.
Union decides to register

By PHILLIP VAN NIEKERK

THE General Workers' Union (GWU), which represents workers in the transport, construction, engineering and other industries, has made a key policy change in deciding to register in terms of the Labour Relations Act.

The decision was taken at a special congress of the union at the weekend, and means the GWU is the second leading emerging union, after the National Union of Mineworkers (NUM), to take this decision this year.

The question of registration was a raging issue within the trade union movement until a few years ago when changes to the law diminished the difference between registered and unregistered unions.

Unregistered unions still have difficulty in obtaining stop order facilities - they have to apply to the Minister of Manpower for these - and this is regarded as a major inconvenience.

There is also speculation that the GWU could be contemplating the formation of an industrial council in the stevedoring industry, where the GWU is the majority union.

In a statement yesterday, Mr. Dave Lewis, general secretary of the GWU, said the question of registration had been discussed over the past six months in each of the factories of the union.

"After careful consideration of the issues involved the union decided that at this stage the realisation of our objectives would be better served by registration."

The GWU passed a resolution expressing its outrage at the killing of innocent people by police at Uitenhage and said that escalating unrest in the country was an "expression of the mounting anger of the workers of South Africa and their children."

"Violence can only end when the Government lifts its ban on political organisations and recognises the political representatives of the majority."

The statement condemned President Ronald Reagan of the United States for "condoning the killings," and said that by so doing he had revealed the truth of the policy of constructive engagement.
Nicholas Haysom of the Centre of Applied Legal Studies at Wits has researched and contributed a number of articles to law journals on the legal aspects of labour in the homelands.

Homelands labour law is confusing. But it has not had the chaotic impact it might have done, mainly because there is very limited industrialisation or union organisation in homeland areas other than in the Ciskei, KwaZulu and Bophuthatswana.

To untangle the web you need the collected SA statutes for each year since 1970, all regulations issued in terms of these statutes, all homeland regulations, and all proclamations or statutes altering the constitutional status or legislative capacity of each of the 10 homelands.

The Black States Constitution Act of 1971 sets out a three-stage constitutional path to homeland independence. The first begins with the creation of a legislative assembly, a body which may issue its own labour regulations to override those issued in terms of SA statutes. So far, the provisions have been extended to the workmen's compensation and unemployment insurance funds, as provided for in SA statutes, may not be altered at this stage.

The significant date for legal purposes is when a homeland enters the second constitutional phase—that of "self-government".

After this date, any subsequent amendments to SA legislation do not apply within this homeland. It may now repeal or amend SA statutes, and create its own labour statutes (The third stage, "independence", is not as significant because the homeland will no longer submit its legislation to the SA government for approval.)

All of SA's homelands accepted self-governing status at different times, and are thus frozen into the operation of different stages of post-1971 SA labour law. But in the Seventies, each year has seen amendments, more or less, to its labour laws and regulations, so any attempt to ascertain the statutory regime inherited at the time self-governing status was conferred upon the homelands requires more than a mere examination of the principal Act in its original form. In Transkei and Venda, the works and health committee system of earlier SA labour law concerning blacks is perpetuated.

Only KaNgwane, which received self-governing status last year, inherited the 1979 Wiehahn reforms to the Industrial Conciliation Act. These gave non-racial or African unions the right to participate in existing and new conciliation machinery, and recognised their de facto legitimacy.

The diligent researcher will also find that the independent homelands of Transkei, Venda and Bophuthatswana, and the self-governing homeland of KwaZulu, have each passed their own statutes regulating collective bargaining and minimum wages.

Having tracked down these Acts, and the SA Acts in their amended form up to the date of the relevant area's self-government, one may begin to set out its industrial relations parameters.

But there is a joke in the legal pack. Proclamation R84 of 1970 was issued by the SA State President, as empowered by the Black Administration Act. As amended, it sets aside the applicability of the Industrial Conciliation Act and all wage determinations (though not the Wage Act) to all blacks in the homelands. Presumably this was intended to enable ultra-cheap labour to give a boost to the border areas strategy of the time. Unfortunately, this proclamation's existence appears to have been forgotten. KwaZulu legislation therefore reflects the Wiehahn reforms into that homeland amends the Industrial Conciliation Act—overlooking the fact that it does not apply to any homeland blacks. Legal opinion is divided as to whether the KwaZulu Act can have its intended legal effect.

The main features of labour law and official conduct in the three most industrialised homelands give an idea of the range of factors at work. Bophuthatswana has mining development and some light industrial spin-offs from the PWV area in Baboezeg. KwaZulu's Isithebe and Ciskei's Mdantsane are also seeing some industrialisation. Important, these homelands also contain dormitory towns for major industrial areas like Brits/Pretoria, Durban and East London. In Bophuthatswana, collective bargaining is now governed by the homeland's own Industrial Conciliation Act. Its most significant feature is its ban on "foreign" unions. The government is hostile to non-ethnic unions and supports officially approved ones, particularly in the mining sector. Strike procedure as laid down in the Bophuthatswana Act may effectively prevent legal strikes taking place at all, and union registration procedure grants undue discretion to the Registrar of Unions. In fact, some of its provisions may prove to be at odds with the Bophuthatswana Bill of Rights.

The KwaZulu Act (if it is assumed to apply) is primarily distinguished from its SA counterpart by the fact that it allows unions to join political parties, which is in line with the ruling party's desire that unions should affiliate to Inkatha. The Ciskei's approach to labour organisation is notoriously severe. The applicable labour legislation appears to be the archaic Black Labour Relations Regulation Act, buttressed by security laws.

Trade unions are generally urban-based, non-ethnic, democratic structures. This contrasts sharply with homeland political structures, which are founded on traditional rural tribal and ethnic structures. And, in general, homeland regimes are autocratic, and plagued by instability. It can be expected that they would respond with hostility to the prospect of autonomous mass-based organisations challenging their power.
INDUSTRIAL COURT

In a judicial limbo

The Wiehahn Commission knew exactly what it was doing, back in '79, when it recommended that black workers should enjoy the same statutory protection as any others. In so doing it initiated a process from which there could be no turning back.

The cutting edge was Wiehahn's recommendation that the definition of "employee" in the Industrial Conciliation Act (now the Labour Relations Act) be extended to cover blacks. This would have the effect not only of giving legitimacy to the black trade unions which existed at the time— but would also boost unionisation. To resolve conflict the old Industrial Tribunal was to be upgraded into a body with sufficient power and authority to adjudicate labour disputes. Therein lay the origins of our current Industrial Court (IC).

Wiehahn wanted the right of appeal against any decision of the new IC to be to the Supreme Court. However, in its responding White Paper, government did not give unqualified support to this. The unfortunate upshot is that instead of falling under the Department of Justice, the labour court falls under Manpower.

For a few years after its inauguration in October 1979 the court had a quiet time. It was seldom used by either labour or management. But since September 1 1982, when the IC's powers were expanded to allow it to grant status quo orders providing temporary relief to aggrieved parties—it's caseload has increased each year. In 1982 49 matters were referred to it. In 1983 this figure leapt to 190, and last year an all-time high of 475 matters came before it.

On the surface, therefore, it would seem that the IC has come into its own. But there is a fatal flaw—a number of judgments make it clear that the court is not really a court at all, and the problem of the IC's status has become acute.

Two cases illustrate this. In United African Motor and Allied Workers' Union (Uamawu) v Fodens (SA) Pty the union won its claim that the company had committed 37 unfair labour practices, but could not claim costs as the IC ruled it did not have the power to make such awards. Uamawu appealed to the Supreme Court—which ruled that the union couldn't do so because the IC does not sit as a court of law when it adjudicates unfair labour practice disputes.

If Fodens raised uncomfortable questions about the IC's status, the case of the SA Technical Officials Association (Satoa) v The President of the Industrial Court and others proved even more disquieting.

Government agency

After complicated arguments in the IC and the Supreme Court, the Appellate Division ruled that the IC is not a court—merely a government agency.

One advocate concluded that the case has placed the whole authority of the IC in question "It is merely a government agency from which there is no meaningful right of appeal. There is furthermore no adequate..."
way of enforcing IC judgments. One can act in contempt of "court" but not in contempt of an agency.

This highlights another problem. The IC does not have the power to hear cases arising out of contraventions of its orders. This must be done by the criminal courts and some lawyers see this as a further failing, arguing that it diminishes the IC's status.

But others see it differently. "The debate is meaningless. The court has image problems — not power problems," says a Johannesburg attorney. However, he does acknowledge that because the IC's image has been knocked employers could be inclined to dismiss it. This undermines the IC's credibility — a serious matter.

Criminal prosecution

Furthermore, there seems to be uncertainty to whether the Labour Relations Act as it stands makes provision for criminal prosecution for violations of status quo orders. Since such orders form the bulk of the IC's work this is little short of alarming. A tool in the FM's armoury, the IC's whole question of criminal sanctions for status quo orders is a "fairly murky area. There are provisions which say failure to abide by IC awards is a criminal offence. But they are not very tight and it is possible that there may have been a legislative oversight." But he adds: "This is the sort of point that only rogue employers will seize upon."

There are several other serious criticisms of the IC. They concern the court's staffing position, and pay levels of IC staff. Perhaps most disquieting of all is that IC officials do not necessarily have to have any knowledge of labour law or industrial relations.

One of the IC's basic problems is that it cannot find the right people to fill its posts. Salaries offered to members are hardly what could be called realistic. These have been increased of late, but officials say they cannot reveal the actual sums. Nevertheless, they are still very low compared to what advocates earn in the private sector.

The result is that the IC relies heavily on ad hoc members to deal with its increasingly heavy caseload. Labour lawyers complain that sometimes these people do not have sufficient background in labour law and industrial relations to perform their function effectively. They charge that this factor underlies the contradictory judgments which have come out of the IC.

It would be impossible to expect consensus on labour issues. But have the contradictions that have arisen been more confusing than those from other courts? A prominent labour law academic thinks so. "Overall the need for guidelines is probably more urgent for this court. Employers must be able to plan what they can do."

André Lamprocht, Barlow Rand's group industrial relations legal adviser, has also commented on the contradictions. "When the court hands down differing decisions, not only does development of a coherent labour jurisprudence suffer as a result, but it produces uncertainty about the law. Businessmen require certainty to run their affairs properly. Industrial relations is a very important area and uncertainty about the law is not only uncomfortable to employers, their employees and unions, but introduces unnecessary conflict into an already volatile area."

One of the problems, as Lamprocht sees it, is that the law the court has to adjudicate on is vague and unspecific, with the current definition of an unfair labour practice. The law should be more defined, he says, and this would create greater confidence in conflicting judgments. Barlow Rand holds that the court's status would be best secured if it becomes part of the Supreme Court.

Daan Fliers took over as IC president from Benjamin Parsons at the beginning of the year. His former post of deputy president, as well as three other permanent positions for IC members are vacant. Fliers is widely respected for the role he plays in the court — and so is the other permanent member. But complaints about the ad hoc members have reached fever pitch (see box).

A leading labour lawyer comments, "A court has the status of its officers. The real failure of the IC is the quality of the people manning it." He charges that the authorities have "stupidly avoided" inviting anybody who knows anything about labour law to act as ad hoc members. "We need a separate court with people who understand the ratio, points out. "It seems to me to be very important that workers and unions as well as employers have access to the court without necessarily having legal representation. It is important that the court should not develop too formally. But you do want sound judgments with sound principles."

Perhaps the solution will be found somewhere between the extremes of a popular and clearly fallible tribunal and a body with Supreme Court status. What is certain is that Government should not leave the protagonists in the labour arena languishing in uncertainty. The IC has demonstrated that it has a valuable role in conflict resolution. But its authority and powers clearly require closer definition.

Financial Mail April 12 1985
In order to expand the market for diamonds, and to capitalize on the growing interest in the gemstone, the Diamond Exchange of New York has been established. This organization is dedicated to promoting the sale and distribution of diamonds worldwide, by providing a platform for buyers and sellers to connect and conduct transactions.

The Diamond Exchange of New York is located in the heart of the city, occupying a large, modern facility that is designed to accommodate all aspects of diamond trading. The exchange offers a range of services, including evaluation, grading, and inspection of diamonds, as well as sales and purchases. It also provides a variety of tools and resources for members to stay informed and up-to-date on the latest trends and developments in the diamond industry.

The Diamond Exchange of New York is committed to providing a fair and transparent marketplace for all participants. It operates under strict guidelines and regulations to ensure the integrity of the diamond trade. Members of the exchange are required to adhere to a code of conduct that prioritizes honesty, integrity, and fairness in all transactions.

The exchange has already seen significant growth since its inception, with a growing number of buyers and sellers from around the world participating in its activities. This has led to increased demand for diamonds, as well as an expansion of the market and a rise in the value of the gemstone.

The Diamond Exchange of New York is an important player in the diamond industry, and its mission is to continue to foster growth and development in the sector by providing a reliable and trustworthy platform for all those involved.

By Issac

COURTESY

EAST TO UNION - THE FLATBUSH

College Industry Restrictions Cited

DAILY DISPATCH, MONDAY, APRIL 18, 1983 - 34
Dam-busting Guy Gibson ... "The most brilliant feat of arms"

raine continually falls into the trap of relying on post hoc judgments, on the ethics of it all — something Harris's biographer, Charles Messanger, so astutely avoids (Books March 1) A section entitled "Prescription for Massacre" leaves the reader in no doubt where the author's sentiments lie, but allowing the heart to rule the mind on this issue is as abrasive as the concept of fighting for peace

For all the bluster, Harris's words stand "They have sown the wind, now they shall reap the whirlwind"  

Nessa Malindo

Artist and enigma
DEGAS: His Life, Times and Work by Roy McMullen (Secker & Warburg, 517pp. R36,95)

About the quality and significance of Edgar Degas' art, there is no longer any argument.

Yet, Degas the man has remained a frustrating enigma to historians who have tried, since his death in 1917, to come to grips with what one has called the "great mystery artist of the age"

McMullen, who died as this book was going to press, has aimed at gaining a better understanding of his subject by placing him in his social class and historical context, by concentrating on primary sources and, above all, by re-examining his hotly debated attitude to women

As a painter of women — in brothels, cafés, the theatre, the ballet, the circus, and especially the intimacy of the bathroom — he fashioned the most tantalising contradiction of his life, not only by never marrying and never keeping a concubine, but also, as far as even his close acquaintances could tell, by avoiding sexual gratification altogether

This aspect of his life has given rise to speculation that he was a repressed homosexual, a voyeur, a victim of either psychic or physical impotence, or a downright misogynist

His nudes do not suggest a sensual response to what he saw and drew (in contrast to, for instance, his contemporary Renoir's alluring, delicately brushworked women), rather, they suggest a detachment, what Picasso saw as "uncommitted looking"

Degas himself once said "There is love, there is our art, and we have only one heart" And Van Gogh commented "He looks at the human animals who are stronger than he is and are kissing each other and having erections, and he paints them well, precisely because he himself is not at all pretentious about having erections"

Whether Degas was being truthful or merely covering up a genuine defect, and whether Van Gogh had read his fellow artist correctly, must be left to posterity to ponder

What is important is that Degas left art with a matchless legacy his later pastels place him among the greatest draughtsmen of modern times, and his studies of the ballet bear a breath-taking beauty that has deterred other major painters from daring to take the ballet as their theme

The only disappointment of McMullen's sweeping prose canvas of a volatile period is that, with the exception of the dustcover, all the reproductions of Degas' work are monochromatic. Had they been in colour, though, this book would have been placed beyond the reach of all but the well-heeled
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Conflict is inherent in the relationship between labour and management, so it is only natural that labour law should be contentious.

In addition, conflicting views are assessed by the Labour Relations Act's extremely broad definition of an unfair labour practice. This had the express purpose of allowing the Industrial Court to build up a body of opinion.

Yet now, six years after the Industrial Court was established, those seeking clear guidelines are often confused because some of the more recent judgments conflict with principles laid down in earlier cases.

The analysis in Employment Law of course reflects the views of the editors and they are not above criticism. Some employers feel, for example, that the editors have been too ready to generalise from particular cases. Others think the analysis is sound.

Either way, each of the editors was a pioneer and is highly experienced in the labour law field, and they have a thorough knowledge of labour practices in other countries. Employment Law has much to recommend it.

Circumstantial case

TOO SECRET TOO LONG by Chapman Pincher (Sidgwick and Jackson, 638pp, R27,55)

Pincher is an ex-journalist and prolific author of books and articles on the British secret service establishment. His latest book examines in depth the career of Roger Hollis, a former director-general of M15, the counter-espionage service, and concludes he was a Soviet spy. Hollis served in that position from 1956 to 1965 and was previously the head of the section responsible for investigating Soviet spying activities against Britain.

That this book caused a storm when published in Britain is not surprising if the allegations against Hollis are true. It means that most British secret service efforts against the USSR were directed by agents of that country for a lengthy period — given the now known role of Kim Philby, who headed the M16 department which conducted espionage against the Soviet Union.

The British secret service and other sensitive areas of government like the Foreign Office and nuclear research bodies were riddled with spies from the Thirties until at least the mid-Sixties. Familiar names include Donald MacLean, Guy Burgess, Klaus Fuchs and Anthony Blunt.

Dangerous naïveté

Numerous publications — including one by Philby himself — have examined these incidents. What emerges strongly is that many of the spies were able to operate undetected for a long time because of the naive establishment belief that a member of the English upper class (which most of these people were) would never turn his back on his heritage.

In addition, alleges Pincher, they were assisted by the fact that the person largely responsible for sniffing them out was Hollis, one of their comrades.

Pincher has put a vast amount of research into this book. It traces Hollis's life from his student days at Oxford in 1924, through his entry into M15 in the late Thirties, his retirement in 1965, to his death in 1973. The area of his research — secrets — is a notoriously difficult one, and he deserves credit for his exposé of government cover-ups of incompetence.

Having said this, the book's approach is irritating. It consists of more than 600 pages of mostly weak circumstantial evidence against Hollis, linking him with almost every British secret service disaster since World War 2. The author did not approach his investigation with anything like an open mind. He formed his conclusion first and then proceeded to mould each piece of evidence to support his case.

Even though no single part of Pincher's case is conclusive on its own, it is tempting to accept it in total simply because the book is so long. But the critical reader will note, firstly, that it is littered with qualifying phrases like "it could be of significance" and "if almost surely.

Secondly, Pincher makes a number of references to two books written by Soviet agents: Philby's My Struggle and another "by the person he believes originally recruited Hollis." He approvingly quotes passages that apparently support his case. But when referring to others that do not he puts this down to deliberate KGB disinformation. This is a questionable methodology.

Thirdly, although the book is liberally sprinkled with footnotes, many of the allegations are merely attributed to "confidential information." While one can understand the reason for this, one always has to ask oneself how reliable these secret informants are.

Fourthly, much of Pincher's case relies on the fact that Hollis had access to items of information that landed in Soviet hands. Given Hollis's senior position in his organisation, it was his job to be knowledgeable.

Pincher has collected sufficient evidence to prove beyond reasonable doubt that there was high-level Soviet infiltration into M15 in the years between the war and the mid-Sixties. But the overriding impression is that the evidence he brings against Hollis could apply equally to other operatives. He fails to adequately examine M15 structures and provide readers with the identity of all other possible suspects. He looks at only two others who came under suspicion and dismisses the cases against them too cursorily.

Pincher has illustrated several examples of extreme negligence committed by the British secret service and the senior government officials responsible. Further, it seems incapable that at least one instance of Soviet infiltration (and perhaps it was Hollis) remain undetected to this day. It is also vital for the UK's national security that, as Pincher demands, an "oversight" body be appointed to detect such negligence in future.

Had Pincher limited his conclusions to these points, and been less concerned with nailing Hollis in particular, Too Secret Too Long would be far more credible.

STILL CONFUSED


Controversy has surrounded administration boards (now renamed development boards) from the early Seventies, when they were created, up to the present. So much so that any study which glosses over this fact is disappointing.

Even now, for instance, argument rages — particularly in black communities — over who really runs the townships. Is it the rejected community councils and local authorities or the administration boards themselves? What tangible powers — if any — do these new institutions, set up under the Black Local Authorities Act of 1983, enjoy?

These and many other questions, especially those related to township purse-strings, need clear-cut, unambiguous answers in any serious study. But one isn't any wiser after reading From Control to Confusion.

The book fails even to live up adequately to its promise to "establish and assess the ways in which administration boards have changed as a result of the introduction of this new form of State administration."

Perhaps the fault lies not so much in the book itself, but rather in its subject matter which is too contemporary. As such, it has been so fogged by the national media as to now read like an official tract. One learns absolutely nothing new.

Harry Mathabane

REVIEW BOOKS

Books reviewed by the FM are generally sent to us by the publishers, or their SA representatives, as reviewers' copies. While every effort is made to ensure that books not generally available are not reviewed, the FM can take no responsibility for the unavailability of reviewed books in bookshops. We ask that inquiries be directed to the publishers or their agents.
INDUSTRIAL COURT

Blow for Cape union

The longest wage arbitration ever conducted by the Industrial Court — the dispute between the Cape Town Municipal Workers' Association (CTMWA) and the local city council — has been concluded. The court's award — way below what the union demanded — is seen as a blow to unions' campaign for a "living wage" and has elicited scathing comment from the CTMWA.

The scene was set for bitter negotiations early last year when a general meeting of the CTMWA mandated the union to demand a minimum "living wage" of R116/week for the lowest-paid council workers — a 100% increase — raising to a 15% increase for higher-paid workers. When talks broke down, both sides agreed to submit to arbitration by the Industrial Court. This was done,

according to council sources, since it was clear that the union's mandate did not allow it any flexibility and that conclusion procedures would not resolve the differences. Arbitration hearings got under way in October. They broke off in December and resumed for a few days in April. Soon after the union had rejected a final council offer.

In the interim, however, the council unilaterally implemented wage increases on July 1 that raised pay levels for the lowest-level labourers from R1.28/hour to R1.64/hour (R59.34/week and R75.44/week respectively).

In what is probably the most sophisticated union presentation on wages, the CTMWA based its claim at the arbitration hearings on interviews conducted with over 6,000 of its members and a highly critical analysis of the various poverty datum lines. The council, while stating that it sympathised with the plight of the workers, argued that it could not afford the demands. Events took an unfortunate turn for the union when it was revealed that some entries about wages on a computer printout presented as evidence had been altered by one of its employees.

In terms of the court's order made last week, all employees earning between R73.20/week and R87.62/week will receive a one-notch increase worth about R4.59 per worker. Thus, a labourer earning R75.78/week now earns R79.93/week. In addition, the pay scales of all employees earning less than labourers' wages will be brought in line with labourers' rates. The order, which is valid for 15 months from January 1985, excludes all workers who were not on the council's payroll on July 1 last year and any others who have received a pay increase since January 1 this year.

Ertzen is very unhappy with the order. He comments "A large number of the union's lower-paid members — some 4,500 in all — will derive some benefits from the award. About 6,000 will not. On the whole, we regard it as most unsatisfactory." Ertzen says the union will report back to its members on June 4 at a protest meeting to consider what action to take.

One factor sure to be highlighted is the problem the union faced because its members were not allowed to strike, since local authority work is classified as an "essential service." Says Ertzen: "This provision robs workers of their most powerful weapon in the collective bargaining process — namely, the strike weapon. We want it to be removed so as to enable us to exercise, if we so choose, the right to take industrial action."

Cape Town town clerk Stanley Evans comments: "We would have preferred not to engage in this way, but the union's mandate did not give it the capacity for flexibility. The award must be considered fair in the western Cape environment. We know of many industries which are paying less than us. We were a guinea-pig case, and I think the other side regarded it as a big test case for the establishment of a living wage."
CLOTHING INDUSTRY

**Tucsa strikes back**

In a serious blow to the National Union of Textile Workers' (NUTW) recruitment campaign in the Natal clothing industry, Tucsa's Garment Workers' Industrial Union (GWIU) has won majority support in a secret ballot conducted among workers at Pinetown manufacturer Natal Overall.

The GWIU confirmed the validity of its representation at Natal Overall when it won 533 votes compared with its Fosatsu rival's 321 in a ballot in which 864 of the company's labour force of 883 workers participated.

The ballot was conducted in terms of an order made by the Industrial Court after the NUTW and Natal Overall reached an out-of-court settlement in a dispute over the retrenchment of several NUTW members.

Despite the GWIU's closed shop at the factory, the NUTW alleged that the company had committed an unfair labour practice by retrenching without consultation.

Now that the NUTW has lost, it will have to abide by another condition in the order: it may not compel the company to deal with it on any matter for the next year. If it had won, the NUTW would have found a unique method to deal with the problems posed by the GWIU's closed shop. The order obliged Natal Overall to consult with the NUTW before retrenching any of its members, to deduct union dues from NUTW members (which would have entailed applying for an exemption from the province's clothing industrial council), and to negotiate in good faith with the NUTW or conclude a recognition agreement with it.

**Closed shop war**

NUTW and the GWIU have been locked in a closed shop war for some time now. First indications of how seriously the GWIU took the threat from the Fosatsu union came when it amended its constitution to empower it to expel any worker who joined another union. Because of the GWIU's closed shop agreement this meant that members of the Natal Clothing Manufacturers' Association committed an offence if they employ workers who are not members of the GWIU.

Despite this action, the NUTW scored a decisive win against the GWIU when it broke its hold at James North (Africa) in the first successful legal challenge of the closed shop. Inter-union rivalry over this issue reached such proportions last year that the Tucsa union staged a mass rally in Durban to show the depth of its support.

The GWIU agreed to participate in the ballot at Natal Overall only after it had threatened to take the Industrial Court's order on review on the grounds that it had not been consulted when the order was drawn up. However, it later withdrew the threat.

Says GWIU general secretary Frankie Hansa: "The ballot went off very peacefully. Now we will have to continue working hard to maintain our position on the shopfloor and to keep worker support. The victory has buoyed Hansa's confidence. He says NUTW has requested secret ballots at a number of other factories and that the GWIU will agree to participate.

An NUTW spokesman comments: "We asked for a ballot because of the difficulties we have had with the GWIU's closed shop agreement and with getting onto the clothing industry industrial council. The workers voted for the union of their choice. But it must be borne in mind that we did not set out to smash the GWIU and that we did not start organising at Natal Overall — the workers came to us."
Law blamed for strike ‘defeat’

By Martine Barker

One of the country’s biggest independent trade unions, the General Workers’ Union, is unlikely to advise workers to make use of South Africa’s legal dispute machinery again.

Two recent strikes at making the machinery work ended in legal strikes which failed to achieve their objectives. One ended in the sacking of the workers, the other in a situation of stalemate when the company concerned refused to negotiate further.

Mr David Lewis, general secretary of the General Workers’ Union (GWU), said this week: “Unless the Industrial Conciliation Act is revised to give management and workers equal bargaining power, there seems to be absolutely no incentive for workers to go through the long and arduous procedures laid down in the law.”

He was speaking in the wake of the legal strike at five Corobrik factories — the biggest legal strike in the history of the Western Cape — which ended last Wednesday.

Mr Lewis said the facts that workers were not able to obtain bigger increases as a result of taking strike action could be seen in one sense as a “defeat.”

“But rightly or wrongly, the workers don’t believe they were defeated. They believe they showed tremendous strength. Their decision to return to work was a very mature decision to conserve strength,” he said.

Insofar as the strike did fail to achieve its objectives, the GWU feels blame lies with current legislation which grants workers the right to strike but leaves room for management of power that will always outweigh that of the workers.

A key issue is that striking workers can be dismissed at any time, even when strike action is undertaken in terms of legally-determined procedures. This was the fate of workers from the African Spin Concrete Factory who embarked on a legal strike last month.

In the recent Corobrik dispute, management refused to negotiate an improved package with the strikers, on the grounds that the act of striking was an act of bad faith, even though it was legal. The Western Cape managing director, Mr Graham Boudin, told the Cape Times the company had negotiated in good faith on the conciliation board.

“But once the strike began, which we considered in itself an act of bad faith, it was very difficult to continue negotiating,” he said.

According to Mr Lewis this position, which the company is entitled to take in terms of the law, reinforces the unworkability of the Act. “It is legitimate for people to draw final lines but if final lines are drawn by management, expressly because workers are on a legal strike, then again seems no reason to use the machinery which allows legal strikes.

The question of intimidation, which both sides claimed the other was guilty of during the Corobrik strike, is “in the eye of the beholder,” said Mr Lewis.

“While the union does not condone the use of intimidation under any circumstances, it is the threat from workers that they will socially ostracize fellow workers who do not participate in the strike any more intimidation than the threat from management that people will be fired for striking.”

“The kind of power that the Act allows management to exercise may be far more gentlemanly but it is far more devastating than anything the workers could do.”

The Act, in Mr Lewis’s view, was designed with the philosophy “not that agreement should be reached but that it should serve as mechanism for ‘cooling off’ workers’ demands.”
Supreme Court rules sympathy strikes OK

BY LINDA ENSOR

A RAND Supreme Court judge established two precedents in labour law yesterday when he ruled that sympathy strikes and the continuation of a legal strike following a conditional return to work were both lawful.

Mr Justice B O'Donnovan dismissed with costs the urgent application which African Explosives and Chemicals Industries (AECI) brought against the SA Chemical Workers' Union (SACWU) and its national organiser, Daniel Samela.

He said, "with not hesitation" that no illegal action, actual or threatening, had been disclosed. The reasons for his finding will be handed down at a later stage.

AECI and its wholly-owned subsidiary, AECI Chlor-Alkali Plastics, were granted leave to appeal.

They had applied for the strike at Chlor's Ballengelch factory in Newcastle to be declared unlawful, as well as the sympathy strikes being organised at Chlor's plants in Sasolburg (OFS) and Umbogintwini (Natal) and at AECI's factories in Modderfontein (East Rand) and Somerset West.

SACWU has been holding ballots at these factories to test worker opinion about a sympathy strike. The strike of 500 workers at Ballengelch over wages for 1985 started on June 3. Negotiations broke down at a meeting of the Industrial Conciliation Board on March 18.

Chlor's offer of a R9.55 wage increase, which would have brought the minimum monthly wage to R575, was rejected by SACWU, which demanded a monthly, across-the-board increase of R100 as well as a leave bonus and standby allowance.

Chlor said it could not meet this demand because it had forecast a loss of R800 000 for 1984 for Ballengelch, and a R2.2m loss for 1985. The plant was threatened with closure, it said.

SACWU held a ballot and a legal strike took place from March 21 to 28, ending when Chlor issued an ultimatum that the strikers who did not return to work the next day faced dismissal.

SACWU claimed this constituted an unfair labour practice, adding that although the basic dispute remained unresolved, the workers, to protect their jobs, would return to work "pending legal advice on the matter.

The union, keeping its options open, refused to give an undertaking that there would be no further strikes and on June 3 the second strike began.

Roland Sutherland, representing AECI, argued that the second strike was unlawful as the Labour Relations Act did not provide for a strike to be suspended in order to be resumed at a later stage.

Tim Trollip, appearing for SACWU, argued that the second strike was legal.

The requirements for a legal strike, namely the establishment of a conciliation board and the holding of a ballot, had been satisfied.

Judge O'Donnovan in effect ratified this unprecedented union tactic.

Regarding the sympathy strikes, Trollip argued that were lawful both because workers at other AECI plants shared the interests of the Ballengelch workers, and because the conciliation board already had jurisdiction over the wage dispute.

Sutherland was instructed by Webber Wentzel & Co and Trollip by Cheadle, Thompson and Haysom.
AECI sympathy strike plans still a grey issue

AECI workers planning to go out on sympathy strikes will be acting within a grey area of the law, Assumptions that Judge Brian O'Donovan had established a precedent last week, by declaring sympathy strikes legal, were not supported by the judgment he handed down yesterday.

The judge confined himself to the documents and arguments presented in court and dealt with AECI's application on the basis of a concession made by AECI that, if the Ballengeech strike were declared lawful, then this would dispose of the whole matter.

A ruling on sympathy strikes was therefore not necessary because the concession made by AECI limited the dispute to be decided to the issue of the Ballengeech, Natal, strike.

Judge O'Donovan did, however, establish a precedent by ruling that the temporary suspension of a strike to pursue negotiations was in principle lawful.

This emerged in the judge's explanation of why he had dismissed AECI's application brought against the SA Chemical Workers' Industrial Union last week.

AECI applied to have a strike at AECI Chlor-Alkali Plastic's Ballengeech plant in Newcastle, as well as sympathy strikes planned at its other plants, declared unlawful.

AECI group personnel manager Bokkie Botha, in court yesterday to hear the judgement, said, "I think it is clear that a lot of speculation about the legality of sympathy strikes has been proven wrong. The judge in fact has not ruled on sympathy strikes at all."

Botha implied that AECI would adopt a tough stance on sympathy strikes being planned at eight plants which could involve 14,000 workers.

Some labour lawyers sharply disagreed with Botha's view.

Halton Cheadle, of the legal firm Cheadle, Thomson & Haysom, said: "I believe the definition of strikes is wide enough to incorporate sympathy strikes and so do other leading labour academics."

Other labour lawyers felt the question of the legality of sympathy strikes remained as open as ever.

AECI and its subsidiary instituted legal action last week after the outbreak of a strike at its Ballengeech plant.

At a meeting in March the Industrial Conciliation Board failed to resolve a wage dispute at Ballengeech and 600 workers went out on a legal strike which ended about a week later, when management issued an ultimatum saying that the workers faced dismissal if they did not indicate by March 28 whether they accepted the management's offer of a 9.5% increase.

The workers returned to work conditionally and came out on strike again on June 3. Negotiations have failed to resolve the dispute.

Judge O'Donovan dealt with AECI's application on the basis of its concession that, if the Ballengeech strike were declared lawful, this would dispose of the whole matter.

AECI argued that only one conciliation board had been established, that for the wage dispute at Ballengeech. A strike to be legal a board must have jurisdiction over the dispute giving rise to it and a ballot must be held.

Therefore, AECI argued, if the judge found that the Ballengeech workers could not rely on the board established prior to its first strike to legitimize the second one, neither could the sympathy strikers.

The argument for the illegality of the sympathy strikes thus was entirely dependent on the one for the illegality of the Ballengeech strike.

The judge said the interdict sought by AECI was more widely framed than the argument presented by AECI in court, which was addressed mainly towards the illegality of the Ballengeech strike.

However, attorneys for the SA Chemical Workers' Union said they were not aware that AECI had made this concession as counsel had argued the issue of sympathetic strikes in court.

Regarding the legality of conditionally suspending a strike and continuing it later, Judge O'Donovan said, "I am not aware of any provision in the Labour Relations Act which prohibits a union from temporarily suspending a strike in order to pursue negotiations further."

He said in his view the question of whether the second strike was a legal strike or merely a continuation of the earlier strike was "ultimately a question of fact" and cited the following facts of the Ballengeech strike as relevant:

☐ The original wage dispute remained unresolved.
☐ The long delay between the strike and its resumption had to be viewed in the context of the long-standing nature of the wage dispute. In this context the delay was "not so excessive as to amount to abandonment by the union of the strike called in March."
☐ The union's letter to the Ballengeech management saying that workers would return to work to protect their jobs, despite the fact that the dispute remained unresolved. Judge O'Donovan said this amounted to "a reservation by the union of its rights to resume strike action which has been lawfully commenced."
We’re rubber stamps

- Kunene

By MOJALEFA MOSEKI

THE mayor of Soweto, Mr Edward Kunene, has claimed that he and seven of his fellow councillors were being used as rubber stamps by the West Rand Development Board and Urban Foundation on the Protea North development project.

The chairman of the WRadebo, Mr John Knoetze, and the general manager of the Urban Foundation, Mr Matthew Nell, have refuted Mr Kunene’s claim.

They said a steering committee had been formed to deliberate on issues concerning the Protea North project. It consisted of members of the council, the WRadebo and Urban Foundation. All decisions taken were discussed and agreed upon by the three parties.

Mr Nell said the council had a financial stake in the deal.

However, Mr Kunene said the land belonged to WRadebo which appointed the Urban Foundation to develop it. Members of the council, including him and the chairman of the management committee, Mr Letsatsi Radebe, were co-opted to the steering committee of the Protea project to make it work.

“We were brought in to sign contracts because the land fell within the boundaries of Soweto, but have no power to effect decisions or veto those made by the other parties. If we had power, the price of sites could never have been fixed at R6 500 and more because that is above what most residents without accommodation can afford to pay,” said Mr Kunene.

The claim was first made by councillors during a heated debate over the ownership of the Protea North area in the last council meeting. They were backed by Mr Radebe, but Mr Kunene refrained from contributing to the debate.

Protea North will be transferred to the Soweto City Council with other assets and lands by WRadebo soon.
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A potential threat may be an uncooperative subject to the back door...
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Unions seek solution to striking a balance
to strikes by shop-floor bargaining

There is controversy over whether unions should press for shop-floor bargaining or adhere to the collective principle. A dual-system may have merits.

CLAIRE PICKARD-CAMBRIDGE

Although, the decision by a party to participate in centralised or local bargaining depends on where their power is most effective and the specific circumstances of their industry.

Thus distinctions need to be drawn about industrial councils. For instance, the prime motive of the General Workers Union in applying for registration is to consider as an industrial council for the stevedoring industry.

The stevedoring industry is a small one and most of the stevedores are members of the union. In the case of the stevedoring industry, the union feels they would be meeting employers with strength and not be bargaining alongside a great number of other unions as occurs in the metal industry.

The situation on the metal and engineering industry's industrial council is particularly tense at present. This is because there is a united front body, the Steel and Engineering Industries' Federation of South Africa (Seifs), facing unions who battle to reach agreement among themselves over whom to deny membership benefits and funds.

Last year both the SA Boilermakers Society and Mawu rejected the agreement signed by the other unions on the council, and a long impasse existed this year with Mawu finally remaining outside the agreement.

The unions — particularly the four International Metalworkers Federation (IMF) unions, which include Mawu — are fighting for additional plant-level facilities with some employers where they are well represented. They have warned that union members will consider strike action at companies which are approached about plant-level bargaining and reject this.

However, Seifs has advised employers to avoid plant-level bargaining. Seifs argues that similar issues cannot be bargained effectively on two levels and that shop floor bargaining will lead to a highly disorderly situation in their industry.

Many management believe centralised bargaining protects a company from "leapfrogging," when unions use one company's settlement as a base at the next.

However, the IMF union and Mawu are so dissatisfied with plant-level bargaining arrangements on their industrial council that it is threatening to abandon the council if their proposals for restructuring it are rejected. They believe the balance of power and the industrial council protects larger employers who can hide below minimum wage rates suffering smaller companies.

Seifs, in turn, is prepared to discuss collective bargaining arrangements with members, but is waiting for the IMF proposals.

Today most black unions — who initially rejected industrial councils as a symbol of apartheid and a body always favouring employers — are rethinking their stand.

The Federation of South African Trade Unions (Fosatu) reversed its initial rejection of industrial councils and most affiliates are party to one, although they still prefer plant-level bargaining.

Their change of stance has largely been attributed to their establishment of a sound shop floor presence and the difficulty in administering a growing web of different plant-level agreements.

Some general advantages of industrial councils include their provision for industry wide pension funds, medical aid schemes and minimum wage safeguards for the industry

which are legally enforceable.

Disadvantages are that they do not cater for specific local problems and can lead to wildcat strikes at plant levels. Problems flare up at factories and cannot be speedily resolved through the council.

It is also argued that the industrial councils are losing control of wage levels and of the whole remuneration structure in the industry. Because the gap between the maximum and minimum actual wages can be so great, plant-level bargaining in turn can cater for diverse and unique interests, diminishes tension at the workplace, and provides for control of incentive schemes, job evaluation and production and bargaining. Conversely, many plant-level agreements become difficult for unions to administer and employers cannot negotiate with the hundreds of smaller employers in an industry.

Most black unions see plant-level bargaining as more democratic because shop stewards can give them an advising power behind union negotiators. But another Fosatu affiliate, the National Automobile and Allied Workers Union (Nawu), was one of the first emerging unions to utilise both plant and centralised bargaining in the early Eighties.

The fear that council bargaining removed talks from worker control was overcome by arranging continual report backs to members and receiving fresh mandates during all stages of negotiation.

The multi-union Trade Council of South Africa (Tcasa) stresses that supplementary agreements can exist side by side with centralised agreements. Most members of the Council of Unions of South Africa (Cosatu) now utilise centralised bargaining as well, but also see plant-level bargaining for companies who can and do pay above minimum rates as an essential complement.

There is no industry council for the mining industry, which is mainly employed to conclude recognition agreements with the National Union of Mineworkers at mines where it is representative. However, the NUM has previously said it would not want to become employer in the industry.

Therefore the industrial councils should not be liked by more than one union or council rule. One ought, right of exclusions of parties, for example, limitations in between Fosat Textile Workers and Slater's, Clothing Workers and the Trade union's council court system.

It's been central council rules on strike, poor relations, recent in industrial militancy, these work sets of press.

There is that a dual system enables collective at its own such as the British one, ceaselessly cooperation of the unions at the decades.

Germany, turn have manufacturing systems for decentralisation have made a minimum wage.

Judgement a key industry, left, in questing plants ties to negotiate above minimum.

The police have significant effect on and engineers and workers thousands years to sphere of engagements.
Unions seek solutions to striking a bargain

There is controversy over whether unions should press for shop-floor bargaining or adhere to the collective principle. A dual system may have merits.

CLAIRE PICKARD-CAMBRIDGE

Plant-level facilities with some employers where they are well represented. They have warned that union members will consider strike action at companies which are approached about plant-level bargaining and reject the offer.

However, Selena has advised employer members to avoid plant-level bargaining. Selena argues that similar issues cannot be bargained effectively on two levels and that shop floor bargaining will lead to a highly disorderly situation in their industry.

Many managements believe centralised bargaining protects a company from “leapfrogging,” when unions use one company’s settlement as a base at the next.

However, the IMF unions and Mawu are so dissatisfied with bargaining arrangements on their industrial council that they are threatening to abandon the council if their proposals for restructing it are rejected.

They believe the balance of power in the industrial council protects large employers who can hide behind minimum rates suitting smaller companies.

Seisa, in turn, is prepared to discuss collective bargaining arrangements with members, but is waiting for the IMF proposals.

Today most black unions — who initially rejected industrial councils as a symbol of apartheid and a body always favoring employers — are rethinking their stand.

The Federation of South African Trade Unions (Fosatu) reversed its initial rejection of industrial councils and most affiliates are party to, although they still prefer plant-level bargaining.

Their change of stance has largely been attributed to their establishment of a sound shop floor presence and the difficulty in administering a growing multitude of different plant-level agreements.

Some general advantages of industrial councils include their provision for industry-wide pension funds, medical aid schemes and minimum wage safeguards for the industry which are legally enforceable.

Disadvantages are that they do not cater for specific local problems and can lead to wildcat strikes at plant level. Problems flare up at factories and cannot be speedily resolved through the council.

It is also argued that the industrial councils are losing control of wage levels and of the whole remuneration structure in the industry because the gap between published minimum and actual wages can be so great.

Plant-level bargaining in turn can cater for unique and diverse interests, diminishes tension at the workplace, and provides for control of incentive schemes in an industry and productivity gains. Conversely, many plant-level agreements become difficult for unions to administer and unions cannot negotiate with the hundreds of smaller employers in an industry.

Most black unions see plant-level bargaining as more democratic because shop stewards can remain an advising power behind union negotiators. But another Fosatu affiliate, the National Automobile and Allied Workers Union (Naawu), was one of the first emerging unions to utilise both plant and centralised bargaining in the early Eighties.

The fear that council bargaining removed talks from worker control was overcome by arranging continual report backs to members and receiving fresh mandates during all stages of negotiation.

The multilateral Trade Union Council of South Africa (Tusca) stresses that supplementary agreements can exist side by side with centralised agreements. Most members of the Council of Unions of South Africa (Cusa) now utilise centralised bargaining while also see plant-level bargaining for companies who can and do pay above minimum rates as an essential complement.

There is no industrial council for the mining sector and this led many employers to conclude recognition agreements with the National Union of Mine workers at mines where it is representative. However, the NUM has previously said it would not want to become party to an industrial council because it believed it would be employer dominated.

Another burning issue is the charge that some industrial councils are bureaucratic and undemocratic, giving inadequate representation to black unions. Although representing the majority of workers, black unions can be outvoted by smaller, less representative unions and be bound by agreements they rejected.

Therefore most black unions see the industrial council system as inadequate on its own and would at least like more involvement in drawing up council rules.

One problem for employers is the right of existing parties to veto applications of other unions to join councils. An example is the collision between Fosatu’s National Union of Textile Workers (NUTW) and two established Tusca unions which have since merged with the Garment Workers Union and the National Union of Clothing Workers (NUCW) — over access to the Transvaal knitting industry’s council. However, the industrial court eventually overruled the objections.

It’s been contended that the Industrial Council system and its tough rules on strike action promotes labour peace. However, the more recent involvement of black unions in industrial councils has not necessarily minimised strike action among these workers who have a different set of pressures and grievances.

There is now increasing opinion that a dual system of bargaining be extended with sufficient flexibility to enable collective bargaining to develop at its own pace.

This system would be similar to the British one where unions have increased in strength and gained recognition at plant level over the past few decades.

Germany, Greece and Japan in turn have fairly centralised bargaining systems although Japan allows for decentralised talks after unions have made a united opening bid for minimum wages throughout the country.

Judgement is presently awaited on a key industrial court case, Mawu vs Hart Ltd, in which the union is requesting plant-level bargaining facilities to negotiate wages over and above industrial council minima.

The outcome could have a significant impact on employers in the metal and engineering industry and the next few years should prove decisive in the sphere of collective bargaining arrangements.

T.
To keep readers abreast of developments in the manpower and industrial relations field, Contractor publishes regular features on important subject matters in this field.

The editorial primarily focusses on manpower and industrial relations in the civil engineering industry and expands on information communicated via the weekly SAFCEC Bulletins. The SAFCEC Manager, Manpower Affairs, Jan Nel, compiles the articles and readers are welcome to contribute editorial for publication, which should be forwarded to the SAFCEC Manpower Affairs Division, head office, Johannesburg.

‘Homeland’ Labour Legislation

Contractors usually have a daunting task in establishing which, if any, labour legislation is applicable, especially with regard to the workforce when operating outside of the Republic of South Africa, ie when working in the so-called homelands and newly independent states. Questions that immediately come to mind, even at the tendering stage, are for instance, which minimum hourly rate of pay, if any, is applicable, and what the contractor’s obligations are in the areas of safety and health?

This article although it provides for some basic facts with regard to applicable labour legislation in the homelands, more importantly provides for the addresses (and if possible the name of a contact person) of the Departments of Manpower of such countries. Contractors are advised that minimum wages and conditions of employment regulated by a country’s labour legislation and if none is in existence by its Legislative Assembly) are continuously amended. Contractors should, therefore, of their own accord contact the authorities concerned directly, in order to establish the correct position. In addition the addresses of the Departments of Manpower in Botswana, Swaziland and Lesotho are also provided. Obviously the latter countries in this regard as they have been independent for many years and have never been part of the Republic.

It is not the intention here to dwell on the independence process and to take the reader on a sojourn of constitutional law. Therefore, this is not a technical article, neither does it pretend to be definitive of in the first instance, the position of labour legislation in the countries concerned and in the second instance, of the constitutional independence process. A future article may well deal with the latter aspects rather sets out to provide for a much needed reference point from which contractors themselves can set out to establish what the correct state of affairs are.

This is a fact that in lesser developed countries there are closer and more direct relationships between company policies and the State. Generally the role of trade unions is strictly limited in scope and controlled by direct legislation. It is also a fact that managerial prerogatives are clearer and much more protected by the State. Employees in the homelands and independent states therefore, find themselves without exceptions subject to less benevolent protective legislation and an extremely restrictive collective bargaining framework. The lesser and indeed underdeveloped economies of these countries has led their governments to attempt to attract investment by providing cheaper labour with maximum freedom to the employer and entrepreneur. In other words, employers are subjected to as little legislative obligations as possible.

A direct result of the aforementioned was, for instance, that minimum wage instruments have been suspended in the homelands since 1970. It follows that the Wage Order for the Civil Engineering Industry is not applicable in any of the 10 homelands concerned. The contractor himself must establish whether minimum legislation with regard to wages and conditions apply. In addition, the contractor is strongly advised to take cognisance of his ‘South African’ workforce in the event of transfers to contracts in the homelands and to ensure that for the sake of good relations, no major deviations in wages and conditions of employment occur.

Summary

The addresses of the Departments of Manpower of each homeland is summarised in this article. Contractors are urged to communicate directly with the Department of Manpower concerned when it is necessary to do so. In addition of course, this Federation may be approached for assistance.

Contractors are finally advised that this summary was valid at the time of going to print, and that amendments, unless otherwise advised, should be effected by contractors themselves.
Addresses of Departments of Manpower in Lesotho, Botswana, Swaziland, and independent states within South Africa

BOTSWANA
Commissioner of Labour
Private Bag 0072
GABORONE
Contact person
Gaborone — Mr Motshedisi
Johannesburg — Mr Lefoka
Tel Number (019231) 71-406, (011) 766 3303

LESOTHO
Department of Labour
PO Box 2121
MASERU
Contact person
Maseru — Mr Mafutha
Johannesburg — Mr Nxumalo
Tel Number (050) 32 5569, (011) 331-2531/2

SWAZILAND
Commissioner of Labour
PO Box 138
MBABANE
Contact person
Mbabane — Mr Pembe
Johannesburg — Mr Onstine
Tel Number (0194) 2-2866, (011) 664 7366

LEBOWA
Department of Manpower
PO Box 4002
SOSHENGO
Private Bag 0740
GUTFAN
Contact person
Mr Maphalele or his secretary
Tel Number (01527) 5255

GAZANKULU
Department of Manpower
Private Bag X074
GUTFAN
Private Bag 0906
Contact person
Chief S. J Tshimba or his secretary
Tel Number (01526) 3314 ext 111

GFQAQWA
Department of Manpower
Witsetshoek
Private Bag X214
WITSETSHOEK
Private Bag 9970
Contact person
Mr Motlou
Tel Number (01581/2) 179 or 175

KWAZULU
Department of Manpower
Private Bag XQ1
LUZONDI
Private Bag 3578
Umdoni
(033) 10356, 1

KINGHANE
Department of Manpower
Private Bag X1001
LOUW'S CREEK
1302
or
Kangwane Government Service
PO Box 12746
KATEKHONG
1532

KWANDEBELE
Department of Manpower
Private Bag X3922
PIETERSBURG
0700
(01521) 4731

TRANSKEI
Department of Manpower
Private Bag X5006
UNITA
Contact person
Mr Solakop
(Commerce, Industry & Tourism)
Labour Attaché Mr Dwele
(also at Consulate General
Republic of Transkei, Private Bag X8
TENBISHA 122)

BOPHUTHATSWANA
Department of Manpower
Private Bag X2040
MAFUNGHI
9970
Contact person
Mr Maleka
(01401) 29 2004
Mr Thomas Hall (Postman)
(postbuthatsswana Development Corp.)
(012) 43-4194
Labour Attaché Vacant
(012) 43-6001

VENDA
Department of Internal Affairs
Private Bag X2249
SIBASA
Contact person
Mr RMotso
(01981/2) 179
or 175

CISKEI
Department of Manpower Utilisation
Private Bag X314
ZEBULSHA
5006
Contact person
Mr Michau
(0433) 4721
Labour Attaché Mr Joseph J Maru
(042) 28-3154
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We've got to hand cheap, effective and easy
Made from virgin plastic
the KONSTRUKTAR-LOC
as the motor car was to
Bright, hygienic and transport the KONSTRA
- Construct
- Work gang
- Farm labour
- The week-end

P.O. Box 38664,
Boskruin 2016
Telephone (011) 680-555.
many benefits derive from using local contractors. To this end a report has been commissioned which will highlight in detail these benefits, as compared with the disadvantages of employing externally based contractors for example, the loss of import tax duty on plant, company tax and income tax on individuals, to mention a few.

In discussions with the Minister of Labour he has indicated his support for local companies who employ Zimbabweans and therefore train Zimbabweans and for local preference of 20% applicable to World Bank and other aid projects. However, under existing World Bank conditions local preference is not allowed within Zimbabwe because the country is considered rich in the sense of resources compared with some countries to the north. In the case of World Bank funded projects in Kenya, for example, a preference percentage is allowed to local contractors.

The local Industry has also been requested by aid agents to submit details of its capability on an annual basis for both rural roads and the establishment of large irrigation projects and Garnett stated that he was optimistic that work would become available once details are finalised with the Zimbabwean government.

On labour matters, the retiring president said that much progress along the way towards the establishment of an Industrial Council for the civil engineering industry had been made in the past year with the proposed updating of the industrial agreement and the introduction of a disciplinary code.

In his address Garnett paid tribute to the late Pieter Swart, FCEC’s chief executive, and he said that in a relatively short time that Swart had been with the Federation, he had contributed greatly to its affairs, particularly in the labour field, where he went out of his way to assist members with their numerous problems.

He announced that Stanley Fynes-Clinton (ex Cementon) had been appointed the new chief executive of CIFOZ with effect from August 1, 1985.

At March 31, 1985, membership for full members stood at 51, with four new members and three resignations during the year. In the case of associate members, with one resignation, membership was 25.

---

**New minimum wages**

New minimum wages, effective from July 1, 1985, were gazetted in Harare at the end of June giving a minimum wage of ZS 143.75 a month to commercial, mining and industrial workers and at least Z$75 a month to farm and domestic workers.

Also gazetted were the Emergency Powers (Control of Income Increases) Regulations 1985 which allow maximum increases of 19% for those earning less than Z$300 and nothing for those earning more than Z$3,000 a month.

---

**DIAMOND CORE BORING**

**CONCRETE & METAL CUTTING**

Using the Thermal Lance Technique to avoid Noise, Vibration and Concussion.

CSIR TESTED

**THermo-Bore (Pty) Ltd.**

12 BUNDO ROAD SEBENZA, E DENVALE P.O. BOX 618 E DENVALE, 1610

609-5253

---

**GEARED TO SOLVE YOUR PLANT HIRE PROBLEMS!**

FOR GRADERS, TRAXCATORS, LOADERS, PADFOOTS, SMOOTH DRUMS AND WATER CARTS

**MCC PLANT HIRE**

**JOHANNESBURG (011) 786-1126**
**TRICHRADT (01363) 42255**

---

The Civil Engineering Contractor August 1985

Page 39
New labour laws

Industrialists may have hidden behind the myriad of conflicting labour law in KwaZulu for the last time. The KwaZulu government has accepted SA’s labour statutes en masse and is also drawing up a code of conduct to govern employment practices in the homeland.

The move, which is clearly designed to bring KwaZulu labour legislation into line with SA’s and end abuses under the previous legislation, is likely to be welcomed by trade unions. More enlightened employers, too, will no doubt also see some merit.

While the KwaZulu government has never been averse to trade union activity, its previous labour law made heavy going for trade unions. It had adopted some SA statutes in full and others with amendments. But because of a presidential proclamation in 1970, repealing the Industrial Conciliation Act in the homelands, there was doubt as to whether some aspects of KwaZulu’s labour law had any legality at all. Certainly, as far as can be ascertained, the Basic Conditions of Employment Act and the Labour Relations Act did not apply in KwaZulu.

Financial Mail August 9 1985

This provided a convenient loophole for some employers. It meant that they did not have to recognize and negotiate with representative trade unions, abide by wage determinations, or face the possibility of disputes being referred to the Industrial Court.

Predictably, there were abuses. Latterly the problems were exacerbated by the increasing industrialization of decentralized growthpoints like Ezikhetheni and Madadeni near Ladysmith and Newcastle.

KwaZulu's Chief Minister, Mangosuthu Buthelezi, confirms that the code of practice and the changes to KwaZulu’s labour law are designed to put an end to persistent “allegations of exploitation”.

It is expected that it will broadly follow the principles of established codes such as the Sullivan and EEC codes, without being too onerous on industrialists. Having benefited from recent rapid industrialisation, KwaZulu is unlikely to do anything that will prejudice future opportunities for growth.

Chief Buthelezi stresses the code is “not a device to corner anyone.” He says it will contain “no more than our own minimal expectations from industrialists established in KwaZulu in conjunction with the KwaZulu Finance Corporation (KFC).” KFC officials are still working on the details and the code is not expected to become effective until some time next year.

☐ One of the most bitter KwaZulu labour disputes may be resolved soon. For several months the Metal and Allied Workers' Union (Mawu) has been in dispute with US company Tidwell Housing over wages, working conditions, union recognition and the alleged victimisation and unfair dismissal of some of its members.

Expectations of a settlement have been raised following the news that Tidwell has been acquired by local company C I Industries, a subsidiary of Murray and Roberts.

Tidwell, located at the new industrial area of Pieters in KwaZulu (PM May 3), was accused of blatant exploitation and abusing workers' lack of legal protection. At one stage Tidwell was paying its employees R23/week compared to the average R78/week that workers in similar industries were receiving in nearby Ladysmith. It also refused to recognize Mawu which had majority membership at the plant.

C I Industries has already had dealings with Mawu, and financial director Bob Hoole says the matters which have been in dispute at Tidwell are generally "dealt with at plant-level in our organisation and we expect this policy to continue." Mawu has welcomed the change of ownership, claiming it was the result of a year-long campaign for Tidwell "to recognize the union or get out of SA."
Miners seeking unfettered right to strike

The National Union of Mineworkers has switched its wage battle to the Industrial Court and is challenging large-scale dismissals — the strongest weapon employers have in fighting strikes.

The union's change of tactics this week averted a nationwide stoppage that could have involved 200,000 members.

The union had threatened to respond to dismissals with widespread strikes. Whatever the Industrial Court's ruling, it will set a precedent. A favourable outcome for the miners would remove employers' right to hire strikers. A verdict in favour of employers would open the way for wildcat strikes without workers following procedure laid down in the Labour Relations Act.

Inadequate

The legal battle has prompted labour analysts and academics to call for changes in the labour law. They say the law is inadequate.

The union says it will go ahead with a strike after the court's verdict, which could be handed down in months or weeks.

The union says "The strike have not been called off — they have merely been suspended."

NUM general secretary Cyril Ramaphosa said yesterday "If the court rules in our favour, we will be protected and can bring the employers to their knees. But if we don't win in court, we will fight on."

Unfair

The Industrial Court will have to determine whether the dismissals amount to an unfair labour practice or not.

The closest it has come to ruling on the issue was in the Council of Mining Unions-Chamber of Mines case last year. It upheld a claim by the chamber that employers had a right to dismiss workers taking part in any strike — legal or illegal.

However, the court said that there might be circumstances in which dismissal of a legal striker could be an unfair labour practice. One of the considerations could be the consequences and results of the strike.

The presence or absence of bargaining in good faith during a strike and the behaviour of employees could also influence the decision in the present dispute, the court said.

Protection

"The law governing strikes is inadequate and must be changed so workers have some recourse to legal action in the event of dismissals," unions and employers should negotiate a contractual agreement that overrides strike laws to protect workers striking legally.

"But with thousands of workers still on strike, protection is needed." To Page 3

Strike battle in court

\* From Page 1

The strike situation that has been described by labour consultant Brian Allen as "the legitimacy of strikes is a moot point." Strikes should be decriminalized and employers should institute agreements that protect workers.

At Gencor's Mariemarle mine on the East Rand lost their jobs after this week's three-day strike.

Dismissed Mariemarle workers were granted a Rand Suprem Court injunction to stop their eviction from mine hostel. But the union accused Gencor of disregarding the injunction, which ordered that workers could not be evicted without a final court order.

The Supreme Court postponed the hearing until Tuesday.

Eleven, coal and gold mines were affected by the strike. The union says seven Gencor mines were affected to some degree. It has, however, declined disputes at only three Gencor mines.

More than 18,000 miners at Anglovaal's Hartebeestfontein mine near Klerksdorp continued working in spite of the strike vote.

Gold Fields says that attendance averaged 15% on Monday and Tuesday. It is processing 3,000 dismissals
Strike sackings for hot debate

AN URGENT call for the introduction of laws to protect employees on a legal strike will be debated at the annual conference of the Trade Union Council of South Africa (Tucsa) next week.

The motion, submitted by Tucsa’s National Executive Committee, is expected to gain strong support at a time when many unions are intensifying their demand for laws to restrain employers from dismissing legal strikers.

The conference will be opened in Johannesburg on Monday by Nic Wiehahn, director of Unusa’s School of Business Leadership, and key speakers include Urban Foundation MD Robin Lee and Bok Marais, vice-president of the Human Sciences Research Council (HSRC).

The grave unemployment situation is mirrored by the Sweet Workers Union’s call for local authorities to stop evicting families when a breadwinner is unemployed and to allow payment of arrears when they are re-employed.

There is also a proposed call for government funds to support the unemployed because the Unemployment Insurance Fund was never intended to meet the present crisis.

Tucsa is dissatisfied with a new government scheme granting cash handouts to white families affected by retrenchments. It sees this as “blatant discrimination” and wants a nationally co-ordinated scheme.

Further proposals emphasise Tucsa’s opposition to banning, detention without trial, removals and influx control, its protest against police action and a call for black freehold rights.

The National Union of Clothing Workers has re-iterated demands for housing authorities to ensure the planning and construction of sub-economic housing prevents degeneration into slums.

Hot debate may follow a proposal that the Minister of Manpower re-examine the registration process.

Tucsa has supported the registration system over the years, but many of its affiliates now feel this is a dead issue.
Precedent-setting court ruling on mine strike likely

By PHILIP VAN NIEKERK

The Industrial Court case in which the National Union of Mineworkers (NUM) is challenging the right of employers to fire workers on a legal strike could be the most important aspect to emerge from this year's wage dispute between the NUM and the Chamber of Mines.

If the court does rule that after following the exhaustive legal channels — as the NUM has done in this year's wage talks with the Chamber — it is an unfair labour practice to fire workers en masse, an important precedent will have been set.

The union is arguing that if it does not accept this argument, the court will have removed the major incentive for legal strike action and will be giving unions carte blanche to go on wildcat strikes.

This year's wage negotiation shows a responsible union which was prepared to go patiently through the whole process of negotiation before opting for strike action.

On the other hand, if the court does accept the NUM's case, it will remove the strongest weapon in the hands of the mining houses — the right to fire thousands of workers.

Even before the start of this year's strike, all three mining houses faced industrial action issued their employees with pamphlets warning them that to strike would mean instant dismissal.

This very real threat plus the heavy police presence are given by the union as the main reason why the strike failed on the bigger mines.

Some 23,000 workers on Gencor, Gold Fields and Anglovaal mines struck in support of higher wages — less than half the number the NUM said they would pull out.

In all, some 11 gold mines and collieries were hit by action, but the biggest mines — Gold Fields' Kloof and West and East Driefontein and Anglovaal's Hartbeesfontein — did not come out in force.

And after three days, the strike was collapsing in the face of mass dismissal threats before the union suspended it pending the Industrial Court case.

In some instances, the union, workers were forced underground at gunpoint.

Cyril Ramaphosa, general secretary of the NUM, believes that workers were intimidated into not striking, while management makes the same claims from the other end.

According to Ramaphosa, the "despicable behaviour" of mine management demands that the union seriously examine a change of strategy.

"We warned three weeks in advance that we were striking so that we could mobilise our members. It turns out that management was also mobilising.

"Some of our most effective strikes were taken at short notice when management was not prepared."

However, the actual reason for the strike's relative failure could be a combination of factors, including the particular circumstances at the various mines.

At East Driefontein and Hartbeesfontein, for instance, the union was largely gutted after the strike earlier this year in which the top leadership was fired.

Mass dismissals, now looming at Manevale and Deelkraal as well, often mean a weakening of the union's strength, though NUM sources say the union has recovered at Vaal Reefs South, where 14,000 workers were dismissed in April, many to be rehired.

Either way, the union played its weakest card by bringing out Gold Fields, Gencor and Anglovaal mines while 86 percent of its membership are at Anglo American — though Ramaphosa says the NUM had no choice.

The split offer meant the union could not reject the Anglo American-Rand Mines package while at the same time it could not accept the offer of the other three.
Labour lawyer Cheadle predicts major test cases on vital issues

OUTSTANDING issues which needed testing in South African labour relations included the dismissal of legal strikers, negotiation practices and levels of bargaining, according to labour lawyer Halton Cheadle.

Speaking at a labour conference yesterday, Cheadle said major test cases would be witnessed on whether or not employers could continue dismissing workers on a legal strike. The outcome of some of these cases would be known shortly, while the question of sympathy strikes would also be put to the legal test.

He said the development of a more unitary legal system of labour law was also required because the SA Labour Relations Act did not apply in the homelands.

The Industrial Court would also have to deal with executive dismissal where different rules were necessary to set standards for the re-instate-practice and the recognition of unions, Cheadle said.

CLAIRE PICKARD-CAMBRIDGE

Another disputed question was whether the Industrial Court should compel management to recognise representative unions. Though most employers had finally agreed to recognising unions and having plant-level negotiations, some still refused and this was one of the issues in the key Industrial Court case between the Metal and Allied Workers' Union (Mawu) and Hart Ltd.

The next major issue which had to be tested was on levels of bargaining, also being examined in the Mawu vs. Hart case. Cheadle said he believed bargaining both at plant level, to establish actual wage levels, and at industrial council level, to set minimum standards, could be compatible.

Greater legal clarity also had to be established on practices of negotiation because there had been an increasing case by employers of the introduction of unilateral increases, despite the fact they had a recognised union.

He believed this was an unfair practice.

Other speakers included Prof Lawrence Schlemmer, director of the Centre for Applied Social Sciences at the University of Natal, who called for employers to become more sensitive to the extreme stresses faced by workers in the townships.

Employers should start making specific representations to government about improving conditions for employees outside the workplace. A vital issue to be lobbied for was to persuade government to buy more land for black housing; the shortage was a critically depressing factor on an employee's circumstances.
whether they were acting within their rights in dismissing more than 1 000 strikers earlier this month. And at its annual conference last week, the Trade Union Council of SA called on government to introduce legislation limiting the right of employers to discharge lawful strikers.

Labour lawyer Halton Cheadle introduced some new thoughts on the subject at a labour conference last week organised by industrial relations consultants Andrew Levy and Associates. He compared the laws and practices related to the dismissal of strikers as they apply in various parts of the Western world.

In SA the Labour Relations Act protects registered trade unions embarking on legal strikes from two types of action:
- Employers may not sue for losses incurred due to strike action, and
- The courts will not grant interdicts prohibiting such a strike.

As far as the FM can ascertain, no unions have faced civil claims from companies in SA, although there have been some applications for interdicts prohibiting unlawful

strike action. There were two such cases last year involving Gold Fields of SA and the NUM, while the other was between Dunlop and the Metal and Allied Workers Union.

But there is no protection from dismissal for lawful strikers. In arguing that there should be, Cheadle described the situation in other parts of the world. Striking is normally not a criminal offence in Western democracies, although the Thatcher government has outlawed certain types of sympathy action.

In most of Western Europe, strikers may not be dismissed. In the UK, the law forbids the selective dismissal and rehiring of strikers. Some unions in SA have built similar provisions into their recognition agreements with employers.

In the US, a complex system applies. According to rulings made by the National Labour Relations Board, the US equivalent of the Industrial Court, strikes are divided into three categories — unprotected, protected and relatively protected.

Strikes are "unprotected," and employees are liable to dismissal, when they or their

LEGAL STRIKES

Protection in law

The question of whether lawful strikers should be afforded some kind of legal protection from dismissal is becoming a burning issue in SA.

The National Union of Mineworkers (NUM) is to challenge — through the Industrial Court — three mining houses on
In camera decision means public can be kept in the dark

Fears expressed by labour relations consultants and labour lawyers about the public's right to know about Industrial Court cases and its decisions appear to have been justified.

In a ruling handed down by the president of the Industrial Court, Dr Daan Ehlers, the right of one or both parties to a dispute to demand secrecy has been entrenched in the interests of conciliation.

While observers concede that there may be a need at times for the court to withhold secret sensitive financial and other information pertaining to employers or unions, they have expressed concern that, in future, on the grounds of embarrassment — or for ulterior motives — the court agreed to such requests publicly.

Dr Ehlers explained why he had ruled that the case involving Miss Mina Tack and the SABC be held behind closed doors.

While Miss Tack's legal team placed on record that it believed it was better for the public to know and see what happened in court, rather than be excluded, the SABC, without supplying reasons, asked that the case be heard in camera — and the court agreed.

PRIVACY

Quoting several sections of the Labour Relations Act, Dr Ehlers said the provisions in the Act tended towards the "maintenance of privacy in proceedings before the court, especially where a party so requests." He pointed out that the Act also allows the president of the court to adjourn the proceedings for the purposes of privacy to which he deemed to be unobjectionable with the consent of the parties.

Dr Ehlers believed there were indications that secrecy in court was justified, and the court held to its view after the arguments of the parties in their entirety were considered.

PUBLICITY

The full name of the Labour Relations Act indicated that the law was intended to prevent and settle disputes between employers and employees "which could probably hardly be achieved if the particulars of such disputes are being published," he said.

The Act's predecessor was called the "Industrial Conciliation Act," which implied that its main object was to conciliation.

Dr Ehlers said meetings of industrial councils were conducted in private, unless the council so desired.

Conciliation boards also met in camera.

Referring to the statute of the court, Dr Ehlers said that when hearing applications for temporary relief, such as the one involving Miss Tack, the court "could be deemed not to be regarded as a court of law." He added: "The court could be regarded rather as an administrative body not performing the duties of a court.

"Therefore, it follows that it is at least questionable whether admission to the proceedings of this court could be equated to that of a court of law," he said.

In the Tack case, he said that he had not been convinced by the "possible conciliatory efforts which might be attempted, should the court be instrumental to the disclosure of the particulars of the dispute against the wishes of one of the parties."
Employers and workers, it's illegal to work unsafely

FROM now on it is illegal to work unsafely.

The new Machinery and Occupational Safety Act (Mosact), effective from last Saturday, places responsibility on almost all employers in SA to provide employees with a safe working environment.

Fines of up to R2 000, or 12 months' imprisonment, can be imposed on employers — or employees, under certain sections — not complying with the Act.

The National Occupational Safety Association (Nosa) this month published a booklet setting out guidelines for managers in interpreting the new Act.

Every company which employs more than 20 workers shall, in terms of the Act, appoint a safety representative. Factories and industrial concerns have to appoint a safety representative for every 50 employees.

Shops and offices are required to appoint an additional representative for every 100 employees.

Mosact will operate in place of the Factories, Machinery and Building Work Act of 1941.

The old Act provided only for protection of employees in factories and buildings. The new Act covers all people in commerce and industry. Exclusions are workers who fall under the Mines and Works Act, and the Explosives Act.

Employers of domestic servants and farmers do not have to appoint safety representatives, but are compelled to supply safe equipment and train workers to carry out their tasks.

According to the Act, machinery or safety equipment offered for sale must comply with prescribed standards.
DEREGULATION

The Zebra option

While much is said and written about deregulation, very little has been done — except in the Ciskei, where the government has done away with virtually all restrictive legislation.

Another exception is Natal-KwaZulu, where a new development concept — Zero Based Regulation Areas (Zebra) — is being investigated to speed along deregulation.

Zebra is designed to create areas where a minimum of regulations apply. It is the result of ongoing discussions between the Natal Provincial Administration's Town and Regional Planning Division (TRPD) and the KwaZulu government.

Representatives of the two initiating institutions, as well as other public and private sector bodies, last week held a top-level meeting in Maritzburg to take the initial concept, first devised last November, a step further.

Describing the meeting as "very successful," a TRPD spokesman told the AM the joint Natal-KwaZulu work group was briefed to "go ahead as soon as possible with the implementation of the first Zebra area".

The work group will hold a follow-up meeting on October 14.

Representatives from the Trade Unions Council of SA, the Small Business Development Corporation, the Free Market Foundation, the KwaZulu Department of Economic Affairs, the regional development council of region E, the Urban Foundation and the Natal chambers of commerce and industry attended the meeting.

Local authorities were notably absent, although they were invited, said the spokesman.

"SA in general, and Natal-KwaZulu in particular, are burdened with a huge and growing unemployment problem," he noted.

"The great need is to create more job opportunities, and one of the ways to achieve this is to cut meaningless and unproductive red tape.

"Deregulation would provide easier and cheaper access to the productive system in the economy. As a result, we shall help the larger productive processes, while also helping smaller businesses."

The State President has asked Louw and the Economic Advisory Committee to look into how such a system might work, "so that government and enterprise are facilitated by areas of deregulation and other appropriate methods to operate more efficiently within the 1990 vision.

The Zebra concept was derived from the zero-based budgeting system, which operates on the same principle.
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Call to amend Labour Act after strike judgment

Labour Reporter

If workers on a legal strike were to be dismissed it would make a mockery of the provisions in the Labour Relations Act, a Durban labour lawyer, Mr Richard Lyster, said yesterday.

He was commenting on a Rand Supreme Court judgment of Mr Justice E. Stafford that to dismiss workers after they had taken part in a legal strike was not victimisation as suggested by the Act.

Mr Lyster, who is based at the Legal Resources Centre, said it would be pointless for workers to follow the complicated procedures laid down in the Act before going on strike if there were insufficient safeguards to prevent workers from dismissal.

The Act should be amended, he said, adding that it was clear that Mr Justice Stafford's judgment was a strict interpretation of the law "I am not critical of the judgment.'

He said the Act went as far as drawing a distinction between a lawful striker and an unlawful striker. While a lawful striker cannot be criminally prosecuted, he could be dismissed the same way as an unlawful striker.

Mr Justice Stafford handed down judgment after an urgent application was brought by Marievale Consolidated Mines (Pty) Ltd against 22 of its workers.

The judge said it was not relevant that the strike in which workers took part was not prohibited by the Labour Relations Act.

He found that the Act did not amend the Common Law "Workers are not given the right to strike without being punished. There is nothing in the law that indicates that a worker can insist that his employers fulfill their contractual duties.'

Victimise

If the law intended to protect workers who took part in organised strikes, then it should have been specifically stated.

Mr Justice Stafford found, according to evidence before the Court, that the company did not act unfairly or victimise the workers.

It had proved that the workers had intentionally contravened their contractual duties which justified their dismissal.

There was no lawful reason for their absence from work, Judge Stafford found.
Tough new asbestos laws

GOVERNMENT has stepped into the asbestos debate with a set of draft regulations designed to curb asbestos-related diseases.

Controversy has raged for years over the health hazards posed by asbestos. Campaigns to ban it have been waged in SA and overseas while statistics on deaths attributable to the mineral are hotly disputed by producers. Medical research continues but the dangers of overexposure to asbestos fibres are indisputable.

Draft regulations apply only to the industrial use and not the mining of asbestos. They have been warmly welcomed by the industry, which says the controls are tighter than those overseas except in Britain.

They provide for a maximum exposure limit to asbestos fibres and detail requirements for the handling, disposal and processing of asbestos as well as the education and training of employees.

The draft regulations have been welcomed by the Fibre Cement Manufacturers' Association which represents all three SA asbestos manufacturers.

Chairman M C Pretorius said his members ‘have been operating under similar self-imposed controls for many years and the monitoring procedures suggested by the regulations will give our efforts added credibility’.

The regulations allow for a maximum exposure limit of one asbestos fibre per millilitre of air (1f/ml). No differentiation has been made between the various types of asbestos. Experts disagree whether differentiation is necessary, but the bulk of opinion suggests white asbestos (chrysotile) is less dangerous than brown (amosite) and blue (crocidolite).

The EEC has announced new regulations effective from January 1987 of 0.5f/ml for blue asbestos and 1f/ml for all others. Britain’s limits are 0.2f/ml on brown and blue and 0.5f/ml on white.
Industrial council deregulation ‘could create problems’

One of the country’s most powerful industrial councils, that of the metal industry, stands to be most affected by current suggestions from the Department of Manpower to change the nature of industrial council agreements.

The SA Boilermakers’ Society (SABS), a party to the council and a member of the International Metalworkers’ Federation, is, with other unions, preparing its reply to the government.

Mr. J. J. van der Watt, president of the SABS, believes many of the suggestions need more thought before changes to existing legislation can be contemplated.

His union’s main objection concerns proposals to change the criteria for representation of employers on the industrial council (IC).

At present, representation on the council is determined by the number of workers an employer employs and this means large employers have virtual control.

Once agreement is reached on a minimum wage, the agreement is signed and the Minister of Manpower can extend the agreement to smaller employers who have to implement approved wage rates. Small employers complain they cannot bear the financial burden, many go out of business and hundreds of jobs are lost.

But are the current suggestions a solution to the problem? It is being suggested that representation in the IC should be determined by the number of employers who belong to the council. This would mean small employers would have an equal say in the council.

However, the majority of small employers do not belong to the council and Mr. van der Watt and others fear the IC’s representativeness would decline with serious consequences if the new criteria were adopted.

And he is not convinced the suggestions would ensure sufficient protection for workers even if more jobs were created in the short term.

“The fact that the department has focused its attention on the representativeness of the IC as being small businesses. The negotiating forum needs to be changed so that a true minimum rate can be negotiated and the way should be left open for increases above the minimum for employers who can afford to pay more.”

In the metal industry he believed the solution was within reach of parties to the IC and did not warrant direct government intervention or changes to the law.

“The negotiating structure of industrial councils is not prescribed by the Labour Relations Act and it is a question of employers and trade unions getting together to change the structure by mutual agreement.”

He also believed the metal industry, which had 23 unions and 48 employers’ associations within its industrial council, needed to diversify and further differentiate among its members.

“The unions and employers negotiate a national agreement which covers diverse industries ranging from battleship builders to those which manufacture lapel badges. Covering such a wide spectrum of industries with one minimum wage agreement is not feasible.”

Up until now the IC has been resistant to change in the negotiating structure but the suggestions in the memorandum may serve as a catalyst for change because they constitute, in the view of Mr. van der Watt, a tremendous threat to employers.

“There is an imbalance of power in the council right now. Employers are far too powerful and unions have been fighting for a balance of power for some time. The suggestions in the memo will, however, greatly reduce the power and real representativeness of the council as far as employers are concerned.”

As far as the prospects of job creation were concerned Mr. van der Watt believed the suggestions were short-sighted.

“By deregulating industrial councils, jobs are created in the short term but such deregulation would create serious problems, including exploitation of workers.”

The Department of Manpower has invited trade unions and employer organisations to comment by tomorrow on a memorandum containing suggested changes to industrial councils aimed at deregulation for the benefit of small employers, job protection and job creation. The memo has sparked lively debate. SHERTY RAYNE reports.
**Opening doors**

The President’s Council’s (PC) economic affairs committee has called for the scrapping of all but the minimum regulations for entry into business. If accepted and implemented by government, the report could make it easier for entrepreneurs of all races to get into business.

Standards and requirements currently demanded before a business can begin operation will be eased considerably and opportunities in the informal business sector would be broadened.

In a wide-ranging report tabled at the PC’s plenary session in Cape Town this week, the committee called for immediate steps to deregulate business. It recommended that "laissez-faire" measures, including entry qualifications in respect of economic activities, should be limited to a statement of minimum standards only, and that government should emphasize control of business activity after the entrepreneur has entered into the activity, rather than emphasize his meeting certain requirements before being allowed to enter into that activity.

The committee also called for the establishment and continued operation of some small businesses which are not being established or are folding because of complex regulations and unattractive standards.

The committee found that the transition from the informal to the formal sector was severely hampered by regulations resulting from "unnecessarily high standards" and from government intervention in the economy and in business decision-making.

"A move to a less burdensome legislative system that would be sufficiently simple and inexpensive to permit parts of the informal sector to be integrated gradually into the modern formal sector would make a valuable contribution to orderly economic development," the committee reported.

The committee, chaired by Francois Jacobz, had been asked by President PW Botha to determine a strategy for small business development and for deregulation. Apart from recommending the easing of strict regulations and standards, the committee called for the scrapping of apartheid in business (see Current Affairs).

The committee emphasized the important role that both the small and informal business sectors can and should play in economic activity. It concluded that the relatively small contribution by small businesses to job creation was due to over-regulation of entry and operation.

The committee suggested two stages for deregulation: first, the repeal or amendment of legislation and the creation of a statutory framework to ensure continuing deregulation, and, secondly, the implementation of the statutory framework. It proposed the establishment of a statutory Council for Small Business (CSB) with executive powers, to replace the Council for the Promotion of Small Business.

While the CSB could initiate deregulation, it was suggested that the Competition Board, with expanded powers, would implement deregulation of both small and bigger businesses.

The committee listed restrictive measures which it suggested could be reviewed immediately under the umbrella of the National Manpower Commission. These include licensing laws, which should be streamlined and their strict requirements eased; the Machinery and Occupational Safety Act, which lays down standards often inappropriate to small industry; and the Basic Conditions of Employment Act.

The committee also recommended that the President's powers be extended to allow him to suspend legislation affecting small business or reinstate measures at his discretion or implement new measures as needed with the proviso that his action be ratified by Parliament in the next session.

The committee also urged government to:
- Allow the Small Business Development Corporation (SBDC) to issue Small Business Development Bonds, which would qualify for inclusion in the prescribed investment portfolio of financial institutions.
- Replace the SBDC’s Bank Indemnity Scheme with the Small Business Credit Guarantee Fund with capital from public and private sector contributions.
- Ratify the Small Business Development Act, which would create a Business Development Agency.

It suggested that the proposed Council for Small Business should have executive powers. Its functions would include advice to government on small business policy, coordination of the implementation of the policy, allocation of funds voted for small business development and the evaluation of existing and proposed legislation as far as it affected small business.

**UNION CARBIDE**

**Dropping metals**

US interests in two mining and processing operations in SA are set to fall into local hands. Word is that Ucat’s vanadium mine at Brits and its Tubatse ferro-chrome plant at Skaap River Valley are on the market.

Both companies are currently owned by Ucat. The metals and minerals arm of US chemical heavyweight Union Carbide (UC) has wanted out of the metal businesses for at least two years, but has been unwilling to break up Ucat’s assets.

Ucat is said to be in discussions with several companies, including Ucatumelo and UC Hitachi, to take over the assets.

Ucatumelo, which is 51% owned by Ucat, is interested in the Tubatse ferro-chrome plant, giving it total control.

It would then probably sell Tubatse to British Steel or to a South African company.
LABOUR LEGISLATION

1986
Legislation on domestics not on the cards

WORKERS' DIARY — By JOSHUA RABOROKO

A new trade union, the National Union of Forestry and Allied Workers which has won support in the Eastern Transvaal, has joined the newly formed Tucsa. The most authoritative and complete national trade union directory is now available. The 1985-86 edition of Tucsa’s union directory is being sent to all affiliated unions. Interested parties may contact Tucsa at (011) 838-3824.

Hard Labour — a pictorial survey of labour relations in SA since 1979, by Gavin Brown, has been published. The book concentrates exclusively on the activities of workers and does not cover the activities of employers. It is published by IR Date Publication of Box 52711, Saxonwold, 2132.

A spokesman of the Orange-Vaal Development Board indicated the existence of a mine in the area. The Act of contributions in respect of Black Labour (Act 29 of 1972) has been abolished. The process of bringing to justice those responsible for the murder of two white policemen in Bekkersdal township is underway.

The Black Domestic Workers Association is going ahead with plans to take over 100 employers to court following their unfair dismissal of domestics. The first of these will be prosecuted next week.

The South African Allied Workers Union (Sawawa) has applied for a conciliation board to resolve their dispute with Metal Box, Rosslyn plant, near Pretoria.

The union is fighting the re-employment of 25 workers on a ban on the employment of three whites at the plant. It contends that management was acting in a discriminatory fashion by re-employing blacks and hiring whites.

The dispute resulted in a strike by about 500 workers who were later dismissed. Workers have since launched a boycott of the company’s products in an attempt to have their colleagues reinstated. However, the company has sorted out an urgent Supreme Court action restraining the workers from continuing the boycott.

The Azanian Confederation of Trade Unions (Azactu) and the Council of Unions of South Africa (Cosatu) held an urgent meeting in Johannesburg this week to discuss ways and means of working together.

The talks have been going on for a long time and the two unions have pulled out of the troika talks.
Seminar on labour law

The Institute for Industrial Relations will start the year with a one-day seminar to bring managers up to date on labour law.

Two leading labour lawyers will address the seminar at the Protea Gardens Hotel, Berea, Johannesburg, on February 20.

Subjects to be discussed include limits on protection against dismissal for lawfully striking workers, the legality of primary and secondary strikes, provoked or unfair labour practice strikes, the lock-out remedy, legal strikes and evictions, the relationship between Supreme Court and industrial court decisions, and new provisions in recognition agreements.

Next on the institute's diary on March 6 is a seminar on quality of work life programmes and their feasibility in South Africa.

Pioneered by the United Automobile Workers' Union and General Motors in the United States, quality of work life programmes have become an established component of labour management relations there.

Inquiries to Mrs Mabel Miaba (339-3751)
Farm workers 'defenceless'

Political Reporter

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES — Seven million South African farm workers had no legal protection against unfair labour practices, exploitation and victimization, the House of Representatives was told yesterday.

A private member's motion tabled by Mr Edward Poole (IP Belhar) proposed that a parliamentary select committee be appointed to form a joint committee in a bid to ensure that farm workers were governed by existing labour laws.

Strike

He said farmers were the most protected entrepreneurs in the country but their workers were 'defenceless' against exploitation.

Mr. Poole said existing labour laws did not protect farm workers against victimization nor were they allowed to participate in a legal strike.

Although there was no prohibition against farm labourers from forming trade unions the necessary components for union activities in terms of law were absent specifically because of victimization.

Farm workers daily faced the harsh consequences of unfair labour practices against which they had no legal redress.

Mr. Poole proposed amendments to the Wage Act, Labour Relations Act, Unemployment Insurance Act and the Basic Conditions of Employment Act so that it included farm workers.

The absence of legislation that protected farm workers resulted in 'legalized exploitation', Mr. Poole said.

Conventions

He stated that the International Labour Organization (ILO), from which South Africa had been suspended, prescribed conventions providing certain special guarantees for the protection of farm workers.

Several IP and opposition MPs directed an urgent plea to the government to make the necessary amendments.

Mr. Yusuf Rhoda, Democratic Workers' Party (DWP), said that there were hundreds of cases of farm workers who after years of service were ordered by farmers to leave the farms with no pension or unemployment benefit when they could no longer work because of age.

Replying to the motion, Mr Pietie du Plessis, Minister of Manpower, was repeatedly booed, heckled and jeered as Labour Party MPs accused him of not answering their questions and evading the issue.

Contract

Mr. Du Plessis said farm labourers could enter into a contract with farmers under existing common-law provisions.

He referred to a government White Paper which acknowledged that certain agricultural unions had to be involved with regard to farm labour legislation.

Mr. Du Plessis said the government recognized that a large number of factors were involved in the formulation of a system regulating farm labourers and that very few countries had one.

The motion was carried by a majority vote.
President to be given wider powers

Political Staff

THE State President, Mr P W Botha, is to be given wider powers enabling him to bypass Parliament to suspend laws and cut through red tape impacting on business and impeding economic development in South Africa.

In terms of the Temporary Removal of Restrictions on Economic Activities Bill tabled in the House of Assembly on Thursday, Mr Botha will, by proclamation, be able to suspend any legislation which in his opinion impedes economic progress or competition in commerce.

These extraordinary powers are to be granted for three years, but may be extended by an Act of Parliament if the need exists.

The primary purpose of the new draft legislation is to facilitate and speed up the participation of the small entrepreneur and the informal sector in the economy.

Areas over which Mr Botha may exercise this executive power include:

- Requirements for the registration and licensing of businesses, undertakings, industries, trades and occupations, and the employment and use of land and premises.

- Registration of employees.

- Payment of contributions to the Unemployment Insurance Fund and the Workmen's Compensation Fund.

- Registration of and control over factories.

- Regulations of conditions of service and working hours and the days on which and times when business may be done.

- Supervision of and use of machines.

- Protection of the health and safety of employees.

- Health requirements for premises and buildings where activities are carried out.

- The prohibition, or regulation of, or restriction on, the erection of dwellings, buildings and other structures.

- The conveyance of persons and goods within and from and to a specific area.

- The establishment of towns and town planning.
Labour laws successful

SOUTH Africa’s laws on unfair labour practices are proving to be among the most successful in the world and hold great promise for Labour relations in the future.

Mr. Tony Cadman, a regional manager of management consultants, FSA, Natal, said while this was the situation, medium and small firms tended to be ignorant of the laws and were running the risk of being regularly taken to the Industrial Court (IC).

He said as black trade unions grew stronger, more ended up in court.

He added that many small management did not realise they could not simply dismiss an employee without valid reasons. It was surprising to find that small employers were still “discussing workers for invalid reasons”, for example dismissing a worker after a personality clash.

Political

In contrast, the larger firms have made a careful study of the laws and tended to apply them impartially. Medium and small firms were not so careful in their application of the laws.

He said black trade unions were rapidly becoming aware of political issues. This was worrying businessmen.

Mr. Cadman said South Africa still experienced relatively short strikes (the average being about two days), and many large firms have obtained co-operation in their retrenchment of thousands of employees in Natal.

Retrench

This was so because most of the big companies had tried to stick to the law. Most of them negotiated the retrenchments with the respective unions.

He said one positive aspect of this was the fact that trade union leadership in South Africa...
Domestics out in cold

LONG-AWAITED legislation on domestic and farm workers is unlikely to be introduced in this session of Parliament.

The news has disappointed the Domestic Workers' Association (DWA), one of several unions which have been pressing for several years to get legal provisions covering employment of domestic workers.

Dr Piet van der Merwe, director-general of the Department of Manpower, said a report from the National Manpower Commission (NMC) had been completed and was under consideration but “we do not envisage legislation this year.”

He said consultations were in progress with many people and organisations about the NMC report.

Mrs Pieter de Vl）lers, secretary of the DWA, said it proved yet again how lightly the Government took the matter.

The NMC was instructed in 1982 to begin an inquiry into employment conditions for the two groups and the long delay in producing legislation has been strongly criticised and several questions have been asked in Parliament.

In December 1984 a delegation from three groups — the South African Domestic Workers' Association, the Domestic Workers' Association of South Africa and the DWA — travelled to Pretoria to protest to the Minister of Manpower, Mr Pieter de Plessis, about the delay.

Mrs de Villers said domestic workers were an important workforce making a significant contribution to a stable society.

"Having domestic workers allows many skilled and professional women to enter the economy who would otherwise have to stay at home or work part-time,” she said.
The court yesterday refused to issue an injunction to prevent the strike at the Detroit Metropolitan Airport. The court ruled that the plaintiffs had not shown sufficient grounds to justify the issuance of an injunction. The strike began last night.
Bill 'threatens' worker rights

BY ANTHONY JOHNSON
Political Correspondent

FEARS have been raised in trade-union and civil-rights circles over proposed legislation to grant President P W Botha, various discretionary powers to "undermine" trade unions and scrap employees' rights and benefits.

Concern reached a peak yesterday as groups opposing the Temporary Removal of the Restriction on Economic Activities Bill learned that today is the deadline for submission of representations to the parliamentary standing committee on home affairs which is considering the legislation.

The president of the Black Sash, Mrs Mary Burton, yesterday appealed for an extension of the deadline for presentation of evidence as many interested parties were unaware of the impending legislation.

She said the proposed law could lead to the removal of workers' rights and "create opportunities for tremendous exploitation".

'Red tape'

Cosatu, apparently caught off guard by the early cut-off date for submissions on the bill, is to send an urgent telex to Cape Town today to detail its objections to the proposed legislation which empowers President Botha to bypass Parliament and suspend measures restricting "entrepreneurial activity" by slashing "red tape".

Cosatu will argue that the new measure allows the rights and protections workers have won over the years to be whittled away in the name of promoting small business.

Strongest criticism of the proposed measure yesterday came from the National Committee Against Removals (NCAR).

A spokesman for the NCAR said: "If this bill becomes law the State President will be granted vast discretionary powers to undermine the trade union movement and introduce 'sweatshop' conditions wherever and whenever he may wish."

Standards

The NCAR said it saw the bill as part of the move towards implementing "orderly urbanization" as outlined by the President's Council report on urbanization.

"This report clearly recommends the lowering of standards with regard to housing, health and safety for workers it urged the government to recognize South Africa as a Third World country and then to scrap controls which inhibit the free enterprise system."

The NCAR said it viewed the possible scrapping of health and safety protections, of enforcement of contributions to UIF and women's compensation funds, and of provisions such as the supervision and use of machines "as irresponsible in any society."

"South Africa has evolved a system of commendable labour legislation over the past six years. Is this all to be scrapped in the name of providing employment or extension of benefits of the free enterprise system to the black entrepreneur?"

'Bantustans'

The NCAR said that while the provision of employment was clearly necessary, "this country cannot afford extending the appalling lack of protection and widespread exploitation of black workers in the bantustans to the rest of the country."

The NCAR pointed out that in the homelands unions were not allowed to organize there were no minimum wages or maximum hours, no protection from injury or disability during working hours.

It was not uncommon for workers to be earning as little as R12 a week in factories located on the edge of relocation areas such as Esikhawini in KwaZulu, Overwacht near Bloemfontein, Phalaborwa in Limpopo or Tsholoseng in Bophuthatswana, the NCAR said.
Moves to put curbs on closed shops

By DICK USHER
Labour Reporter

The National Manpower Commission has recommended that all closed shop agreements should be subject to the safeguards in the Labour Relations Act.

The recommendation is contained in an NMC report on certain aspects of the closed shop system tabled in Parliament recently.

Such a step would strengthen one of the most important cornerstones of the community, maximum economic freedom and responsibility for the individual, says the report.

"It would at least ensure that the artificial power base to which closed shop arrangements sometimes give rise could not be used arbitrarily and to the disadvantage of certain individuals or groups of people."

Extension would create uniform standards for all agreements and would contribute to the promotion of industrial peace and stable labour relations.

"Applying the safeguards to closed shop agreements outside the sphere of the Labour Relations Act should be done through a self-regulating system. It would then not be a matter of statutorily enforceable agreements, but of establishing standards or restrictions that could be incorporated into private labour agreements, said the report.

Misapplication or non-application of the safeguards should be subject to criminal sanctions in terms of the LRA.

It also recommended that the present method of establishing employee support for a closed shop system be retained, subject to the safeguards in the Labour Relations Act. In their view the executive committee of any trade union was elected on a democratic basis and should therefore have the power to act for its members in deciding whether or not to implement a closed shop agreement.

They said there were several problems associated with calling a secret ballot. In their view the executive committee of any trade union was elected on a democratic basis and should therefore have the power to act for its members in deciding whether or not to implement a closed shop agreement.
Labour laws need revamping, says Wiehahn

By DICK USHER Labour Reporter

DEVELOPMENTS on the South African labour front make a revamp of the industrial council system necessary, says Professor Nick Wiehahn, "father" of the existing labour legislation.

Professor Wiehahn, head of the Wiehahn Commission of Inquiry into labour legislation which gave rise to the legislation, said the emergence of dynamic new federations on the "macro" level of unionism and shopfloor bargaining at the "micro" level were tending to short-circuit the industrial council system at the "middle" level.

"If the industrial council system is to be saved in terms of these developments, we have to take a new look at it," said Professor Wiehahn.

Legislation needed to develop in the direction of compulsory registration for unions and federations and the whole process of registration needed to become easier and more flexible.

"All that should be required is that the new union applying for registration should fulfill certain criteria.

"As it stands, the legislation can be used to block the registration of a dynamic new union because a dormant union is already registered.

"The legislation needs to be changed to allow for competition between unions," he said.

This would mean a good look at the industrial council system — already being undertaken by the National-Manpower Commission — because under existing legislation it took only one dissenting vote to bar a new member from an industrial council.
A PROPOSAL that the Industrial Court be upgraded from its existing administrative tribunal status to a judicial court is likely to be contained in draft legislation to be tabled in Parliament this session.

This was confirmed yesterday by sources within the Department of Manpower.

Placing the IC on the status of a superior court was first mooted by the Wiehahn Commission, but turned down by government on the grounds that it was necessary first to gain experience at adjudicating labour disputes at a lower level.

The changes apparently envisaged now flow from a report by the National Manpower Commission (NMC) on levels of collective bargaining, which was published to solicit comment last year.

The Manpower Director General, Mr Piet van der Merwe, said in an interview yesterday that most of this comment has been collected and legislation amending the Labour Relations Act is now being framed.

He said a bill covering these amendments is to be tabled in Parliament towards the end of May for further comment. Legislation was, therefore, only likely to be passed during the 1987 parliamentary session.

Labour lawyers yesterday welcomed the possibility of the IC being upgraded to judicial level.

It would have important implications for the status of decisions taken by the IC. Current decisions can now be used only as guidelines for considering future disputes of a similar nature.
POWER DYNAMICS

Mr Trollip focused on the power dynamics involved in labour relations, stressing the need for labour lawyers to come to an understanding of the wider dynamics of power and labour law so that collective bargaining could be encouraged.

"Good lawyers concern themselves as much with power as the law," he said.

"Lesser lawyers, or perhaps lawyers badly instructed by clients, rush off to courts for ex parte interdicts to stop workers burning down factories or to evict sit-in strikers."

There has been a rash of cases lately in which employers have gone to the Supreme Court for interdicts against workers and have received such interdicts, ex parte, without the court's hearing any reply from the workers or unions concerned.

Mr Trollip was critical of the judiciary in granting such interdicts without hearing the other side of the case.

"I fear this pattern (of ex parte orders) — it invites workers to flout the authority of the courts," he said.

"We are entering a time when in my view, the Supreme Court, and I speak as an officer of the Supreme Court and with the greatest respect for the Supreme Court, is going to have to enter the realm of collective bargaining and collectively bargain for its authority."

"Such authority may be bargained for, and achieved, if the Supreme Court steeps itself more deeply in an understanding of the dynamics of power and labour law, accords to labour and management interests, without fear or favour, their just desserts, and ceases so readily to afford umbrella relief ex parte."

"The majority of our present judges are, with respect, products of capitalism. Very few of them have held a brief for a trade union while practising at the bar."

"For them the challenge must be to endeavour to understand issues from the perspective of labour and to come to impartial judgment," Mr Trollip said.
Workers protected in legal strike action?

WORKERS who take part in legal strikes may be protected from summary dismissal for breach of contract following a recommendation from the National Manpower Commission to the Department of Manpower.

The recommendation to "decriminalize" strikes was leaked this week to the Financial Mail, which speculated that the distinction between legal and illegal strikes could fall away and that workers may no longer be prosecuted for wildcat strikes. It implied that the proposal could lead to the exemption from prosecution of both legal and illegal strikers.

A labour-relations consultant in Cape Town, Mr. Steve Woods, has dismissed this interpretation, saying it was more likely that the commission was trying to scrap a legal contradiction which enabled employers to fire workers even if they had followed the correct procedure for a legal strike.

"As I see it, the commission is more likely to have made a recommendation which would give workers an incentive to follow the procedure laid down for a legal strike.

"In terms of current legislation, employers can fire workers for breach of contract for refusing to work, even if their strike is legal."
Commerce calls for Labour Day holiday

Finance Editor

MTUNZINI—It was, in a way, the bicycle congress. Should congress delegates be asked, bicycles be more firmly regulated and every rider required to hold a bicycle licence or would private free enterprise be allowed to pedal where it liked?

Representatives of Natal's commerce gathered here for Ascom's regional congress at the weekend. They heard talks on privatisation and deregulation and on tourism and they were to debate the abolition of minimum wages, making Labour Day a statutory holiday and requiring cyclists to pass an examination.

The bicycle debate did not start because the Ladysmith Chamber of Commerce withdrew its resolution.

Perhaps it was timely in terms of the early talks about privatisation (defined as when business takes over the activities of municipalities and government) and deregulation the removal of the many rules that govern business life.

Delegates were told that privatisation was best thought of from the bottom up. It was of little use considering putting Iscor and Escom into business but of considerable merit to look at commerce budding for a municipal rubbish removal project.

They heard that municipalities are heavily over-regulated, also that in recent interviews the State President was to give, in the laws on the matter, a three-year deadline to private enterprise to indicate what rules should be scrapped.

The comment that put the debate into perspective came from Johannesburg's management committee chairman, Mr J P Oberholzer, who opposed privatisation of municipal services because of the employment they provided which was paid for by 'allocating money from elsewhere'.

The thought that ratepayers subsidise poor labour practices galvanised delegates into support for privatisation.

The Zululand Chamber of Commerce was all for persuading government to abandon the concept of minimum wages as prescribed in Industrial Council agreements.

But it was not a simple matter, and setting aside prescribed wages would lead to exploitation or in some situations higher wages being paid because of the lack of skills.

Labour Day was one issue which might have landed on next year's agenda but for Durban Metropolitan Chamber of Commerce's demand for rapid action so that labour hassles would not be repeated next year.

May 1 and should be swapped for Founders Day.

Dr John Vincent, of the Natal Parks Board, put up the dilemma between conservation and tourism if the infrastructure was to be left to commerce to develop one would get the 'intensely focused and somewhat selfish' Sun City schemes, but government did not always have the money to develop rapidly enough to meet the needs of tourists.
(b) bedoelde opheffing deur my goedgekeur is om op die
datum van publikasie hiervan in werking te tree, en
(c) Goewermentskennisgewing R 560 van 27 Maart
1986 met ingang van die datum van publikasie hiervan herroep word.

J. J. G. WENTZEL,
Minister van Landbou-ekonomie.

______________________________________________________________

DEPARTEMENT VAN MANNEKRAG
No. R. 1811 5 September 1986
WET OP ARBEIDSVERHOUDINGE, 1956
BOUNYWERHEID, KIMBERLEY — HERNUWING
VAN DI OORENOMS VIR DIE ELEKTRISSE
INSTALLERINGSEKSIIE


M. W. J. LE ROUX,
Direkteur: Mannekrag.

______________________________________________________________

DEPARTEMENT OF MANPOWER
No. R. 1811 5 September 1986
LABOUR RELATIONS ACT, 1956
BUILDING INDUSTRY, KIMBERLEY — RENEWAL
OF THE AGREEMENT FOR THE ELECTRICAL
INSTALLATION SECTION


M. W. J LE ROUX,
Director. Manpower.

______________________________________________________________

NOU BESIKBAAR
VERSINGE VAN DIE APPÉLHOFE
VIR KOMMISSARISHOWE

(available in book form)
1972-1974 (484 bladsye)
1975-1977 (338 bladsye)

NOW AVAILABLE
REPORTS OF THE APPEAL COURTS
FOR COMMISSIONERS' COURTS

(In book form)
1972-1974 (484 pages)
1975-1977 (338 pages)

Selling price (GST excluded)
1972-1974: Local, R 20.00; other countries, R 40.00; post free.
1975-1977: Local, R 40.00; other countries, R 60.00; post free.
2. In the Afrikaans text of the Schedule:

(1) In Division A, clause 3 (48), substitute the figure "45" for the figure "46".

(2) In Division A, clause 30 (d), substitute the figure "45" for the figure "46".

(3) In Division B, clause 3 (1) (f), substitute the expression "een-ses-en-veertigste" for the expression "een-ses-en-veertigste".

(4) In Division B, in the proviso to clause 5 (4), substitute the figure "45" for the figure "46".

No. R. 2042 26 September 1986
MANPOWER TRAINING ACT, 1981
MANPOWER TRAINING COMMITTEE FOR THE SUGAR MANUFACTURING AND REFINING INDUSTRY —AMENDMENT OF CONDITIONS OF APPRENTICESHIP

1. Pieter Theunis Christiana du Plessis, Minister of Manpower, acting in terms of section 13 of the Manpower Training Act, 1981, hereby—

(a) amend, with effect from the third Monday after the date of publication of this notice, Government Notice R. 1257 of 14 June 1985 by the substitution for clause 3 (1) of the Conditions of the following clause:

"(1) An employer shall remunerate an apprentice at not less than the following percentages of the remuneration payable to an artisan in terms of any industrial council agreement applicable to the relevant trade and area:

4-year trades
First year: 40%
Second year: 45%
Third year: 55%
Fourth year: 100%

5-year trades
First year: 40%
Second year: 45%
Third year: 55%
Fourth year: 70%
Fifth year: 100%",

(b) amend clause 9 of the Conditions by the substitution for the prescribed Training Schedule for the designated trade Fitter of the following Schedule:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>&quot;Logboek&quot;</th>
<th>Soort werk</th>
<th>Praktiese opleiding</th>
<th>Geald ure aanbeveel vir opleiding in elke soort werk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Veiligheid</td>
<td>Basiese veiligheidsmasteels van toepassing in die ambag moet die hele leerjyd deur nagekom word, met besondere aandag aan die veilige hantering en versorging van handgereedskap, skaduële en vlieëlike gase, vloeistowwe en gase onder druk, warm en gevaarlike metal, elektrisie installasies, maskinbeveiliging, maskers en drukluykereks, slypwesle, bewegende en oorhoofde masjienery, en die gebruik van draagbare brandblussers Behandeling van elektriese skok</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Basiese hand- en werkwinkele gereedskap</td>
<td>Die versorging en gebruik van gereedskap Die maak van werkstukke en/of onderdele met gebruikmaka van die tegniese kap, boer, vly, num, skap, skoofdraadn en moorddraadn Snuberekskaps en skerpmaak, die gebruik van trekkers en perse. De kusse n gebruik van sneermiddels en nynegra Piele van slypnaasjene bywerk, ond, suggan en monnje. Die gebruik van gasvoering vir ny-en venhoutstelende Elektriese heegsissers</td>
<td>450</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Afwerkwerk</td>
<td>Van tekeninge en/of monsters afwerk, met gebruikmaka van afwerkgeredskap, bv boekplate, flesdiskring, setierpense, verskeie pas, afwerktafels, gradeboek, linale, krapene, wierblake, krakblake en V-blake Die gebruik van metingstrome en meters</td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Tekeninge en sketses</td>
<td>Tekeninge en/of sketses maak. Tekeninge lees en begryp.</td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Materiale</td>
<td>Kenas en identifiserings van materiale</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Koppeling</td>
<td>Die montering, rigting en onderhoud van en foudingsmontering by verskillende soorte koppeling, bv buigsaam, soire en selkoppeling</td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. In the Afrikaans text of the Schedule, in clause 3, paragraph (a), insert the following after "Winkelkontrole ....................................... 172,50":

"Snyer ........................................... 85,00"

No. R. 2256 31 October 1986

LABOUR RELATIONS ACT, 1956

BUILDING INDUSTRY, EAST CAPE — AMENDMENT
OF MAIN AGREEMENT

(a) in terms of section 48 (1) (a) of the Labour Relations
Act, 1956, declare that the provisions of the Agreement
(hereinafter referred to as the Amending Agreement)
which appears in the Schedule hereto and which relates
to the Undertaking, Industry, Trade or Occupation
referred to in the heading to this notice, shall be binding,
with effect from the second Monday after the date of
publication of this notice and for the period ending 31 March 1987,
upon the employers’ organisations and the trade unions
which entered into the Amending Agreement and upon the employers
and employees who are members of the said organisations
or unions; and

(b) in terms of section 48 (1) (b) of the said Act, declare
that the provisions of the Amending Agreement,
excluding those contained in clauses 1 (1) (a), 2 and 4 of
Part I and clauses 1 (1) (a), 2 and 4 of Part II shall be
binding, with effect from the second Monday after the
date of publication of this notice and for the period
ending 31 March 1987, upon all employers and
employees, other than those referred to in paragraph
(a) of this notice, who are engaged or employed in
the said Undertaking, Industry, Trade or Occupation
in the areas specified in clause 1 of the Amending
Agreement.

P. T. C. D’U PLESSIS,
Minister of Manpower.

SCHEDULE

INDUSTRIAL COUNCIL FOR THE BUILDING INDUSTRY,
EAST CAPE

AGREEMENT

in accordance with the provisions of the Labour Relations Act, 1956, made
and entered into by and between the

Master Builders’ and Allied Trades Association, East Cape
Electrical Contracting and Allied Industries Association (Eastern Cape)

and the

Electrical Contractors’ Association (South Africa)
(hereinafter referred to as the "employers" or the "employers’ organisations")

Amalgamated Society of Woodworkers of South Africa
Amalgamated Union of Building Trade Workers of South Africa
South African Electrical Workers’ Association
Electrical and Allied Trade Workers’ Union of South Africa

and the

Operative Plumbers’ Association of Port Elizabeth
(hereinafter referred to as the "employees" or the "trade unions")

of the one part, and the

of the other part,

being the parties to the Industrial Council for the Building Industry, East Cape,

to amend the Agreement published under Government Notice R. 2192 of
5 October 1984 (hereinafter referred to as the Re-enacting Agreement), as
amended and renewed by Government Notices R. 800 of 14 April 1985,
27 March 1986.
PART I

1. SCOPE OF APPLICATION OF AGREEMENT

(1) The terms of this Agreement shall be observed in the Building Industry—

(a) by all employers and employees who are members of the employers' organisations and the trade unions, respectively.

(b) In the Magisterial Districts of Alexandria, Bathurst, Beaufort-West, Calitzdorp, George, Humansdorp, Joubertina, Ladismith, Keiwind, Mossel Bay, Oudtshoorn, Port Elizabeth (excluding that portion which, prior to the publication of Government Notice R 1794 of 26 September 1961, fell within the Magisterial District of Hankey), Riversdale, Uitenhage, Unibonde and in that portion of the Magisterial District of Hankey which, prior to 1 November 1963, fell within the Magisterial District of Port Elizabeth

(2) Notwithstanding the provisions of subclause (1)(a), the terms of this Agreement shall—

(a) only apply to those classes of employees for whom wages are prescribed in this Agreement and to learners;

(b) apply to apprentices and trainees only to so far as they are not inconsistent with the provisions of the Manpower Training Act, 1981, or any conditions prescribed or any notice served in terms therein;

(c) apply to labour-only contractors, working partners and working directors;

(d) not apply to university students and graduates in building science and construction supervisors, construction surveyors and other such persons doing practical work in the completion of their academic training,

(e) not apply to clerical employees or to employees engaged in administrative duties or to any member of an administrative staff;

(3) Notwithstanding the provisions of subclause (1)(a), the provisions of clauses 12, 13, 15 (2) and (3), 27 and 40 of Part I of the Agreement published under Government Notice R 2217 of 30 October 1980, as amended, and re-enacted shall not apply to Areas B, C and D.

2. CLAUSE 2.—SPECIAL PROVISIONS

Substitute the following for clause 2:

"2. SPECIAL PROVISIONS


3. CLAUSE 3.—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Substitute the following for clause 3:

"3. GENERAL PROVISIONS


4. CLAUSE 33 OF PART I OF THE FORMER AGREEMENT.—EMPLOYER ORGANISATION LEVY

In subclause (1)(a), substitute the figure "27c" for the figure "18c"

5. CLAUSE 38 OF PART I OF THE FORMER AGREEMENT.—BUILDING INDUSTRY RECRUITMENT AND TRAINING FUND

Substitute the following for clause 38:

"58. BUILDING INDUSTRY TRAINING FUND

(1) The Council having been advised of the establishment of the Building Industry Training Fund (inaugurated by the Building Industries Federation (South Africa) (hereinafter referred to as the "Training Fund"), hereby authorises, for the purpose of implementing the objects of the
Building Industry Training Scheme set forth in clause 4 of the Scheme in terms of the Manpower Training Act, 1981, published under Government Notice R 1880 of 31 August 1984, the collection of contributions in accordance with the procedure hereunder:

(2) Every employer shall pay to the Secretary of the Council the amount which he is required to contribute to the Training Fund in terms of clause 7 (3) of the said Government Notice.

(3) The amounts paid by employers in terms of subclause (2) less a collection fee of 2½ per cent, shall be paid by the Council from time to time to the Training Fund.

PART II
SPECIAL PROVISIONS APPLICABLE TO THE TIMBER TRADE IN THE BUILDING INDUSTRY

1. SCOPE OF APPLICATION

(1) The terms of Part II of this Agreement shall be observed in the Timber Trade of the Building Industry—

(a) by all employers and employees who are members of the employers' organisations and the trade unions, respectively,

(b) in the Magisterial Districts of Alexandria, Bathurst, Beaufort West, Calitzdorp, George, Humansdorp, Joubertina, Ladismith, Kaysna, Mossel Bay, Oudtshoorn, Port Elizabeth (excluding that portion which, prior to the publication of Government Notice R 1974 of 26 September 1980, fell within the Magisterial District of Hankey), Riversdale, Uitenhage, Uniondale and in that portion of the Magisterial District of Hankey which, prior to 1 November 1963, fell within the Magisterial District of Port Elizabeth.

2. CLAUSE 2.—SPECIAL PROVISIONS

Substitute the following for clause 2

"2. SPECIAL PROVISIONS
The provisions contained in clauses 2 (2), 13 (as amended by clause 9 of the Re-enacting Agreement) and clause 7 of Government Notice R 557 of 27 March 1986 (and this clause hereunder) to 15 (1) inclusive of Part II of the Former Agreement shall apply to employers and employees.

3. CLAUSE 3.—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Substitute the following for clause 3

"3. GENERAL PROVISIONS

4. CLAUSE 13 OF PART II OF THE FORMER AGREEMENT.—EMPLOYERS' ORGANISATION LEVY

In subclause (1), substitute the figure "27c" for the figure "18c".

Signed at Port Elizabeth, on behalf of the parties, this 30th day of May 1986.

E. A. CILLIERS,
Chairman of the Council.
J. P. ERASMUS,
Vice-Chairman of the Council
V. H. LE ROUX,
General Secretary of the Council.

No. R. 2266
31 October 1986

LABOUR RELATIONS ACT, 1956

INDUSTRIAL COUNCIL FOR THE MOTOR TRANSPORT UNDERTAKING (GOODS).—AMENDMENT OF AGREEMENT

1. Pieter Theunis Christiaan du Plessis, Minister of Manpower, hereby—

(a) in terms of section 48 (1) (a) of the Labour Relations Act, 1956, declare that the provisions of the Agreement (hereinafter referred to as the Amending

Opleidingsoekenaar vir die Boubyverheid uiteengesit in klausule 4 van die Skema verteenwoordig die Wet op Mannekragopleiding, 1981, geadministreer deur die Wet van Gereformeerde Kerkvereniging R 1880 van 31 Augustus 1984, die inwerkingstelling van bladsy 7 van die Opleidingsoekenaar deur die procedes hieronder uiteengesit.

(2) Elke werkgever moet die bedrag wat by inwerkingkoming klausule 7 (3) van die Wet van Gereformeerde Kerkvereniging tot die Opleidingsoekenaar moet bydra, aan die Sekretaris van die Raad betal.

(3) Die bedrag wat ongevorderde subklausule 2 (deur werkgevers betaal word, run inwerkingkostes van 2½ prosent, moet deur die Raad van tyd tot tyd aan die Opleidingsoekenaar betaal word.

DEEL II
SPESIALE BEPALINGS WAT OP DIE HOUTNYWERHEID IN DIE BOUNYWERHEID VAN TOEPASSING IS

1. TOEPASSINGSBESTEK

(1) Deel II van hierdie Ooreenkoms moet in die Houtnywerheid van die Boubyverheid ingesluit word:

(a) deur alle werkgevers en werknemers wat lede is van onderskeidelik die werkgewerorganisasies en die vakverenigings.

(b) in die landboudistrikse Alexandria, Bathurst, Beaufort-West, Calitzdorp, George, Humansdorp, Joubertina, Ladismith, Kaysna, Mossel Bay, Oudtshoorn, Port Elizabeth (niet ncludings die deel wat, voor die verskyn van die Wet van Gereformeerde Kerkvereniging R 1974 van 26 September 1980, in deel van die landboudistrik Hankey val) en die verderdie deel van die landboudistrik Hankey wat voor 1 November 1963 deel van die landboudistrik Port Elizabeth was.

2. KLUOSULE 2.—SPESIALE BEPALINGS

Vergew klausule 2 deur die volgende

"2. SPESIALE BEPALINGS
Klausule 2 (2), 13 (soos gewysig deur klausule 9 van die Wet van Gereformeerde Kerkvereniging R 557 van 27 Maart 1986 en klausule 4 hieronder) en 15 (1) van Deel II van die vorige Ooreenkoms is van toepassing op werkgevers en werknemers.

3. KLUOSULE 3.—AGLEMENE BEPALINGS

Vergew klausule 3 deur die volgende

"3. AGLEMENE BEPALINGS
Klausule 1 (2), 2 (1), 3 (soos gewysig deur klausule 4 van die Wet van Gereformeerde Kerkvereniging), 4 (soos gewysig deur klausule 5 van die Wet van Gereformeerde Kerkvereniging R 557 van 27 September 1985 en klausule 4 van die Wet van Gereformeerde Kerkvereniging R 557 van 27 Maart 1986), 5, 6, 7 (soos gewysig deur klausule 6 van die Wet van Gereformeerde Kerkvereniging) en 15 (2) tot en met 18 van Deel II van die vorige Ooreenkoms is van toepassing op werkgevers en werknemers.

4. KLUOSULE 13 VAN DEEL II VAN DIE VORIGE OORENKOEM,—HEEFFIG VIR WERKGWERSORGANISASIE

In klausule 1, vervang die syfers "18c" deur die syfers "27c".

Namens die partye op hede die 30ste dag van Mei 1986 te Port Elizabeth onderteken:

E. A. CILLIERS,
Voorsitter van die Raad
J. P. ERASMUS,
Ondervoorsitter van die Raad
V. H. LE ROUX,
Hoofsekretaris van die Raad

No. R. 2266
31 October 1986

WET OP ARBEIDSVERHOLDINGE, 1956

NYWERHEIDSRAAD VIR DIE MOTORVERVOER-ONDERNEMING (GODEER).—WYSIGING VAN OORENKOEM

Ek, Pieter Theunis Christiaan du Plessis, Minister van Mannekrag, verklaar hierby:

(a) kragtens artikel 48 (1) (a) van die Wet op Arbeidsverhoudinge, 1956, dat die bepalings van die Ooreenkoms (hierna die Wysigingsoorloekenaar genoem) wat
### BYLAE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Tarief</th>
<th>Skaal van Reg</th>
<th>Opmerking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>128 65</td>
<td>Aksyns 35%</td>
<td>Doeane 35%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**No. R. 2262**

**31 October 1986**

**CUSTOMS AND EXCISE ACT, 1964**

**AMENDMENT OF SCHEDULE 4 (No. 4/404)**

Under section 75 of the Customs and Excise Act, 1964, Schedule 4 to the said Act is hereby amended to the extent set out in the Schedule hereto.

K. D. S. DURR,
Deputy Minister of Finance and of Trade and Industry.

---

**SCHEDULE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Tarief Heading and Description</th>
<th>Extent of Rebate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>411 00</td>
<td>By the insertion after tariff heading No 85 01 of the following</td>
<td>Full duty’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>‘85.15 Surveillance systems, incorporating a thermal imaging camera, monitor, power supply unit, control console and telemetry transmitter and receiver</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note—Provision is made for a rebate of the full duty on surveillance systems incorporating a thermal imaging camera, monitor, power supply unit, control console and telemetry transmitter and receiver.*

---

**No. R. 2262**

**31 October 1986**

**DOEANE-EN AKSYNSWET, 1964**

**WYSIGING VAN BYLAE 4 (No. 4/404)**

Kragtens artikel 75 van die Doeane-en Aksynswet, 1964, word Bylæ 4 by geneemde Wet hiermee gewysig in die mate in die Bylæ hiervan aangetoon.

K. D. S. DURR,
Adjunk-minister van Finansies en van Handel en Nywerheid.

---

**BYLAE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Tarief</th>
<th>Mise van Kotting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>411.00</td>
<td>Deur na tarief No 85 01 die volgende te voeg</td>
<td>Volle reg’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>85 15 Waarnemingsstelsels, wat ’n termiese beeldkamera, monitor, kragtoevoer- eenheid, beheerconsole en telemetrische sender en ontvanger inskorpere</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Opmerking.—Voorsiening word gemaak vir ’n volle korting op reg op waarnemingsstelsels, wat ’n termiese beeldkamera, monitor, kragtoevoereenheid, beheerconsole en telemetrische sender en ontvanger inskorpere.*

---

**DEPARTMENT OF MANPOWER**

**No. R. 2243**

**31 October 1986**

**LABOUR RELATIONS ACT, 1956**

**TOBACCO MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY (RUSTENBURG) —AMENDMENT OF AGREEMENT**

I. Pieter Theuns Christiaan du Plessis, Minister of Manpower, hereby—

(a) in terms of section 48 (1) (a) of the Labour Relations Act, 1956, declare that the provisions of the Agreement (hereinafter referred to as the Amending Agreement) which appears in the Schedule hereto and which relates to the Undertaking, Industry, Trade or Occupation referred to in the heading to this notice, shall be binding, with effect from the second Monday after the date of publication of this notice and for the

---

**DEPARTEMENT VAN MANNEKRAAG**

**No. R. 2243**

**31 October 1986**

**WET OP ARBEIDSVERHOUDINGE, 1956**

**TABAKNYWERHEID (RUSTENBURG).—WYSIGING VAN OOORENKOMS**

Ek, Pieter Theuns Christiaan du Plessis, Minister van Mannekrag, verklaar hierby—

(a) kragtens artikel 48 (1) (a) van die Wet op Arbeidsverhoudinge, 1956, dat die bepalinge van die Ooreenkoms (hierna die Wysigingsooreenkoms genoem) wat in die Bylæ hiervan verskyn en betrekking het op die Onderneming, Nywerheid, Bedryf of Beroep in die opsikte by hierdie kennisgewing vermeld, met ingang van die tweede Maandag na die datum van publikasie van hierdie kennisgewing en vir die tydperk wat op 31
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Post</th>
<th>Per week R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Foreman</td>
<td>313,50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant foreman</td>
<td>146,10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leading hand/Production tech.</td>
<td>164,10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artisan</td>
<td>220,85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boiler plant supervisor</td>
<td>146,40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality assurance—shift controller</td>
<td>168,60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality assurance—assistant shift controller</td>
<td>153,15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality inspector—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>during first year of experience</td>
<td>129,15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>during second year of experience</td>
<td>132,90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thereafter</td>
<td>139,30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor (cigarette manufacturing)—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>during first year of experience</td>
<td>129,15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>during second year of experience</td>
<td>129,15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thereafter</td>
<td>139,30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor (pipe tobacco)</td>
<td>115,05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examiner, unqualified—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>during first six months of experience</td>
<td>96,65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>during second six months of experience</td>
<td>102,85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examiner, qualified</td>
<td>111,15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sectionman, unqualified—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>during first year of experience</td>
<td>129,15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>during second year of experience</td>
<td>137,95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>during third year of experience</td>
<td>151,75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sectionman, qualified</td>
<td>168,60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior sectionman</td>
<td>185,35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machine mender, unqualified—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>during first year of experience</td>
<td>123,65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>during second year of experience</td>
<td>130,40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>during third year of experience</td>
<td>140,45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machine mender, qualified</td>
<td>153,15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security officer, A and B</td>
<td>121,65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundsman</td>
<td>117,65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factory clerical employee, despatch clerk, receiving clerk and storeman, unqualified—</td>
<td>99,35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>during first year of experience</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>during second year of experience</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>during third year of experience</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>during fourth year of experience</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fecoty clerical employee, despatch clerk, receiving clerk and storeman, qualified</td>
<td>103,10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stores attendant, unqualified—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>during first six months of experience</td>
<td>94,35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>during second six months of experience</td>
<td>107,20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>during third six months of experience</td>
<td>107,20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>during fourth six months of experience</td>
<td>111,90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stores attendant, qualified</td>
<td>115,70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor vehicle driver of—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cars and station wagons</td>
<td>107,35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van and lorries with an laden mass of—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>up to 1 362 kg</td>
<td>107,35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>over 1 362 kg and up to 2 723 kg</td>
<td>112,45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>over 2 724 kg and up to 3 632 kg</td>
<td>116,45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>over 3 632 kg</td>
<td>120,40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part-time motor vehicle driver</td>
<td>100,90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canteen supervisor</td>
<td>107,35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handyman—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>during first three months of experience</td>
<td>96,35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>during the next three months of experience</td>
<td>98,45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thereafter</td>
<td>104,70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chargehand</td>
<td>104,70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team leaders—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of Grade IA employees</td>
<td>109,90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of Grade IB employees</td>
<td>107,35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of Grade II employees</td>
<td>102,15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of Grade III employees and labourers</td>
<td>98,35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade IA employee, unqualified—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>during first three months of experience</td>
<td>94,35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>during the next six months of experience</td>
<td>98,65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>during the next six months of experience</td>
<td>99,70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>during the next six months of experience</td>
<td>102,50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>during the next six months of experience</td>
<td>105,35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(III) vergoeding van toepassing op werknemers wat 12 maande dans by die werkgeverwettekappies wou hou en wat meer as die voor- gestreekte lote verdienen, waar sodanige vergoedings op fabriekswag beding en deur die Nywerhedsraad bekrachtig en aangeteken is nie hierdie geraak word nie

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Post</th>
<th>Per week R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Voornam</td>
<td>313,50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistent-voornam</td>
<td>146,10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leverwerksman/Produkstegniek</td>
<td>286,50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambagsman</td>
<td>260,85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ketelfinlasse-toenhouder</td>
<td>164,90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skokkontroleur (gelakteversiering)</td>
<td>168,60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistent-skokkontroleur (gelakteversiering)</td>
<td>153,15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gehalte-inspreek—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gedurende eerste jaar ondervind</td>
<td>129,15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gedurende tweede jaar ondervind</td>
<td>132,90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>daarna</td>
<td>139,30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toeghouder (sogagvertaungung)—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gedurende eerste jaar ondervind</td>
<td>129,15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gedurende tweede jaar ondervind</td>
<td>132,90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>daarna</td>
<td>139,90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toeghouder (pyttabek)</td>
<td>115,05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ondersoeker, ongskwalifiseer—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gedurende eerste ses maande ondervind</td>
<td>96,55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gedurende tweede ses maande ondervind</td>
<td>102,85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ondersoeker, gkulifiseer</td>
<td>111,15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seksmaan, ongskwalifiseer—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gedurende eerste jaar ondervind</td>
<td>129,15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gedurende tweede jaar ondervind</td>
<td>137,95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gedurende derde jaar ondervind</td>
<td>151,75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seksmaan, gkulifiseer</td>
<td>168,60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mastebedeneer, ongskwalifiseer—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gedurende eerste jaar ondervind</td>
<td>123,65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gedurende tweede jaar ondervind</td>
<td>130,40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gedurende derde jaar ondervind</td>
<td>140,45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mastebedeneer, gkulifiseer</td>
<td>153,15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veiligheidsbeampte, A en B</td>
<td>121,65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terreinopstigter</td>
<td>117,63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabriekskl. verbindingskl. en ontvangskl. en magasjman, ongskwalifiseer—</td>
<td>99,35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gedurende eerste jaar ondervind</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gedurende tweede jaar ondervind</td>
<td>103,10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gedurende derde jaar ondervind</td>
<td>107,20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gedurende vierde jaar ondervind</td>
<td>111,90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabriekskl. verschendingkl. en ontvangskl. en magasjman, gkulifiseer</td>
<td>118,90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voorraadbedeneer, ongskwalifiseer—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gedurende eerste maande ondervind</td>
<td>94,35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gedurende volgende maande ondervind</td>
<td>97,20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gedurende volgende maande ondervind</td>
<td>100,55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gedurende volgende maande ondervind</td>
<td>104,35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gedurende volgende maande ondervind</td>
<td>108,75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voorraadbedeneer, gkulifiseer</td>
<td>113,70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorvoetstuigdroyer van—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>motorkerrie en stasiewagens</td>
<td>107,35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bestel- en vragwagens met 'n onbelaste massa van hoogstens 1 362 kg</td>
<td>107,35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meer as 1 362 kg maar hoogstens 2 724 kg</td>
<td>112,45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meer as 2 724 kg maar hoogstens 3 632 kg</td>
<td>116,30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meer as 3 632 kg</td>
<td>120,40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deelstige motorvoetstuigdroyer</td>
<td>100,90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eishuissenhouer</td>
<td>107,35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faktoom—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gedurende eerste maande ondervind</td>
<td>96,55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gedurende volgende maande ondervind</td>
<td>98,45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gedurende volgende maande ondervind</td>
<td>100,55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>daarna</td>
<td>104,70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onderbaas</td>
<td>104,70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanlaar—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van werknemers graad IA</td>
<td>109,90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van werknemers graad IB</td>
<td>107,35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van werknemers graad II</td>
<td>102,15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van werknemers graad III en arbeiders</td>
<td>98,35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Werkmens graad IA, ongskwalifiseer—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gedurende eerste maande ondervind</td>
<td>94,35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gedurende volgende maande ondervind</td>
<td>96,85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gedurende volgende maande ondervind</td>
<td>99,70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gedurende volgende maande ondervind</td>
<td>102,50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gedurende volgende maande ondervind</td>
<td>105,35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GOVERNMENT GAZETTE, 31 OCTOBER 1986

4. CLAUSE 7.—ANNUAL LEAVE

In subclause (3), substitute the following for paragraphs (a) and (c)
(a) who has been in his employ for a continuous period of five years or more, four weeks’ wages based on actual earnings at the time,
(b) with less than five years’ continuous service engaged prior to 15 January of the current year, 2.9 weeks’ wages at the actual rate being paid at the time,
(c) engaged after 15 January of the current year, one-twelfth of 2.9 weeks’ wages at the actual rate being paid at the time in respect of each calendar month of service, calculated from the first day of the month nearest to the date of engagement and to include the month of December.

5. CLAUSE 16.—COUNCIL FUNDS

Substitute the following for subclause (a) (b) and (c).
(a) “On the first pay-day after this Agreement comes into operation and on each pay-day thereafter, every employee shall contribute an amount of 20 cents per week,”
(b) the employer shall contribute 20 cents per week in respect of each of his employees,
(c) in the case of monthly paid employees contributions referred to in paragraphs (a) and (b) shall be 85 cents per month.”

6. CLAUSE 17.—SICK BENEFIT FUND

Substitute the following for subclause (1) (e) (i) and (ii).
(i) “Weekly-paid employees: R1.20 per week,
(ii) monthly-paid employees: R5.20 per month.”

Signed at Rustenburg, on behalf of the parties, this 4th day of April 1986
L. J. ROELOFSE,
Chairman of the Council.

C. DU PREEZ,
Representative for both trade union parties.

H. J. VAN REENEN,
Secretary of the Council.

No. R. 2255

31 October 1986

CORRECTION NOTICE

MEAT TRADE, EAST LONDON

The following corrections to Government Notice R. 2083 appearing in Government Gazette 10465 of 26 September 1986, are hereby published for general information:

1. In the English text of the Schedule, in clause 3, paragraph (a), insert the following after “Shop Controller ........................................ 172,30”:
“Cutter ........................................ 85,00”.

No. R. 2255

31 October 1986

VERBETERINGSKENNISGEWING

VLEISBEDRYF, OOS-LONDEN

Die onderstaande verbeterings aan Goewermentskennisgewing R. 2083 wat in Staatskuerant 10465 van 26 September 1986 verskyn, word hierby vir algemene inligting gepubliseer:

1. In die Engelse teks van die Bylae, in klousule 3, paragraaf (a), voeg die volgende in na “Shop Controller ........................................ 172,30”:
“Cutter ........................................ 85,00”.
Farming scene

Labour laws in the spotlight

The desirability of agriculture's inclusion under labour law was discussed at the annual congress of the South African Agricultural Union in Bloemfontein.

"Despite the measure of labour peace in agriculture and satisfactory relations between farmers and farm workers, activities in this regard must be kept up in order to further raise the level of manpower management in agriculture," the president of the union, Mr Kobs Jooste, said.

"There is a growing need among farmers and agricultural organisations for guidance regarding developments in this field," he said.

It was decided that the general council of the union should adopt the line of action which would best serve agriculture, a press release from the SA Agricultural Union said.

Primary agriculture is excluded from certain labour legislation.

"The desirability of agriculture's inclusion under the relevant acts was considered, as well as amendments which would have to be introduced to render statutory arrangements in this regard acceptable to agriculture," the statement said.
(ii) in the case of an employee who is a member of the R
South African Transport Workers’ Union ..... 1,00
(iii) in the case of an employee who is a member of the R
Transport Workers’ Union of South Africa ..... 1,00"
Signed at Johannesburg for and on behalf of the parties to the Council that 17th day of June 1986.

G. F. VAN NIEKERK,
Chairman.
S. TSHABALALA,
Vice-Chairman.
E. NEL,
Secretary

No. R. 2267
31 October 1986
BASIC CONDITIONS OF EMPLOYMENT ACT, 1983
CONTINUOUS WORKING
I, Joel Daniel Fourie, Chief Director: Labour Relations, duly authorised thereto by the Minister of Manpower, hereby in terms of section 33 (1) of the Basic Conditions of Employment Act, 1983, declare the manufacture of particleboard from raw wood, as carried out by Interboard SA (Pty) Limited at Wadeville, to be an activity with respect to which work may be performed continuously in three shifts per 24 hours, seven days a week. Provided that the conditions of employment, as published under Government Notice R. 2167 of 28 September 1984, or any Government Notice published in substitution thereof, be adhered to.

J. D. FOURIE,
Chief Director: Labour Relations.

No. R. 2286
31 October 1986
LABOUR RELATIONS ACT, 1956
BUILDING AND MONUMENTAL MASONRY INDUSTRIES (TRANSVAAL).—AMENDMENT OF MAIN AGREEMENT
I, Pieter Theunis Christiaan du Plessis, Minister of Manpower, hereby—
(a) in terms of section 48 (1) (a) of the Labour Relations Act, 1956, declare that the provisions of the Agreement (hereinafter referred to as the Amending Agreement) which appears in the Schedule hereto and which relates to the Undertaking, Industry, Trade or Occupation referred to in the heading to this notice, shall be binding, with effect from the first Monday after the date of publication of this notice and for the period ending 30 April 1987, upon the employers’ organisations and the trade unions which entered into the Amending Agreement and upon the employers and employees who are members of the said organisations or unions; and
(b) in terms of section 48 (1) (b) of the said Act, declare that the provisions of the Amending Agreement, excluding those contained in clauses 1 (1) (a), 2 and 3 (4) shall be binding, with effect from the first Monday after the date of publication of this notice and for the period ending 30 April 1987, upon all employers and employees, other than those referred to in paragraph (a) of this notice, who are engaged or employed in the said Undertaking, Industry, Trade or Occupation in the areas specified in clause 1 of the Amending Agreement.

P. T. C. DU PLESSIS,
Minister of Manpower

(a) in the geval van ‘n werknemer wat lid is van die South African Transport Workers’ Union ..... 1,00
(m) in the geval van ‘n werknemer wat lid is van die Transport Workers’ Union of South Africa ..... 1,00"
G. F. VAN NIEKERK,
Voorstter
S. TSHABALALA,
Ondervoorsitter
E. NEL,
Sekretaris

No. R. 2267
31 October 1986
WET OP BASIESE DIENSTVERWAGENDE ACTIE, 1983
AANEENLOPENDE WERK
Ek, Joel Daniel Fourie, Hoofdirekteur: Arbeidsverhoudinge, behoorlik daartoe gemagtig deur die Minister van Mannekrag, verklaar hierby kragtens artikel 33 (1) van die Wet op Basiese Dienstvoorderwaardes, 1983, dat die vervaridiging van spanboorde uit onverwerkte hout, soos uitgevoer deur Interboard SA (Pty) Limited te Wadeville, ‘n bedrywighed is met betrekking waartoe daar aaneenlopend in drie skote per 24 uur, sewe dui per week, gewerk kan word. Met dien verstande dat die dienstvoorderwaar, soos gepubliseer deur Goewermentekenniswaargew’n 2167 van 28 September 1984, of enige Goewermentekenniswaargew’n gepubliseer ter vervangting daarvan, nagekom word.

J. D. FOURIE,
Hoofdirekteur: Arbeidsverhoudinge.

No. R. 2286
31 October 1986
WET OP ARBEIDSVERHOUINGDE, 1956
BOU- EN MONUMENTKLIPMESSELNYWERHEID (TRANSVAAL).—WYSIGING VAN HOOFBOORENKS
Ek, Pieter Theunis Christiaan du Plessis, Minister van Mannekrag, verklaar hierby—
(a) kragtens artikel 48 (1) (a) van die Wet op Arbeidsverhoudinge, 1956, dat die bepaling van die Ooreenkom (hierna die Wysigingsoorenkoms genoem) wat in die Byl uit verskyn en betrekking het op die Onderneeming, Nywerheid, Beëind of Beroep in die opspraak by die kennisgewing vermeld, met ingang van die eerste Maandag na die datum van publikasie van hierdie kennisgewing en vir die tydperk wat op 30 April 1987 eindig, bindend is vir die werkgeversorganisasies en die vakverenigings wat die Wysigingsoorenkoms aangegaan het en vir die werkgewers en werknemers wat lede van genoemde organisasies of verenigings is; en
(b) kragtens artikel 48 (1) (b) van genoemde Wet, dat die bepaling van die Wysigingsoorenkoms, uitgevoer deur die vervat in klausule 1 (1) (a), (2) en 3 (4), met ingang van de eerste Maandag na die datum van publikasie van hierdie kennisgewing en vir die tydperk wat op 30 April 1987 eindig, bindend is vir al iedere ander werkgewers en werknemers as die genoem in paragraaf (a) van hierdie kennisgewing wat betrokke is by of in diens is in genoemde Onderneeming, Nywerheid, Beëind of Beroep in die gebiede in klausule 1 van die Wysigingsoorenkoms gespesifiseer.

P. T. C. DU PLESSIS,
Minister van Mannekrag
SCHEDULE
INDUSTRIAL COUNCIL FOR THE BUILDING INDUSTRY
(TRANSVAAL)

AGREEMENT

in accordance with the provisions of the Labour Relations Act, 1956, made and entered into by and between the

Master Builders’ Association (Witwatersrand and Transvaal South); and

Master Builders’ and Allied Trades Association ( Pretoria and Country Areas); and

Master Masons’ and Quarry Owners’ Association (South Africa) representing its members in the Monumental Masonry Industry

(hereinafter referred to as the “employers” or the “employers’ organisations”), of the one part, and the

Amalgamated Union of Building Trade Workers of South Africa and

White Building Workers’ Union

(hereinafter referred to as the “employees” or the “trade unions”), of the other part,

being the parties to the Industrial Council for the Building Industry (Transvaal),


CHAPTER I

1. AREA AND SCOPE OF APPLICATION OF AGREEMENT

(1) The terms of this Agreement shall be observed in the Building and Monumental Masonry Industries—

(a) by all employers who are members of the employers’ organisations and by all employees who are members of the trade unions,

(b) (i) in the Magisterial Districts of Alberton, Balfour, Benoni, Boksburg, Brakpan, Delmas, Germiston, Heidelberg (Transvaal), Johannesburg, Nigel, Randburg, Randfontein (excluding that portion which falls outside a radius of 48.28 km of the General Post Office, Krugersdorp), Roodepoort, Springs and Wonderboom (excluding that portion which falls outside a radius of 32.18 km of the General Post Office, Pretoria), the area within a radius of 48.28 km from the General Post Office, Krugersdorp; the area within a radius of 32.18 km from the General Post Office, Vereeniging, the area within a radius of 32.18 km from the General Post Office, Pretoria (excluding that portion of the Black Area Ursavagond JQ 4341 which falls within the said radius), the areas within a radius of 16.69 km from the General Post Offices, Klerksdorp, Potchefstroom, Watbank and Middelburg (Transvaal) respectively, and in the Magisterial District of Kempton Park (excluding that portion which falls outside a radius of 16.69 km from the General Post Office, Pretoria), and which, prior to the publication of Government Notice 351 of 29 March 1956, fell within the Magisterial District of Pretoria,

(ii) in the Magisterial District of Bethal (including that portion of the Magisterial District of Hovelsdriif which, prior to 1 March 1979, fell within the Magisterial District of Bethal)

(2) Notwithstanding the provisions of subclause (1), the terms of this Agreement shall—

(a) only apply to those classes of employees for whom wages are prescribed in this Agreement and to learners, apprentices and trainees only in so far as they are not inconsistent with the provisions of the Manpower Training Act, 1981, or any conditions prescribed or any notice served in terms thereof,

(c) apply to “labour-only” contractors, working partners and working directors, principals and contractors,

(d) apply to foremen and general foremen,

(e) not apply to clerical employees and administrative staff,

(f) not apply to persons who are engaged in the installation or waring of lighting, heating or other permanent electrical fixtures in buildings or the repair or maintenance of life in buildings,

(g) not apply to university students and graduates in building science and construction supervision, construction surveyors and other such persons doing practical work in the completion of their academic training,

(h) not include the Iron, Steel, Engineering and Metallurgical Industries as defined in paragraph G of the Certificate of Registration of the National Industrial Council for the Iron, Steel, Engineering and Metallurgical Industry of South Africa,

(i) be subject to the provisions of any determination by the Industrial Court in relation to the Building Industry and the Furniture Industry


BYLAE

1. GEBIED EN TOEPASSINGSBESTEK VAN OORENKOMENS

(1) Hierdie Ooreenkoms moet in die Bou- en Monumentalhmasonery

wesende nagekom word—

(a) deur al die werkgeewers wat lede is van die werkgewersorganisasies en deur al die werknemers wat lede is van die tradeunie-

(b) (i) in die landdrostdistrikte Alberton, Balfour, Benoni, Boksburg, Brakpan, Delmas, Germiston, Heidelberg (Transvaal), Johannesburg, Nigel, Randburg, Randfontein (yonkousonder daardie gedeelte wat buite ’n straal van 48.28 km vanaf die Hoofpos
toekantoor, Krugersdorp, val), Roodepoort, Springs en Wonderboom (yonkousonder daardie gedeelte wat buite ’n straal van 32.18 km vanaf die Hoofpostkantoors, Pretoria, val), die gebied buite ’n straal van 48.28 km vanaf die Hoofpostkantoors, Krugersdorp, die gebied buite ’n straal van 32.18 km vanaf die Hoofpostkantoors, Pretoria, die gebied buite ’n straal van 16.69 km vanaf die Hoofpostkantoors op onderskiedelik Klerks
dorp, Potchefstroom, Watbank en Middelburg (Transvaal); en in die landdrostdistrik Kempton Park (yonkousonder daardie gedeelte wat buite ’n straal van 32.18 km vanaf die Hoofpostkantoors, Pretoria, val, en wat voor die publikasie van Goewerstakenkragsweg 351 van 29 Maart 1956, huve die landdrostdistrik Pretoria gehaal het),

(ii) in die landdrostdistrik Bethal (met inbegrip van daardie gedeelte van die landdrostdistrik Hoofwylde wat voor 1 Maart 1979 huve die landdrostdistrik Bethal geval het).

(2) Ondanks subklusie (1), is hierdie Ooreenkoms—

(a) slegs van toepassing op die klasse werknemers wat siele in hierdie Ooreenkoms voorgekry word en op leerling-ambassadane,

(b) van toepassing op vakkieersels en kwelkiesels slegs sover dit nie strydig is met die Wet op Minnekragsopleiding, 1981, of met voor
dwong van kragswegs wat daaringsmede voorgekry of bestel is nie,

(c) van toepassing op “slegs-arbeid”—kontakters, werkende vennot en werkende durekoms, principale en aanvoerders,

(d) van toepassing op voorman en algemene voorman,

(e) deur al die werknemers wat lede is van die tradeunie—

(f) die van toepassing nie op persone wat betreklik by die insteek- of bediening van elektriese lig, verwarmings- en ander permanent waselektrosetiebehoere in geboue of die herstel of onderhoud van bysers in geboue,

(g) van toepassing nie op universiteitstudente en -geregistrerde in die bouwenskap en konstruktieweskundiges, konstruktiewekmeters en ander persone wat begaar is om praktiese werk ter voltooing van hul akademiese opleiding te doen,

(h) van toepassing nie op die Yzer-, Staal-, Ingenieurs- en Metallur
giese Nywerhede soos omskryf in paragraaf G van die Registerwerk
tagting van die Nasionale Nywerheidswet vir die Yzer-, Staal-, Ingenieurs- en Metallurgiese Nywerheid van Suid-Afrika,

(i) onderwore aan die bepaling van al die vastgestelde deur die Bouwenskap met betrekking tot die Bouwenskap en die Meubel-
ywering
2. CHAPTER I — CLAUSE 4 WAGES

"(1) (a) General.—No employer shall pay and no employee shall accept wages at rates lower than the following, read with the remaining provisions of this clause:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employees</th>
<th>Wages Per hour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Master craftsman</td>
<td>R 7.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Craftsman</td>
<td>6.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Artisan</td>
<td>5.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Artisan (waterproofing)</td>
<td>5.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Waterproofing team leader</td>
<td>2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Waterproofer</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Artisan (ceiling and/or partition erector)</td>
<td>5.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Ceiling and partition worker</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Learner ceiling and/or partition erector: First year</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Learner ceiling and/or partition erector: Second year</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Learner ceiling and/or partition erector: Third year</td>
<td>2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Artisan (resin-laid floor layer)</td>
<td>5.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Resin-laid floor layer</td>
<td>4.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Learner resin-laid floor layer: First year</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Learner resin-laid floor layer: Second year</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Learner resin-laid floor layer: Third year</td>
<td>2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Artisan (carpet layer)</td>
<td>5.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Carpet fitter</td>
<td>4.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Learner carpet fitter: First year</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Learner carpet fitter: Second year</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Learner carpet fitter: Third year</td>
<td>2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Artisan (mass manufacturing)</td>
<td>5.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Machine operator (mass manufacturing)</td>
<td>4.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Machine assembler (mass manufacturing)</td>
<td>2.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Manufacturing worker (mass manufacturing)</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Learner artisan (mass manufacturing): First year</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Learner artisan (mass manufacturing): Second year</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Learner artisan (mass manufacturing): Third year</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Artisan (mass manufacturing): Fourth year</td>
<td>2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Artisan’s assistant</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Block layer</td>
<td>2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32. Learner block layer</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Planer operator</td>
<td>2.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34. Learner planer</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35. Artisan planer: Second year</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36. Learner planer: Third year</td>
<td>2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37. Artisan planer: Fourth year</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38. Apprentice: First year</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39. Apprentice: Second year</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40. Apprentice: Third year</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41. General worker—Area A, on construction</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42. General worker—Area B, on construction</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43. General worker—Area C, on construction</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44. General worker—Not on construction</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45. General worker—Waterproofing</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46. General worker—Resistant floor laying</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47. General worker—Carpet laying</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48. General worker—Mass manufacturing</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49. General worker</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50. Cleaner</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

51. Night watchman (per shift) | 12.01 |

2. HOOFSTUK I KLOUSele 4. LONE

"(1) (a) General.—Geen lone wat laer is as deur hierdie genoem, geleens met die res van die bepalings van hierdie klousule, mag deur 'n werkgewer betaal en deur 'n werknemer aangeneem word.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Werknemers</th>
<th>Lone Per uur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Meestervakman</td>
<td>R 7.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Vakman</td>
<td>6.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Ambagsman</td>
<td>5.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Ambagsman (waterdiking)</td>
<td>5.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Waterdikingaspxeiser</td>
<td>2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Waterdikingswerker</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Ambagsman (plafon- en/of afskortingsoprieder)</td>
<td>5.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Plafon- en afskortingsoprieder</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Leerling-plafon- en/of afskortingsoprieder Eerste jaar</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Leerling-plafon- en/of afskortingsoprieder Tweede jaar</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Leerling-plafon- en/of afskortingsoprieder Derde jaar</td>
<td>2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Ambagsman (veerkragtsgevoeliger)</td>
<td>5.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Veerkragtsgevoeliger</td>
<td>4.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Leerling-veerkragtsgevoeliger Eerste jaar</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Leerling-veerkragtsgevoeliger Tweede jaar</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Leerling-veerkragtsgevoeliger Derde jaar</td>
<td>2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Ambagsman (maakleur)</td>
<td>5.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Maakleur</td>
<td>4.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Leerling-maakleur Eerste jaar</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Leerling-maakleur Tweede jaar</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Leerling-maakleur Derde jaar</td>
<td>2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Ambagsman (massavervarning)</td>
<td>5.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Masjienbediener (massavervarning)</td>
<td>4.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Skryfwerkmonteur Eerste jaar</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Vervarningsswerker (massavervarning)</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Leerling-maakman (massavervarning) Eerste jaar</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Leerling-maakman (massavervarning) Tweede jaar</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Leerling-maakman (massavervarning) Derde jaar</td>
<td>2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Leerling-maakman (massavervarning) Vierde jaar</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Ambagsassistent</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Blokker</td>
<td>2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 Leerling-blokker</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 Toenisbediener</td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 Leerling-maakman Eerste jaar</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 Leerling-maakman Tweede jaar</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 Leerling-maakman Derde jaar</td>
<td>2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 Leerling-maakman Vierde jaar</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 Vakkieerling Eerste jaar</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39 Vakkieerling Tweede jaar</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 Vakkieerling Derde jaar</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 Algemene werker—Gebed A, op konstrukse</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 Algemene werker—Gebed B, op konstrukse</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 Algemene werker—Gebed C, op konstrukse</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 Algemene werker—nie op konstrukse nie</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 Algemene werker—Waterdiking</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 Algemene werker—Plafonie en afskortings</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47 Algemene werker—veerkragtsgevoeliger</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48 Algemene werker—Maakleur</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49 Algemene werker—Massavervarning</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 Skoomaker</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

51. Nagwag (per skof) | 12.01

2. VOEG die volgende nuwe klousule in in:

"(1) (b) Die loopsaal vir ambagsmanne wat as sodanig deur die Raad geregister is en wat daardie status verkry het deur die leerlingaakma van die Raad, by sowp gepublisie deur Goowemerkensgawening R 2374 in Staatskoerant 9971 van 18 Oktober 1983.

3. CHAPTER IV.—CONTRIBUTIONS TO FUNDS

CLAUSE I—GENERAL

(1) In subclause (5), delete paragraph (c).

(2) Insert the following new subclause:

"(SA) Every employer shall pay to the Secretary of the Council the amount which he is required to contribute to the Building Industry Training Fund in terms of clause 7 (3) of Government Notice R 1886 of 31 August 1984. The amounts collected in terms of this subclause shall from time to time be paid over to the Building Industries Federation (South Africa)."

3. HOOFSTUK IV.—BYDRAE TOT FONDE

KLOUSele I—ALGEMEEN

(1) In subklousule (5), skrap paragraaf (c).

(2) Verminder alle bede in subklousule (5) met R 1,50.

(3) Voeg die volgende nuwe klousule in:

"(SA) Elke werkgewer moet die bedrag wat by verplig is om tot die Opleidingsfonds van die Boumylenfond ingestel volgens klousule 7 (3) van Goowemerkensgawening R 1886 van 31 Augustus 1984 by te dra, aan die Secretarie van die Raad betaal. Die bede ingevorderd meegoe die boumylenfonds moet vanaf tyd tot tyd tot aan die Boumylenfond (South Africa) oorbetal word."

51. Nagwag (per skof) | 12.01
(4) In subclause 11, substitute the amount of R0,19 for the amount of R0,12 wherever it appears.

Signed at Johannesburg this 27th day of March 1986

J. A. BARROW (Jr),
Chairman.

G. H. BEETGE,
Vice-Chairman.

W. DE J. STAPELBERG,
General Secretary

No. R. 2287  31 October 1986

LABOUR RELATIONS ACT, 1956
LIQUOR AND CATERING TRADE, CAPE.—
RENEWAL OF MAIN AGREEMENT


M. W. J. LE ROUX,
Director: Manpower.

No. R. 2288  31 October 1986

LABOUR RELATIONS ACT, 1956
LIQUOR AND CATERING TRADE, CAPE.—
RENEWAL OF MAIN AGREEMENT

I, Matthias Willems Johannes Le Roux, Director: Manpower, duly authorised thereto by the Minister of Manpower, hereby, in terms of section 48 (4) (a) (ii) of the Labour Relations Act, 1956, declare the provisions of Government Notice R. 1298 of 24 June 1983 to be effective from 1 November 1986 and for the period ending 31 January 1987.

M. W. J. LE ROUX,
Director: Manpower.

DEPARTMENT OF MINERAL AND
ENERGY AFFAIRS

No. R. 2264  31 October 1986

MINES AND WORKS ACT, 1956 (ACT 27 OF 1956)
AMENDMENT OF REGULATIONS

The Minister of Mineral and Energy Affairs has, under section 12 of the Mines and Works Act, 1956 (Act 27 of 1956), made the regulations set out in the Schedule.

SCHEDULE

Building Industry Training Scheme set forth in clause 4 of the Scheme in terms of the Manpower Training Act, 1981, published under Government Notice R 1866 of 31 August 1984, the collection of contributions in accordance with the procedure hereunder uiteengesteld.

(2) Every employer shall pay to the Secretary of the Council the amount which he is required to contribute to the Training Fund in terms of clause 3 of the said Government Notice.

(3) The amounts paid by employers in terms of subclause (2) less a collection fee of 2½ per cent, shall be paid by the Council from time to time to the Training Fund.

PART II
SPECIAL PROVISIONS APPLICABLE TO THE TIMBER TRADE IN THE BUILDING INDUSTRY

1. SCOPE OF APPLICATION

(1) The terms of Part II of this Agreement shall be observed in the Timber Trade of the Building Industry—

(a) by all employers and employees who are members of the employers' organisations and the trade unions, respectively,

(b) in the Magisterial Districts of Alexandra, Bathurst, Beaufort West, Calvinia, George, Humansdorp, Joubertina, Ladismith, Kayna, Mossel Bay, Oudtshoorn, Port Elizabeth (excluding that portion which, prior to the publication of Government Notice R 1975 of 26 September 1980, fell within the Magisterial District of Hankey), Riversdale, Uitenhage, Uniondale and that portion of the Magisterial District of Hankey which, prior to 1 November 1963, fell within the Magisterial District of Port Elizabeth.

2. CLAUSE 2.—SPECIAL PROVISIONS

Substitute the following for clause 2:

"2. SPECIAL PROVISIONS

The provisions contained in clauses 2 (2), 3 (as amended by clause 9 of the Re-enacting Agreement and clause 7 of Government Notice R 557 of 27 March 1986 and clause 4 hereunder) to 15 (1) inclusive of Part II of the Former Agreement shall apply to employers and employees."

3. CLAUSE 3.—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Substitute the following for clause 3:

"3. GENERAL PROVISIONS

The provisions contained in clauses 1 (2), 2 (1), 3 (as amended by clause 4 of the Re-enacting Agreement), 4 (as amended by clause 5 of the Re-enacting Agreement, clause 4 of Government Notice R 1973 of 6 September 1983 and clause 4 of Government Notice R 557 of 27 March 1986), 5, 6 (as amended by clause 5 of the Re-enacting Agreement), 7 (as amended by clause 6 of the Re-enacting Agreement), 8 (as amended by clause 7 of the Re-enacting Agreement, clause 5 of Government Notice R 1973 of 6 September 1983 and clause 5 of Government Notice R 557 of 27 March 1986), 9, 10, 11, 12 and 15 (2) to 18 of Part II of the Former Agreement shall apply to employers and employees."

4. CLAUSE 15 OF PART II OF THE FORMAL AGREEMENT.—EMPLOYERS' ORGANISATION LEVY

In subclause (1), substitute the figure "27c." for the figure "18c."

Signed at Port Elizabeth, on behalf of the parties, this 30th day of May 1986.

E. A. CILLIERS,
Chairman of the Council.

J. P. ERASMUS,
Vice-Chairman of the Council.

V. H. LE ROUX,
General Secretary of the Council.

No. R. 2266
31 October 1986
LABOUR RELATIONS ACT, 1956

INDUSTRIAL COUNCIL FOR THE MOTOR TRANSPORT UNDERTAKING (GOODS)—AMENDMENT OF AGREEMENT

1. Pieter Theunis Christiaan du Plessis, Minister of Manpower, hereby—

(a) in terms of section 48 (1) (a) of the Labour Relations Act, 1956, declare that the provisions of the Agreement (hereinafter referred to as the Amending

Opleidingskema vir die Bouwerwereld uiteengestel in kwestie 4 van die Skema kragtens die Wet op Mannekragpleging, 1981, gepubliseer by Goewermentskennisgewing R 1866 van 31 Augustus 1984, die invoer- ning van byders onderkominge Gemeen. Hierdie procedure is as volgt:

(2) Elk werkgever moet die bedrag wat by inhele kwestie 7 (3) van genoemde Goewermentskennisgewing tot die Opleidingsfonds moet by- dra, aan die Sekretaris van die Raad betaal.

(3) Die bedrag wat byhele subkwestie 2 (2) deur werkgevers betaal word, moet deur die Raad van tyd tot tyd aan die Opleidingsfonds betaal word.

DEEL II
SPESIALE BEPALINGS WAT OP DIE HOUTNYWERHEID IN DIE BOUNYWERHEID VAN TOEPASSING IS

1. TOEPASSINGSBESTEK

(1) Deel II van hierdie Ooreenkomst moet in die Houtnywerheid van die Bouwerwereld ingeskakeld word—

(a) deur alle werkgevers en werknemers wat lede is van onderskeidelik die werkgewersorganisasies en die vakverenigings;

(b) in die landdruistrikte Alexandreia, Bathurst, Beaufort West, Calvinia, George, Humansdorp, Joubertina, Ladismith, Kayna, Mossel Bay, Oudtshoorn, Port Elizabeth (uitsondering daaraan gemaak deur die publikasie van Goewermentskennisgewing R. 1974 van 26 September 1980 en die landdruistrik Hankey wat, voor en na 1 November 1963, deel van die landdruistrik Hankey was, deel van die landdruistrik Hankey word.

2. KLOUSELE 2.—SPESIALE BEPALINGS

Vervang klosule 2 deur die volgende

"2. SPESIALE BEPALINGS

Klosule 2 (2), 3 (soos gewysig by klosule 9 van die herbekrag- tingsooreenkomst en klosule 7 van Goewermentskennisgewing R 557 van 27 Maart 1986 en klosule 4 homer) tot en met 15 (1) van Deel II van die vorige Ooreenkomst is van toepassing op werkgevers en werknemers."

3. KLOUSELE 3.—ALGEMENE BEPALINGS

Vervang klosule 3 deur die volgende

"3. ALGEMENE BEPALINGS

Klosule 1 (2), 2 (1), 3 (soos gewysig by klosule 4 van die Herbekrag- tingsooreenkomst), 4 (soos gewysig by klosule 5 van die Herbekrag- tingsooreenkomst), 5 (soos gewysig by klosule 6 van die Herbekrag- tingsooreenkomst), 6 (soos gewysig by klosule 7 van die Herbekrag- tingsooreenkomst), 7 (soos gewysig by klosule 8 van die Herbekrag- tingsooreenkomst), 8 (soos gewysig by klosule 9 van die Herbekrag- tingsooreenkomst) en klosule 6 van Goewermentskennisgewing R 1973 van 6 September 1985, 11, 12 en 15 (2) tot en met 18 van Deel II van die vorige Ooreenkomst is van toepassing op werkgevers en werknemers."

4 KLOUSELE 13 VAN DEEL II VAN DIE VORIGE OOREN- KOMS.—HEFFING VIR WERKGWERSORGANISASIE

In subklosule (1), vervang die by "18c." deur die by "27c."

Nannie's partyje op hede die 31ste dag van Mei 1986 te Port Elizabeth onderteken.

E. A. CILLIERS,
Voorsitter van die Raad.

J. P. ERASMUS,
Onvoorsitter van die Raad.

V. H. LE ROUX,
Hoofsekretaris van die Raad.

No. R. 2266
31 Oktober 1986
WET OP ARBEIDSVERHoudINGE, 1956

NYWERHEIDSRAAD VIR DIE MOTORVERVOER- ONDERNEMING (GOEDERE)—WYSIGING VAN OOREN- KOMS

Ek, Pieter Theunis Christiaan du Plessis, Minister van Man- powerful, verklaar hierby—

(a) kragtens artikel 48 (1) (a) van die Wet op Arbeidsver- houdinge, 1956, dat die bepalings van die Ooreen- komst (hierna die Wysigingsooreenkomst genoem) wat
Agreement) which appears in the Schedule hereto and which relates to the Undertaking, Industry, Trade or Occupation referred to in the heading to this notice, shall be binding, with effect from the second Monday after the date of publication of this notice and for the period ending 31 December 1986, upon the employers’ organisation and the trade unions which entered into the Amending Agreement and upon the employers and employees who are members of the said organisation or unions; and

(b) in terms of section 48 (1) (b) of the said Act, declare that the provisions of the Amending Agreement, excluding those contained in clauses 1 (1) (a) and 3 shall be binding, with effect from the second Monday after the date of publication of this notice and for the period ending 31 December 1986, upon all employers and employees, other than those referred to in paragraph (a) of this notice, who are engaged or employed in the said Undertaking, Industry, Trade or Occupation in the areas specified in clause 1 of the Amending Agreement.

P. T. C. DU PLESSIS,
Minister of Manpower.

SCHEDULE

INDUSTRIAL COUNCIL FOR THE MOTOR TRANSPORT UNDERTAKING (GOODS)

AGREEMENT

In accordance with the provisions of the Labour Relations Act, 1956, made and entered into by and between the

Motor Transport Owners’ Association of South Africa
(hereinafter referred to as the “employers” or the “employers’ organisation”), of the one part, and the

Motor Transport Workers’ Union (South Africa)
the
South African Transport Workers’ Union
and the
Transport Workers’ Union of South Africa
(hereinafter referred to as the “employees” or the “trade unions”), of the other part,

being the parties to the Industrial Council for the Motor Transport Undertaking (Goods),


I. SCOPE OF APPLICATION

(1) The terms of this Agreement shall be observed in the Motor Transport Undertaking (Goods)—

(a) by all employers who are members of the employers’ organisation and by all employees who are members of the trade unions, who are engaged or employed therein, respectively,

(b) in the Magisterial Districts of Alberton, Benoni, Boksburg, Brakpan (excluding those portions of the Magisterial Districts of Boksburg and Brakpan which, prior to the publication of Government Notice 1779 of 6 November 1964, fell within the Magisterial District of Heidelberg, and excluding those portions of the Magisterial District of Brakpan which, prior to 1 April 1966 and 1 July 1972 (Government Notices 498 and 871 of 1 April 1966 and 26 May 1972, respectively), fell within the Magisterial District of Nigel), Delmas, Germiston, Johannesburg, Kempton Park (excluding those portions which, prior to 29 March 1956 and 1 November 1970 (Government Notices 356 and 1618 of 29 March 1956 and 2 November 1970, respectively), fell within the Magisterial District of Pretoria), Krugersdorp (including those portions of the Magisterial Districts of Koster and Brits which, prior to 26 July 1963 and 1 June 1972, respectively (Government Notices 1105 of 26 July 1963 and 872 of 26 May 1972), fell within the Magisterial District of Krugersdorp), Oberholzer (excluding that portion of the Magisterial District of Oberholzer which, prior to the publication of Government Notices 1748 of 1 September 1978, fell within the Magisterial District of Kimberley) and the Magisterial Districts of Kimberley and Kimberley West

in die Bylae hiervan verskyn en betrekking het op die Onderneming, Nywerheid, Bedryf of Beroep in die opskrif by hierdie kennisgewing vermeld, met ingang van die tweede Maandag na die datum van publikasie van hierdie kennisgewing en vir die tydperk wat op 31 December 1986 eindig, bindend is vir die werkgewersorganisasie en die vakverenigings wat die Wysigingsoorlokkoms aangaan het en vir die werkgewers en werknemers wat lede van genoemde organisasie of verenigings is, en

(b) kragtens artikel 48 (1) (b) van genoemde Wet, dat die bepalings van die Wysigingsoorlokkoms, uitgesondé deé vervat in klausules 1 (1) (a) en 3 met ingang van die tweede Maandag na die datum van publikasie van hierdie kennisgewing en vir die tydperk wat op 31 December 1986 eindig, bindend is vir alle ander werkgewers en werknemers as die genoem in paragraaf (a) van hierdie kennisgewing wat betrokke is by of in diens is in genoemde Onderneming, Nywerheid, Bedryf of Beroep in die gebiede in klausule 1 van die Wysigingsoorlokkoms gespesifiseer.

P. T. C. DU PLESSIS,
Minister van Mannekrag.

BYLAE

NYWERHEIDSRAAD VIR DIE MOTORVERVOERONDERNEMING (GÖDERE)

OORENKOMS

toe die Wet op Arbeidverhoudinge, 1956, gesluit deur en aangegaan tussen die

Motor Transport Owners Association of South Africa
(hierdie die "werkgewers" of die "werkgewersorganisasie" genoem), aan die een kant, en die

South African Transport Workers Union
en die

Transport Workers Union of South Africa
(hierdie die "werknerwer" of die "vakverenigings" genoem), aan die ander kant,

wat die partye is by die Nywerheidsraad vir die Motorvervoeronderneming (Gödere),
on die Ooreenkoms gepubliseer by Goewermentskennisgewing 2253 van 14 Oktober 1983, is geneem van Goewermentskennisgewings 1131 van 8 Julie 1984 en R. 2789 van 20 December 1985, te wyng

1. TOEPASSINGSBESTEK

(1) Hierdie Ooreenkoms moet in die Motorvervoeronderneming (Gödere) nagekomen word—

(a) deur alle werkgewers wat lede van die werkgewersorganisasie is en deur alle werknemers wat lede van die vakverenigings is, wat onderskeidelik deur bogenoemde Onderneming betrokke of daarin werkzaam is,

(b) in die landdruisstreek Alberton, Benoni, Boksburg, Brakpan (uitsluitend daardie gedeeltes van die landdruisstreek Boksburg en Brakpan wat vir die publikasie van Goewermentskennisgewing 1779 van 6 November 1964 binne die landdruisstreek Heidelberg verval het, en uitgesondé daardie gedeeltes van die landdruisstreek Brakpan wat vir 1 April 1966 en 1 Julie 1972 (Goewermentskennisgewings 498 en 871 van onderskeidelik 1 April 1966 en 26 Meis 1972) binne die landdruisstreek Nigel genoeg het), Delmas, Germiston, Johannesburg, Kempton Park (uitsluitend daardie gedeeltes wat vir 26 Julie 1963 en 1 November 1970 (Goewermentskennisgewings 566 en 1618 van onderskeidelik 29 Maart 1956 en 1 Julie 1970) binne die landdruisstreek Pretoria genoeg het), Krugersdorp (met inbegrip van daardie gedeeltes van die landdruisstreek Koster en Brits wat vir onderskeidelik 26 Julie 1963 en 1 Julie 1972 (Goewermentskennisgewings 1105 van 26 Julie 1963 en 872 van 26 Meis 1972) binne die landdruisstreek Krugersdorp genoeg het), Oberholzer (uitsluitend daardie gedeeltes van die landdruisstreek Oberholzer wat voor die publikasie van Goewermentskennisgewing 1745 van 1 September 1978 binne die landdruisstreek Potchefstroom genoeg het), Randburg (uitsluitend daardie
District of Potchefstroom, Randburg (excluding that portion which, prior to the publication of Government Notice 2152 of 22 November 1974, fell within the Magistrate District of Pretoria), Randfontein (including that portion of the Magistrate District of Koster which, prior to the publication of Government Notice 1105 of 26 July 1963, fell within the Magistrate District of Randfontein, but excluding the farms Moodways 1, Hollontien 17, Leeuwpan 18, Leeuwpan 19, Lingen 21 and 48, Boesmansfontein 26, Roodepoort, Springs, Velderburgpark, Vereeniging en Westanra),

(2) Notwithstanding the provisions of subclause (1), the terms of this Agreement shall only apply to employees for whom minimum wages are prescribed in this Agreement and to employers of such employees.

(3) Notwithstanding the provisions of subclause (1), the provisions of this Agreement shall not apply to—

(a) an owner who drives his own vehicle and the employees employed in connection with such a vehicle, and

(b) an employer who operates one truck with one driver and the employees employed by such an employer.

2. CLAUSE 15—SICK FUND

(1) Substitute the following for subclauses (2) and (3)—

"(2) (a) Sick leave payments—The Council shall, subject to the provisions contained herein and the provisions of subclause (3), pay out a Sick Fund to a driver, security officer, Grade A, or general worker who is absent from work through illness or accident not due to his own misconduct or neglect to an amount equal to the monthly sick leave contributions specified in subclause (1) (a) (i) for an employee of his class for every working day of his absence on sick leave Provided that—

(i) the Council holds money for the credit of such employee,

(ii) he produces a medical certificate or any other suitable medical evidence in respect of his absence from work through illness and proves satisfactory evidence of identification,

(iii) no employee shall qualify for sick pay during his first month of employment in the Undertaking with the same employer, and thereafter only to the extent of one month’s sick leave contributions for every completed 21 shifts of employment in the Undertaking,

(iv) no payment shall be made for the absence of less than eight hours working time on any one working day,

(v) payment for absence owing to injury uncompensable under the Workmen’s Compensation Act shall be limited to the rates specified in subclause (1) (a), less any amount payable to the injured employee under the Workmen’s Compensation Act for loss of wages.

(b) Sick leave bonus payment—Subject to the provisions of subclause (3), a driver, security officer, Grade A, and general worker shall for every completed 252-shift cycle of service in the Undertaking be entitled to a sick leave bonus consisting of the sick leave contribution remitted for him in terms of subclause (1) (a) for the 252-shift period, less any sick pay actually paid to him during such 252-shift cycle of service in the Undertaking. The sick leave bonus shall accrue 252 shifts or 52 weeks (whichever is the sooner) after completion of the first cycle of 252 shifts. Each successive bonus shall accrue in a like manner 252 shifts or 52 weeks (whichever is the sooner) after completion of every cycle of 252 shifts or 52 weeks’ service.

(2) Insert the following new subclause (3)—

"(3) The Council shall, subject to the provisions contained herein, pay monthly, by not later than the seventh day of the succeeding month, to a registered insurance company nominated by an employee’s trade union an amount of R2,00 in respect of every employee who has completed a shift cycle of 252 shifts during the month preceding the past month and who is a member of one of the following trade unions. Motor Transport Workers Union (South Africa), South African Transport Workers’ Union, Transport Workers’ Union of South Africa and who has had the Sick Fund contribution, prescribed in terms of subclause (1) (a), remitted for his credit to this Council by the employer in respect of the trade union’s Death and Burial Insurance Scheme Provided that—

(i) if called upon by the Secretary of the Council, the trade union shall furnish proof of current membership for any employee who it is claimed is a trade union member, and

(ii) the employer’s relevant monthly contribution cheque has been honoured by his bankers."
(ii) In the case of an employee who is a member of the South African Transport Workers' Union...

(iii) In the case of an employee who is a member of the Transport Workers' Union of South Africa

Signed at Johannesburg for and on behalf of the parties to the Council this 17th day of June 1985.

G. F. VAN NIEKERK,
Chairman.

S. TSHABALALA,
Vice-Chairman.

E. NEL,
Secretary.

No. R. 2267
31 October 1986

BASIC CONDITIONS OF EMPLOYMENT ACT, 1983
CONTINUOUS WORKING

I, Joël Daniël Fourie, Chief Director: Labour Relations, duly authorised thereto by the Minister of Manpower, hereby in terms of section 33 (1) of the Basic Conditions of Employment Act, 1983, declare the manufacture of parts of wood, as carried out by Interboard SA (Pty) Limited at Wadeville, to be an activity with respect to which work may be performed continuously in three shifts per 24 hours, seven days a week: Provided that the conditions of employment, as published under Government Notice R 2167 of 28 September 1984, or any Government Notice published in substitution thereof, be adhered to.

J. D. FOURIE,
Chief Director: Labour Relations.

No. R. 2286
31 October 1986

LABOUR RELATIONS ACT, 1956

BUILDING AND MONUMENTAL MASONRY INDUSTRIES (TRANSVAAL)—AMENDMENT OF MAIN AGREEMENT

I, Pieter Theunis Christiaan du Plessis, Minister of Manpower, hereby—

(a) in terms of section 48 (1) (a) of the Labour Relations Act, 1956, declare that the provisions of the Agreement (hereinafter referred to as the Amending Agreement) which appears in the Schedule thereto and which relates to the Undertaking, industry, Trade or Occupation referred to in the heading to this notice, shall be binding, with effect from the first Monday after the date of publication of this notice and for the period ending 30 April 1987, upon the employers’ organisations and the trade unions which entered into the Amending Agreement and upon the employers and employees who are members of the said organisations or unions; and

(b) in terms of section 48 (1) (b) of the said Act, declare that the provisions of the Amending Agreement, excluding those contained in clauses 1 (1) (a), 2 and 3 (4) shall be binding, with effect from the first Monday after the date of publication of this notice and for the period ending 30 April 1987, upon all employers and employees, other than those referred to in paragraph (a) of this notice, who are engaged or employed in the said Undertaking, industry, Trade or Occupation in the areas specified in clause 1 of the Amending Agreement

P. T. C. DU PLESSIS,
Minister of Manpower.
Important changes to SA’s Labour Laws

Department of Manpower Preparing
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Mixed reaction to proposed changes

PROPOSED changes to industrial legislation have received a lukewarm reception from Cape labour lawyers and academics.

Dr Piet van der Merwe, director general of Manpower, spelt out the changes in Johannesburg this week concerning the Industrial Court, conciliation boards and the definitions of an unfair labour practice and unfair dismissal.

He said the role of the Industrial Court would be enhanced and parties given the right to appeal directly to the Supreme Court.

At present parties only have the right to have an Industrial Court case reviewed by the Supreme Court in very limited circumstances.

Although many labour lawyers have called for a full right of appeal, especially in the light of some contradictory industrial court rulings, an attorney with the Legal Resources Centre, Mr Lee Bozalek, said an unqualified or automatic right of appeal could mean prolonged and expensive litigation over Industrial Court rulings.

"This would be a blow to one of the Industrial Court's chief strengths, being a direct and quick route for the remedy of industrial problems," he said.

Experts forecast problems with making legal definitions of unfairness in dismissals and labour practices and said attempts to do this would probably create loopholes.

Professor Willie Bendix, of the Stellenbosch Business School, said the exercise would be useful if it separated unfair labour practice and unfair dismissal, but apart from that making definitions looked impossible in technical terms.

The abolition of ministerial discretion in the appointment of conciliation boards was well-received.

Dr van der Merwe said parties would choose their own board chairmen and if no settlement was reached the dispute would go to the Industrial Court. The court would decide whether or not the parties had made an effort to resolve their differences. If not, it would order them back to the negotiating table.

Experts said this would eliminate delays and the "untenable" situation in which refusal to appoint a board blocked access to the Industrial Court.
Special high court to hear disputes

SA labour laws are to be given a new look by draft Bill

Proposals to revamp South Africa’s labour laws are detailed in a draft Bill published in the Government Gazette today.

Far-reaching changes to the judicial machinery governing labour relations, definitions of unfair labour practices and dismissals, and the settlement of disputes are envisaged.

Yesterday, at a Press conference in Pretoria, the Director-General of the Department of Manpower, Dr Piet van der Merwe, said he hoped the Bill represented a measure of consensus of the divergent views of participants in the labour scene.

Due date for comments

He said comment would be welcome from all interested parties, and the Bill was not by any means the final form of amendments to the Labour Relations Act.

Comment must be submitted to the department by February 6.

He added that it was hoped the Bill could be put before Parliament during the 1967 session.

The additions to the Labour Relations Act were aimed at streamlining the dispute settlement process, he said.

The contents of the draft Bill come from the National Manpower Commission, its department, and various employer and employee organisations.

The major amendments relate to the establishment of a second tier in the judicial process — a special labour court which will be part of the Supreme Court of South Africa.

Its officers will be judges, and it will fall under the control of the Minister of Justice.

The court will be empowered to hear appeals against Industrial Court decisions, and go some way to alleviate the workload at the Industrial Court.

Since the establishment of the Industrial Court in 1979, the number of cases submitted has risen dramatically, Dr van der Merwe pointed out.

In 1974 there were four, in 1980 15 — and 801 last year. Projections for this year are more than 2,000.

The Bill also provides for a right to apply for leave to appeal to the Appellate Division, and gives the court the power to award costs.

A second major aspect is the redefinition of the term “unfair labour practice.” This follows much criticism that the present definition is too wide.

Labour practices which will be “unfair” include:

- The use by a trade union or employer organisation of unconstitutional, unfair or misleading methods to canvass members.
- The replacement of one employee by another on less favourable employment conditions.
- The indirect or direct support or participation in a boycott by an employee, trade union or federation not involved in a dispute with the relevant employer.

Exclusions

- Discrimination on the grounds of race, sex or religion.
- An employer who unreasonably fails to negotiate on an industrial council or with a representative trade union or group of employees.
- A trade union which hinders an employer’s negotiations with employees not represented by the union.
- The failure by an employer or trade union to comply with an agreement, or acts without authorisation of its members.
- Any labour practice which has the effect of jeopardising or preventing the employment opportunities or work security of an employee, unfairly disrupting the business of an employer, promoting or creating labour unrest and detrimentally affecting the relationship between an employer and employee.

Certain labour practices have been excluded from the definition.

These are the dismissal of illegal strikers and the selective re-employment of dismissed workers, provided objective criteria are used.

What constitutes “objective criteria” will have to be determined by the Industrial Court.

The draft Bill also provides for the insertion of a definition of an “unfair dismissal.”

The definition will make it necessary for re-employment to be negotiated, and will give employees the right to be heard.
Major changes to SA labour laws are published

Dispatch Correspondent

Johannesburg — Major amendments to the Labour Relations Act (LRA), aimed at extending and simplifying South Africa's official dispute settling machinery, were published in Pretoria yesterday.

Comment on the draft legislation, parts of which were disclosed yesterday by the Manpower Director General, Mr Piet van der Merwe, has been invited.

The new bill provides for the establishment of a special labour court (SLC) to consider appeals from the Industrial Court, allows access to the Appellate Division for appeals against some SLC decisions, sets out new definitions on what constitutes an unfair labour practice (ULP) and an unfair dismissal, and deregulates the establishment of conciliation boards.

It contains various other minor amendments.

The SLC will operate under the jurisdiction of the Ministry of Justice and will be manned by Supreme Court judges. It will make decisions on the basis of law, justice and fairness. Appeals from the SLC to the Appellate Division will be permitted on questions of law, and not on questions of fact.

The new definitions of ULPs and unfair dismissals are based largely on previous Industrial Court judgments. However, there are aspects which are likely to prove highly controversial.

Among the proposed ULPs are failure to comply with prohibitions in the LRA, replacing one employee by another under less favourable conditions, unfairly discriminating on the grounds of race, sex or religion, and unreasonably refusing to negotiate with a representative party.

Others include concluding an agreement without authorisation from members, failing to comply with an enforceable collective agreement, and unfairly disrupting the business of employers and promoting labour unrest.

Another aspect is a union's support for a boycott of a company not directly involved in a dispute with it. Thus, it appears, unions may not take 'secondary' boycott action. Also, a union not directly involved in a dispute may apparently not support a boycott called by another.

No lawful strike can constitute an ULP. The selective re-employment of dismissed workers, too, is not in itself an ULP. However, selection criteria must be objective and fair.

According to the bill, a dismissal is unfair if there is no valid and fair reason for it, the affected employee has not been given a fair opportunity to state his case, and an agreed procedure has not been followed. Retrenchments are unfair where reasonable notice has not been given, selection criteria are not reasonable, and employees or their unions have not been consulted.

The fourth major aspect of the bill is the withdrawal of ministerial prerogative for the establishment of a conciliation board. This is expected to expedite the process. From now on boards will be established, as a right, by divisional inspectors of the Manpower Department, subject only to the applications being technically correct.

Mr Clive Thompson of the Centre for Applied Legal Studies at the University of the Witwatersrand described the bill as "mostly an advance." However, he added, some aspects should be carefully reconsidered to iron out certain perversions and anomalies.
Court instituted to consider labour appeals

PRETORIA — A special labour court, to consider appeals against Industrial Court decisions, is provided for in proposed amendments to the Labour Relations Act published in yesterday's Government Gazette in Pretoria.

The draft Amendment Labour Relations Bill also introduces a new definition — that of an "unfair dismissal". It contains adjustments to the definition of an "unfair labour practice", and provides for simplified procedures whereby conciliation boards are established.

The proposed definition for an "unfair dismissal" includes the dismissal of an employee without "a valid and fair reason", or without reasonable notice and consultation with employees or their trade union, or the making of an unreasonable selection of employees to be dismissed.

An employee should also be given "a fair opportunity to state his case prior to dismissal."

The director-general of Manpower, Dr Piet van der Merwe, told a news conference in Pretoria yesterday the definition of an "unfair labour practice" had been amended to obtain greater clarity on what the term meant.

According to the draft bill, it would be an unfair labour practice to discriminate against an employee — but not job applicant — or employer on the grounds of race, sex or religion.

A dismissal arising from an illegal strike would, however, not be considered unfair.

Dr van der Merwe invited comment and suggestions by February 6, so that these could be incorporated, if necessary, into the bill, which was to be tabled in Parliament during the next session.

Dr van der Merwe said the establishment of a special labour court would alleviate the pressures on the Industrial Court, which was expected to hear 2,000 cases this year compared with 800 last year.

The Minister of Justice would appoint the Supreme Court judges to act as "judges of the special labour court."

Comment may be addressed to the Director-General Manpower, Private Bag X117, Pretoria, 0001 — Sapa.

Later report P17
New Govt guidelines on labour

A SPECIAL court consisting of Supreme Court judges has been proposed by the Minister of Manpower and Public Works to deal with the escalating labour disputes, the Director-General of Manpower, Dr P J van der Merwe, announced yesterday.

Dr van der Merwe said a proposed Draft Bill containing amendments of the Labour Relations Act of 1956, will be published in the Government Gazette today. The proposal followed recommendations from various bodies including trade unions and employers. Dr van der Merwe said:

"The purpose of the establishment of a special labour court consisting of judges, he added, was to consider appeals against decisions of the Industrial Court. The judges would be appointed by the Minister of Justice."

The proposal follows the escalating work load of labour disputes in the Industrial Court, he said. Other amendments in the Draft Bill include guidelines on unfair dismissals and labour practices.

Dr van der Merwe stressed that the Bill was not yet finalised and asked for interested parties for comments or recommendations to reach his department before February 6 next year.

Some of the proposed amendments on unfair dismissals included:
- The dismissal of an employee without a valid and fair reason.
- When reasonable notice has not been given before hand by the employer to the workers.
- When the employer have not given the employee a fair opportunity to state his case prior to dismissal, and
- When a procedure agreed upon has not been followed at termination of employment.

Guidelines defining unfair labour practice included:
- A trade union or employer's organisation which made use of unconstitutional unfair or misleading means of canvassing members.
- An employee or employer who is unfairly discriminated against on the grounds of race, sex or religion, and
- A trade union which directly or indirectly hinders an employer to negotiate with workers employed by him or some of them who are not members of such a trade union.

By MONK NKOMO
Special courts for labour

OWN CORRESPONDENT

PRETORIA. — Major amendments to the Labour Relations Act, aimed at extending and simplifying South Africa's official dispute-settling machinery were published yesterday.

The bill provides for the establishment of a special labour court to consider appeals from the Industrial Court, allows access to the Appellate Division for appeals against some special court decisions, sets out new definitions on what constitutes an unfair labour practice and an unfair dismissal and deregulates the establishment of conciliation boards.

It also contains various other minor amendments.

The new definitions of unfair labour practices and unfair dismissals are based largely on previous Industrial Court judgments.

Mr Clive Thompson of the Centre for Applied Legal Studies at Wits University described the bill as "mostly an advance".

However, he said some aspects should be carefully reconsidered to iron out certain perversions and anomalies.
EAST LONDON — The Department of Manpower has drawn attention to a new order in terms of the Labour Relations Act which lays down minimum wages for cleaning staff.

A spokesman for the department said yesterday the order, which became effective on December 1, applied to firms cleaning buildings.

The minimum pay laid down for cleaning staff is R51, 52c a week.
New SA labour laws get wide approval
Labour changes hailed

By Sheryl Rainie

Sweeping proposals to revamp South Africa's labour laws have been widely welcomed by industrial relations experts and some say the proposals are among the most enlightened in the world.

Professor Nic Wiseman, the father of labour reform in South Africa and head of Unisa's School of Business Leadership, was particularly impressed with moves to declare as unfair labour practice discrimination against employees on the grounds of race, sex or religion.

Although it was implied in the existing Labour Relations Act (LRA) that discrimination was an unfair labour practice, this is the first time in South African labour history that discrimination has been clearly and officially condemned.

"I believe the law should go even further to make this type of discrimination punishable," said Professor Wiseman.

He also welcomed proposals to establish a labour appeal court as a division of the Supreme Court.

The new proposals governing definitions of an unfair labour practice, dismissals and the settlement of disputes were published in the Government Gazette for comment yesterday.

CONTRADICTIONS

Labour lawyers largely welcomed the proposals but pointed out that there were new some fundamental contradictions in the country's labour laws.


"Although a draft Bill to scrap job reservation on the mines was tabled in Parliament last year, amendments to the Mines and Works Act haven't been passed." Another lawyer said a particularly far-reaching proposal concerns the recommendation that an employer who unreasonably fails to negotiate with an industrial council, or with a representative trade union or group of employees, is guilty of an unfair labour practice.

Lawyers also said the unions' ability to mobilise broad support in disputes with employers would be limited.
Labour Act amendments

Draft amendments to the Labour Relations Act published in the Government Gazette today outlaw certain boycotts and restructure the definition of an "unfair labour practice".

The Bill also provides for:

- A special labour court to hear appeals from the industrial court
- A definition of an "unfair dismissal"
- Streamlining the appointment of conciliation boards

The Director-General of the Department of Manpower, Dr. Piet van der Merwe, said yesterday that the amendments would streamline the Act and keep pace with the latest developments.

See Page 118.
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Businessmen in Zimbabwe get racism warning

The Star's Africa News Service

HARARE — White business executives in Zimbabwe have been accused by the chairman of the Industrial Relations Board of continuing to practise discrimination in favour of white employees.

Mr Ignatius Chigwendere hinted at Government intervention unless what he called "negative attitudes" changed.

He told a seminar on personnel management that there appeared to be a concerted effort among whites to deny aspects of job responsibility to blacks when blacks took over certain functions.

He also claimed in most cases when blacks occupied posts that had formerly been held by whites, they were paid less.

Mr Chigwendere said he also had the impression that generally whites were not asked about their qualifications whereas blacks had to produce good O-level school results to get a job in a factory as a sweeper.

He alleged insurance companies were taking on white school leavers as brokers while blacks were only taken on as clerks.

To expect the Government would continue to accept situations like this was to misunderstand completely the policy of reconciliation, he said.

Footballer fined for
their dismissal, or

- Agreed procedures had not been followed
- While retaining the present unfair labour practice definition, the draft Bill also stipulates that certain practices should be regarded as unfair. These include practices by which
  - A trade union uses misleading methods to canvass members,
  - There has been a failure by any party to observe the provisions of the LRA,
  - Employers replace employees with other workers on less favourable conditions of employment,
  - A union or union federation supports a product boycott of a company if it is not directly involved in the dispute,
  - Employees are discriminated against on the grounds of race, sex, or religion, or
  - Employers unreasonably fail or refuse to negotiate with a representative trade union.

Legal strikes and lock-outs, any practices agreed upon by unions and companies (provided the agreement has not been in force for longer than three years), and selective re-employment of dismissed workers (provided objective criteria are applied), will not be deemed to be unfair labour practices.

---

**Changing the Rules**

Sweeping changes to existing procedures for resolving labour disputes, adjustments to the unfair labour practice definition, and several major innovations are proposed in the draft Labour Relations Amendment Bill published in the Government Gazette last Friday.

The draft Bill is the product of the Department of Manpower’s deliberations on the 1984 report of the National Manpower Commission (NMC). This investigated the levels of collective bargaining, the registration of trade unions and employer organisations and the Industrial Court, as well as input it has received on various labour-related issues from the Economic Advisory Council and other bodies. Farm workers, domestic servants and State employees are excluded from the draft Bill’s provisions. In line with previous practice, the department has invited all parties interested in submitting comments on the Bill to do so by February 6 next year.

The key features of the draft Bill are proposals providing for

- The establishment of a special labour court, manned by Supreme Court judges, which will, among other things, consider appeals against decisions of the Industrial Court.
- Appeals against decisions of the special labour court on questions of law to be heard by the Appellate division,
- A tightening up of the definition of an unfair labour practice,
- The introduction into the law of a new concept of “unfair dismissal,” and
- New and simplified procedure governing applications for and the workings of conciliation boards.

Changes proposed for conciliation boards (CBs) are likely to have the greatest impact on labour relations as they make provision for dispute resolution to be speeded up considerably.

In essence, the draft Bill proposes that the Minister of Manpower should no longer be able to exercise any discretion over the appointment and terms of reference of CBs

The issue has been the subject of a great deal of controversy. Instead, it says that all CB applications should in future be handled by local divisions of the Manpower Department whose sole concern should be to ensure that boards meet the technical requirements of the Labour Relations Act (LRA), and that it should be left to the disputing parties to determine what the board’s terms of reference should be.

Provision is, however, made for the Director General of Manpower to set the terms of reference if the parties cannot reach consensus within seven days of the establishment of a CB.

If parties fail to resolve their dispute at CB level, and the point at issue concerns an alleged unfair labour practice, or an unfair dismissal, the Bill provides that either side can refer the matter directly to the Industrial Court or the special labour court.

The intention behind the proposals is to bring the procedures pertaining to CBs into line with the dispute-settling procedures applied by industrial councils. As such, they are likely to be welcomed.

The draft proposals about what should be regarded as unfair dismissals go a long way towards codifying decisions handed down by the Industrial Court on this issue in the past few years. According to the Bill, a dismissal will be regarded as unfair if

- A worker’s employment is terminated without a valid and fair reason,
- An employer retrenches employees without first giving them reasonable notice, consulting with the employees or their trade union, or if the selection of employees to be retrenched is “not reasonable,”
- An employer has not given employees a fair opportunity to state their case prior to
Litigation anticipated over new changes to labour laws

By Sheryl Raine

Draft proposals to change the Labour Relations Act conflict with other laws which uphold apartheid and could lead to major law suits, labour experts warned today.

At the first of a series of seminars in Kempton Park, leading labour law specialist Mr Rod Harper and a labour relations consultant from FSA Limited, Mr Mike Beaumont, discussed the implications of the proposed changes to the law.

In general, the proposals have been welcomed and are expected to have wide impact on industrial relations. However, there are certain clauses which have been criticised.

"Some clauses conflict directly with statutes upholding apartheid policies and could lead to major litigation if the Bill becomes law," said Mr Harper.

"For instance, a company taking advantage of the incentive schemes in the homelands in terms of the Government's decentralisation policy could run foul of a section of the Bill that makes it an unfair labour practice to replace an employee with another employee on conditions of employment that are less favourable than those of the first employee.

"This unfair labour practice has so many potential meanings that if it becomes part of the Act major litigation will ensue on the basis that companies should not relocate from urban areas to incentive areas because this would be an unfair labour practice."

"No doubt such an interpretation was not intended to be placed on that section by the Department of Manpower, but the definitions are broad enough to encompass such actions."

Unions have long complained that companies leave urban areas, abandon their workforce, and set up shop in the homelands where wage bills are more than halved and conditions of employment go unmonitored.

"MAJOR ISSUE"

South Africa-based unions are privy to laws from operating in homelands, which have enacted their own labour legislation different to that of white South Africa.

Mr Beaumont expects that discrimination in the workplace will become a major issue if the Bill becomes law.

It has been proposed that discrimination on the grounds of race, sex, religion or creed be declared unfair labour practice.

He said allegations of unfair discrimination could become a major source of union activism, and these demands could be pursued with the full backing of the law if the Bill is accepted.
Striking at the heart of labour relations

Sympathy strikes may be outlawed if a new draft Bill on labour relations becomes law. CLAIRE PICKARD-CAMBRIDGE looks at suggested changes in the draft legislation.

Professor PAK le Roux of Unisa's mercantile law department says some of the new ULP definitions are vague and still need a lot of work. One example is a clause making it unfair for one worker to be replaced by another under less favourable circumstances — apparently a sop to white workers by discouraging racial undercutting.

While most unions are likely to welcome this clause, it will mean employers' hands will be tied. Even salaries, irrespective of seniority, will have to be retained if the clause isn't redefined clearly.

Le Roux says the exact scope of new clauses which make it illegal to have sympathy strikes, and unfair to launch secondary boycotts involving employers and employers and employees not directly party to a dispute, are unclear.

The clause making discrimination on grounds of race, sex or religion unfair has not been welcomed, although it is largely of symbolic importance because the old ULP definition could encompass this. But at least one lawyer suspects that the remaining job reservation provisions in the Mines and Works Act will continue to take precedence over the new ULP amendments.

Another important feature of the Bill is the separation of the unfair dismissals system from the ULP system and the scope of the Bill. Some unions feel this is a positive move — but critics say there's no clear provision against selective dismissals and selective re-engagements. It is possible to envisage a system that's unnecessarily complicated.

In terms of the Bill, it is no longer a ULP to selectively re-hire dismissed workers according to objective criteria. This allows employers to hire new workers after a strike and only re-engage a portion of their old staff, albeit using 'objective criteria'.

This is retrospective because any selective re-engagement may be unfair under the existing ULP definition. Simplified procedures for conciliation board applications will speed up dispute resolution, and allow quicker access to the industrial court if board meetings fail to result in agreement.

In future, the appointment of a conciliation board will not depend on Ministerial discretion but will be an automatic procedure, handled by a Manpower Department official. This has been welcomed because it will prevent controversies arising, as in the past, over suspected political interference. The new time limits in which conciliation board applications have to be lodged are felt to be a little stringent.

Grievance procedures at factory level could be undermined if parties have to apply to a board before initial negotiations have been held.

There is confusion about whether industrial councils are still obliged to settle disputes only with the consent of affected parties. If the Bill allows industrial councils to resolve disputes without the consent of parties (who may not be directly represented on the council), this could block opposing parties from going to the industrial court.

The coming months, clearly, are crucial periods for parties wanting to make representations to the Manpower Department before the deadline lapses on February 6.
Labour law changes
‘will favour bosses’

Labour Reporter

MANAGEMENT has more to gain than the unions from proposed changes in the labour law due to be debated in Parliament later this year, according to two South African labour experts.

A labour law specialist, Mr Rod Harper, and a top industrial relations consultant, Mr Mike Beaumont, have warned that the Draft Labour Relations Amendment Bill, published in December, would be seen by some trade unions as “union bashing”.

Speaking at an industrial relations seminar in Cape Town, the two described the bill as “compromise legislation” but primarily a “management bill”.

They referred specifically to one of the new definitions of an unfair labour practice which prohibits a trade union from “directly or indirectly hindering” an employer from negotiating with workers who are not union members.

The bill favoured a “mult-union situation” and was in direct conflict with the Congress of South African Trade Unions whose aim is “one union, one industry”, Mr Harper said.

He said a clause requiring both unions and employer bodies to obtain “prior authorization” from members before taking any action or concluding an agreement was a “contentious provision” as unions would resent being questioned on authorization.

One of the compromises in the new legislation is that it permits consumer boycotts under certain conditions.

Although it would be considered an unfair labour practice to launch boycotts against a company not involved in a dispute with its employees, the same does not apply where the employer and employees are in dispute.

The bill also outlawed sympathy strikes at plants not directly involved in a dispute, industrial action while a dispute is still being processed by the Industrial Court, and intermittent strikes.

Mr Beaumont said one of the major developments embodied in the bill was the clause which outlawed unfair discrimination on the grounds of race, sex or religion.

Mr Beaumont and Mr Harper welcomed the establishment of a special labour court, staffed by Supreme Court judges, to hear appeals against Industrial Court decisions, saying this would eliminate the tension between Industrial Court decisions based on fairness, and Supreme Court decisions based on common law.
New labour laws ‘may herald a Bill of Rights’

If Manpower Department proposals become law, civil rights will be entrenched in the workplace, a labour expert told a recent seminar, which is being repeated in Johannesburg this week. Sheryl Raine reports.

There are several proposals which place the responsibility for settling labour disputes firmly in the laps of the warring parties. The Industrial Court will become more of a last resort in the event of deadlock and will have the right to refer disputes back to the parties if it is not satisfied that enough attempts have been made at conciliation.

The Industrial Court will be allowed to award costs for the first time, except in the case of temporary orders under section 43 of the Act.

The days of conflict over jurisdiction between the Industrial Court and the Supreme Court will soon be over. The role of the Supreme Court in labour law suits will be considerably reduced.

A Special Labour Court which will be staffed by judges and controlled by the Department of Justice will hear appeals against Industrial Court rulings and will be allowed to base its rulings on law, justice or fairness.

Unions, companies and other interested parties have been asked to send their comments on the recommendations to the Department of Manpower by February 6.

Proposed changes to South Africa’s labour laws, which will outlaw job discrimination based on sex, race or religion, may lead to a complete Bill of Rights, says a leading labour expert.

Mr Mike Beaumont of FSA Ltd addressed a Kempton Park seminar on the proposed changes to the Labour Relations Act. Delegates noted that the country’s labour laws were racing ahead of many other pieces of apartheid legislation.

The Department of Manpower has published lengthy proposals to change the Act. If they become law, it will be an unfair labour practice to discriminate against an employee or employer on grounds of race, sex or religion. Complaints can be taken to the Industrial Court.

The forerunner?

“A clause like this entrenches civil rights in the workplace,” said Mr Beaumont. “It is possible that this clause could be the forerunner of a Bill of Rights in South Africa and that the Government may have additional intentions.”

Asked to comment, the chief director of labour relations in the Department of Manpower, Mr J D Fourie, said the discrimination clause was purely a Manpower Department issue at present. It was not possible at this stage to link the clause with a Bill of Rights.

However, he added that the Law Commission was conducting an investigation into a Bill of Rights and could make recommendations to the Government.

“In countries like the United States, civil rights provisions are applied within the context of the American Constitution. In South Africa, if the new labour laws are adopted, we will have different standards made and enforced.”

outside the workplace,” said Mr Beaumont.

Labour lawyer Mr Rod Harper, who also addressed the seminar, said if the discrimination clause became law, some employers would have to rewrite their job application forms where these asked for details of race, sex or religion. Job advertising techniques would also have to change.

“Companies that place adverts calling for applications from white males only could find themselves facing costly discrimination suits from women and blacks,” he said.

He believed there could be a dramatic initial increase in labour lawsuits in the Industrial Court which would gradually lead to a set of legal guidelines.

Other important new rules that have been suggested were spelt out at the seminar. They are:

Product boycotts will be legal under certain circumstances and recognised as a legitimate weapon for trade unions embroiled in labour disputes. Boycotts, however, will still be illegal where they concern products of companies not directly involved in a labour dispute.

Corporations which have many subsidiaries and companies which are major shareholders in companies in dispute may also be open to such boycotts, depending on how widely the law is interpreted.

Sympathy strikes will be illegal unless employees on strike are directly involved in a dispute with an employer.

Employers will be guilty of an unfair labour practice if they do not give employees or their representatives reasonable notice, consult them and select people for retrenchment by applying reasonable criteria.

A more detailed breakdown of what constitutes an unfair labour practice will place constraints on the actions of trade unions until such time as they have authorisation from members.

In good faith

It will be an unfair labour practice if an employer unreasonably fails or refuses to negotiate on an industrial council or otherwise with a trade union or group of employers who represent a specific interest. This means that the concept of good faith bargaining will be firmly entrenched in labour law.

Employers will be able to select their re-employ workers who have been dismissed as long as they are selected on objective criteria.
Drafting labour compromises

The draft Bill amending the Labour Relations Act should be seen as a compromise package which addresses a variety of labour relations problems. Like all compromises, parts will be pleasing to some, while for others some elements will be a source of concern.

The parts of the Bill that will be welcomed are those addressing concerns common to both management and labour — for example, streamlining the various dispute procedures, and making the appointment of conciliation boards mandatory. However, certain proposals perceived to be addressing a unitary interest (such as further prohibitions against industrial action) are likely to be contentious.

The draft Bill can be seen as both reactive and proactive. It is reactive in that it seeks, for example, to limit product boycotts, secondary or sympathy strike action and industrial action over unfair dismissals — all of which were a feature of industrial relations in 1986. Amendments to the unfair labour practice definition to include unfair discrimination on certain grounds, and employment of replacement employees on terms less favourable than those which applied to the original employees are, on the other hand, proactive.

Also noteworthy is that the draft Bill encourages parties to limit their exposure to allegations of unfair dismissal and unfair labour practices by entering into agreements. It encourages parties to settle disputes by themselves which might otherwise be referred to the Industrial Court or to compulsory arbitration. In both instances the efforts made by the parties will be taken into account by the court or the arbitrator.

There also appears to be a deliberate effort in the draft Bill to identify certain disputes of right (for example, unfair dismissals), and to provide avenues for these disputes to be settled without recourse to industrial action. These disputes are envisaged for handling disputes regarding unfair dismissals. They involve a mixture of processes and involve both courts and arbitrations.

Unfair dismissal cases can be referred to the Industrial Court under Section 43, which provides for the parallel process of reinstatement and conciliation, including compulsory establishment of conciliation boards. In the event of a failure of a conciliation process within a 30-day period, there is a new supplementary process, under Section 45A, which involves arbitration by the Industrial Court should any of the parties to the dispute so wish. Over and above this, there is the proposal to establish the Special Labour Court, to be staffed by judges, which can hear appeals from the Industrial Court.

It is envisaged that strikes or lockouts over unfair dismissals should be prohibited where the arbitration process has been invoked. This mirrors the approach adopted in certain overseas countries.

These measures are, no doubt, designed to reduce industrial action over unfair dismissals. The quid pro quo is compulsory establishment of conciliation boards and access to arbitration. Within this proposed new structure arbitrations concerning unfair dismissals can be held within 60 days of disputes arising. The efficacy of these provisions will depend on the expeditious handling of disputes and the reputation of the arbitrators.

The proposed definition of "unfair dismissal" refers to individual dismissals and re-entries. Individual dismissals are to be subject to valid and fair reasons. In addition, employees should be given a fair opportunity to state their case prior to dismissal, and re-employment will not result automatically unless this has occurred.

In such cases the court will be required to investigate whether it was necessary to have given the employee such an opportunity. Sound industrial relations practices would, however, support the holding of inquiries, and it must be borne in mind that the prejudice which the employee suffers in cases where inquiries are not held will be a determining factor in reinstatement cases.

The proposed definition of "unfair dismissal" also calls for a number of actions by the employer in re-entries; it largely codifies the current approach of the Industrial Court. One major proposal concerns the selection of re-enterees on "reasonable grounds." This seems wider than the "objective criteria" approach envisaged by the Industrial Court, and is much broader than the last-in-first-out principle so often advocated by trade unions.

The definition of "unfair dismissal" is wide, and the rights and obligations of parties are open to interpretation. In practice, this may cause confusion. Disputes cannot always be neatly pigeonholed. They often involve multiple causes, the proposals do not spell out how these multiple disputes are to be handled. It might therefore not be possible for the objective of avoiding industrial action in unfair dismissal cases to be realised in all circumstances.

However, the draft Bill does allow for the parties themselves to tackle these difficulties and uncertainties, and to reach appropriate agreements. It would then be an unfair dismissal if such agreements were not adhered to at termination. The emphasis will still be on self-government, and interested parties should see the draft Bill's provisions as guidelines — not alternatives — to direct dealings with one another.
Big rethink needed on Labour Bill

NEWS FOCUS

(1)
New measure gives Sats the right to fire strikers

Labour Report

A SPECIAL Government Gazette has been published giving South African Transport Services' general manager the right to summarily dismiss strikers.

The strike of rail workers on the Rand, which started at the City Deep container depot 11 days ago, was spreading to the East Rand, according to the South African Railways and Harbours Workers' Union (SARHWU), an affiliate of the Congress of South African Trade Unions.

At least 8,000 workers are now involved.

The Minister of Transport Affairs, Mr Eli Louw, yesterday gazetted amendments to the Sats personnel regulations giving the general manager discretion to treat striking workers as having terminated their jobs without notice with effect from the date of the strike.

The general manager had not yet invoked the regulation and it was expected that Sats would warn strikers of the new regulation before applying it.

Sats spokeswoman Miss Jenne Jordaan said it was not known how many places and workers were now involved in the strike.

JAN SMUTS

Mr Themba Khuzwayo, assistant general secretary of railways and harbours union, said workers at Jan Smuts Airport and Johannesburg station had joined the strike today.

He said about three-quarters of the strikers were members of his union.

Miss Jordaan said drivers had been flown in from Cape Town, Pretoria and Durban and local staff with heavy-duty licences were also helping to move containers.

The City Deep "inland harbour" normally handles about 1,300 containers a day.
Supreme Court gives protection to strikers

The company applied to the Supreme Court in February 1986 to review the decision and to interdict Mawu and the workers from giving effect to the decision.

According to a statement from Mawu, the Supreme Court rejected the company's arguments and confirmed the Industrial Court's decision.

Mr Justice Kriel said that the fact that a strike was unlawful might be relevant in determining the fairness or otherwise of a company's response, but it did not automatically deprive the Industrial Court of jurisdiction to consider whether the company had acted fairly in all the circumstances.

He pointed out that the company's argument overlooked the approach to labour relations into the Act as a result of the recommendations of the Wiehahn Commission.

"This finding is very important. It indicates that not only may the Industrial Court protect lawful strikers but it also has the jurisdiction to protect unlawful strikers in certain circumstances," said Mawu.

"The decision also states quite unequivocally that the Industrial Court does have the power to to reinstate striking workers under Section 46(9) whether the strike is lawful or not.

"Although the court found that the illegality of the strike is relevant to the fairness, it does not hold that illegality is decisive,"

Mawu said this was the first decision of the Supreme Court which considered and approved the reinstatement of strikers under Section 46(9) of the Labour Relations Act.

Previously the Supreme Court in the Transvaal had only considered the temporary reinstatement of strikers under Section 43 in the Marievale case.

"This decision makes it clear that the dismissal of strikers may in appropriate circumstances constitute an unfair labour practice."

"The Supreme Court's decision also makes it quite plain that a failure to negotiate in good faith with the trade union may in appropriate circumstances constitute an unfair labour practice."

 Basically, the decision confers a limited right to protection from dismissal in the case of strike action.
Govt plans new laws
to deal with strikes

Political Staff/6J
PRETORIA - The Government is planning to introduce new legislation to deal with labour strikes, according to Mr Alwyn Schlebusch.

Reported on television news last night, Mr Schlebusch, Minister in the State President's Office entrusted with Administration and Broadcasting Services, said the new legislation would be introduced in the coming session of Parliament.

Neither Mr Schlebusch nor Minister of Manpower Mr Piet de Villiers could be reached for further details today, but a spokesman for the Department of Manpower said the legislation had already been published for comment.

Entitled the Draft Labour Relations Amendment Bill, the proposed legislation would establish a special labour court, adjust the definition of an unfair labour practice and amend and simplify procedures to set up conciliation boards.

Wider powers to be given to the industrial court are among several other proposed amendments to the Labour Relations Act.

It is understood amendments are being considered to legislation dealing with SA Transport Services to bring its labour practices into line with South Africa's other labour laws.

(Report by David Braun, 47 Seaview Street, Johannesburg)
Disputes put focus on govt sector

Call for study of labour laws

LEGISLATION dealing with labour disputes in government sectors should be examined to see whether it was effective or should be expanded, Director-General of Manpower Piet Van der Merwe said yesterday.

The present situation has been highlighted by the prolonged, unresolved strikes involving up to 22,000 transport workers and 4,800 post office workers.

Central and provincial government — including the post office and transport services — are excluded from the dispute machinery laid down in the Labour Relations Act. They are each covered by separate legislation.

Van der Merwe said that in the past the government sector had not faced much industrial action, possibly because of its particular legislation and the conditions of service of its workers.

In view of the recent strikes, he suggested that government-sector conciliatory machinery be studied to decide whether it was adequate.

He said the separate legislation used in the government sectors had sparked off criticism. One of the Wiedman Commission's recommendations had been that all employers — including government — be subject to the Labour Relations Act.

"One must weigh up the pros and cons of the government either providing for conciliation machinery in its own legislation or adopting the Labour Relations Act," he said.

The mechanisms provided for in the Labour Relations Act, such as industrial councils, conciliation boards and industrial courts, had been exhaustively used by the private sector and local authorities.

They had been successful in settling many disputes, he said. In 1986, industrial councils handled 1,983 disputes, the majority of which were settled. More than 2,000 cases of alleged unfair labour practices were referred to the industrial court. Most cases were settled before being heard.

Van der Merwe added that 50% of all strikes in the private sector ended within a day.
State's plans for trade union

THERE are strong signs, if statements by Cabinet Ministers in a pre-election situation mean anything, that the Government has plans for trade unionism in South Africa.

Early this month, Foreign Minister Pik Botha told an election meeting in Benoni that the Government was very concerned about the increasing militancy of some black trade unions and was giving it serious attention.

He spoke about the "outrageous and irresponsible" demands of elements in trade unions and warned that if they continued it could lead to the end of trade unionism in South Africa.

He advised militant trade unions to look at "their comrades north of the Limpopo".

In Zimbabwe, trade unions had virtually no power and were controlled by the Minister of Labour who could decide on working hours and wages.

One objective difference between the two situations which Mr Botha either ignored or was not aware of is that at least unionists in Zimbabwe have some say in deciding who shall govern them.

This week, Minister in the Office of the State President Alwyn Schmidt told a meeting in George that further legislation in the labour field was in the pipeline to eliminate situations such as the South African Transport Services strike.

He gave his audience an assurance, which can only be seen as ominous, that after the May 6 election, the situation would be "normalised".

SMOTHERED

He also claimed that the country's enemies had chosen the labour field to make political capital before the election and that if certain subversive actions had been planned for the election these would be smothered at birth.

And, if you go back to last year, there was a speech by Manpower Minister Piet du Plessis to the congress of the South African Iron, Steel and Allied Industries Union in which he said that the Government would act against trade unions which pursued "disobedient political goals".

He said it was "deplorable" that attempts were being made to use the labour arena to achieve political aims.

"The South African labour system's freedom leads itself to misuse by trade unions," said Mr du Plessis.

The Government had not shed its eyes to this.
Bearing the labour pains

Professor Nic Wiehahn was chairman of the 1977 commission of inquiry which led to a new labour deal for SA including the legalization of black trade unions. With clampdowns on union activity now mooted, he comments on the effects of the new dispensation and likely future patterns in labour relations.

FM: How has SA’s labour system developed since the Wiehahn report?

Wiehahn: Our labour system has been decentralised. Industrial power sharing is evident as negotiations and trade unions negotiate on a much more sophisticated level.

Six labour rights have been established. The rights to work, associate, bargain collectively, lockout and strike, protection against abuse and the right to development. Despite the recession and accompanying increase in strikes, SA still has one of the most responsible labour systems.

Has the system not become too politicised?

The politicisation of our labour system is welcomed, but inevitable. Oliver Tambo and Joe Slovo said they would this year concentrate on trade unions in which they see the potential energy that might eventually lead to a “steep rise of temperature”. Hence we must expect a “slow rise of temperature” in the field of labour.

But whether we stop it by going against it.

One must create other political structures to conduct it — some negotiating body. Only three South African trade unions can be considered highly politicised. We have 265 trade unions, 46 of which are white only and the vast majority among the rest are either integrated or semi-integrated.

These heterogeneous unions are not as vulnerable to leftist politics as most people believe. In fact, management could find in them perceptible workers’ organisations which could be “converted or politicised” for the free enterprise system.

To what extent should government interfere in the labour system?

Government must interfere as little as possible. Its function is to maintain a system which employers and trade unions can have maximum freedom to negotiate the contents of their relationship. If it were to prohibit union activity, the union would just become something else, or it would go underground.

Negotiations key

Negotiation remains the most important means of settling a dispute — and we have had a great deal of success through negotiations — even at the unions’ demands but if the first seem irrational. Government should interfere only when matters get out of hand.

One must beware not to always read politics into strikes — they are primarily about economics. We need a proper and much deeper analysis of the causes of politicisation of trade unions. The intimidation and incitement factor should be isolated.

We are in a social revolution, and manpower planning is part of that revolution. Companies must understand that they have to progress from a paternalistic labour relationship to one of consensus to create a happy working relationship.
Proposal to allow picketing

New move to decriminalise strike action

CRIMINAL sanctions attached to strikes and lock-outs should be abolished, except possibly in regard to essential services, the National Manpower Commission (NMC) said in its report tabled in Parliament yesterday.

It also said the freedom to strike should be extended to include certain categories of workers in the public service.

The commission suggested that the law could be changed to allow a certain amount of picketing and related forms of action in industrial disputes.

But the NMC felt this proposal should be investigated further.

It recommended that no legislation be introduced to govern the non-statutory collective bargaining systems that have developed over the past decade.

A spokesman for Manpower Minister Piet de Villiers said legislation based on the report would probably be introduced next year.

The report recommended that the distinction between lawful and unlawful strikes (and lock-outs) be replaced by the concept of "acceptability".

Only strikes by workers in essential services would be considered unlawful under the proposals.

And the NMC proposed further study aimed at narrowing the area of essential services and creating improved dispute-resolving procedures for them.

The Industrial Court, it said, should be given the power to determine the acceptability of a strike, based on guidelines such as the nature of the dispute and whether adequate attempts had been made at settlement.

These did not need to include the use of statutory conciliation boards or industrial councils — privately negotiated procedures would be sufficient.

Employers would be able to apply for interdicts against "unacceptable" strikes. Should an interdict be ignored, they would be able to claim damages. The court could also declare such a strike an unfair labour practice.

However, the NMC recommended that workers participating in acceptable strikes be protected against prosecution in terms of security legislation and by-laws.

It also expressed support for decisions of the Industrial Court protecting strikers from dismissal in certain circumstances.

The NMC said it was in favour of

Call to decriminalise strikes

...
Govt ideas get cool reception

Crackdown on unions is taking shape

LEGISLATION is apparently being prepared to give expression to government’s determination to crack down on “militant” labour unions that are seen to be fomenting industrial relations strife for political purposes.

The target will be those unions which participate in wildcat strikes and other summary actions which fall outside, or bypass industrial agreements and accepted negotiation processes between employers and employees.

One legislative proposal being floated in Cape Town is that unions which indulge in wildcat strikes be forced to financially recompense affected employers for loss of business incurred by major work stoppages.

The idea has so far received a cool reception in some business circles, the immediate view being that it sets a dangerous precedent and has the potential to heighten tensions within the industrial relations environment.

Like the “Rent Bill” — the Promotion of Local Government Affairs Amendment Bill now before the parliamentary standing committee on constitutional development — such legislation could further polarise relations between employers and employees.

The Manpower and Public Works budget vote is set down for debate in the House of Assembly on Friday, and it is expected that indications of government’s intentions concerning the trade union movement will be spelt out by Manpower Minister Piet de Plessis.

Another contentious labour issue attracting attention in Parliament is the Mines and Works Amendment Bill — which aims at eliminating the last vestiges of job reservation from the mining industry.

The issue is a “hot potato” as it is vigorously opposed by the Official Opposition, the CP. However, it might be debated this week.

CHRIS CAIRNCROSS
BUSINESS IN PROFILE

THE president of the Chamber of Mines, Tl "Naas" Steenkamp, speaks to HILARY JOPPE about labour relations in the mining industry and proposed amendments to the Mines and Works Act.

What is your reaction to the National Union of Mineworkers' threat of a legal strike?

Increases ranging from 17 percent for category eight employees to 23 percent for category one employees were implemented on July 1 this year. We sincerely hope that the NUM will not carry out its threat to strike as these increases are in excess of the average increases other unions have negotiated over the past six months and were well received by the employees.

What is the purpose of maintaining the Chamber of Mines as a united bargaining unit if every year the various mining houses make different wage offers?

The Chamber industrial relations system is flexible and supple and needs to be so to satisfy the needs of its members. There have been occasions in the past when the individual members wished to make different wage offers to the NUM and the Chamber industrial relations system coped admirably. This year the increases did not differ. All the mines that are Chamber members implemented the same percentage increase.

What plans are there to set up an Industrial Council?

The Chamber and nine trade unions and officials' associations reached an agreement last year to establish an industrial council for the mines and the employees that wish to regulate their relationship on a more formal basis. The constitution of this industrial council is currently being finalised. Only employers and unions that voluntarily wish to be subject to the jurisdiction of the Industrial Council will be members.

The amendment to the Mines and Works Act seems to give the minister discretionary power to decide who is entitled to a blasting certificate. What are your views?

This is a misinterpretation of the Mines and Works Amendment Bill. The provisions the Bill will introduce into the Mines and Works Act will give the minister the right to appoint a committee to advise him on the entry qualifications for certificates of competency. Provided that these qualifications may not discriminate on the basis of race or colour. The chamber's main objection to this new provision is that in our view it is not necessary to consider new entry qualifications. The qualifications required for certificates of competency are well defined and have been used for many years to ensure that persons who acquire the certificates are competent.

Will employment practices in the mining industry alter very much with the change in legislation?

Yes. The 13 jobs currently reserved for persons of certain specified races will legally be opened to persons of all races and gradually persons of all races will in fact be employed in these 13 jobs.

What changes does the chamber want to see to the legislation regarding the job reservation issue?

The chamber would prefer the "scheduled person" definition to be dropped clearly from the Act without any new provisions being added.

What will the chamber do if the legislative changes are not satisfactory?

The chamber will now wait to see whether the minister actually appoints the advisory committees. The law will permit him to establish and if he does, how these committees will function before considering any action. However, we have had repeated assurances from the minister that he will invoke these provisions only for health or safety reasons. We take him at his word and are confident that the provisions will not be used in any retrograde manner.

Now that influx control has been abolished, what is the chamber doing about working towards a settled mine labour force?

Manning mines with migrant employees is not the most efficient or desirable method of manning and various mining houses are pursuing a variety of plans to make their mines less dependent on migrant labour and to provide jobs to more employees who reside with their families on the mines or in near proximity to the mines. For many years to come mines will, however, remain dependent on migrant labour.
New laws to curb unions

By ANTHONY JOHNSON
Political Correspondent

LEGISLATION to curb militant trade unions who participate in wildcat strikes or other actions that bypass industrial agreements and accepted negotiation processes will be introduced in Parliament this session.

The news comes as over 200,000 miners are expected to take part in industrial action on 46 gold and coal mines — the largest legal strike ever organized by the giant National Union of Mineworkers.

A source close to the cabinet yesterday indicated that industrial courts would be given “more teeth” to deal with radical unions who refuse to play by the rules.

Workers engaging in illegal industrial action could, in terms of the legislation, be forced to financially compensate the affected employer for loss of business, the source confirmed.

However, it was stressed that the industrial court would treat each case on its particular merits and no blanket-executive action by government against troublesome unions was being contemplated at this stage.

A court of appeal to deal with cases of industrial strife would probably also be introduced.

Big business has been lobbying against further legislative intervention in labour relations, but the government has been coming under strong pressure from the Conservative Party and within National Party ranks to clamp down on unions seen to be fomenting politically-motivated industrial relations strife.

In Parliament yesterday, the Minister of Manpower, Mr. Piet du Plessis, said legislative steps could be expected soon “to bring order” to the trade union movement and restore the balance of power between workers and employers.

He said it was essential to have a “balance of power” between the two, since too much power to one side would lead to a revolution.

Mr. Du Plessis said intimidation was one of the biggest problems in the labour field at the moment.

Thousands of people were being forced by radicals to participate in strikes and work stoppages as a result of threats of violence like the “necklace” method.

However, the minister also noted that South Africa had one of the lowest strikes rates in the world. Furthermore, more than half of all strikes were resolved in one day and the average duration of a strike in the country was just over three days.

Earlier, Mr. J. H. Cunningham (NP Stilfontein) warned that if certain trade unions and their leaders acted “irresponsibly,” they should not complain if the state acted.

The Progressive Federal Party’s chief manpower spokesman, Mr. Peter Gastrow, said that as long as black workers had no representation in Parliament, the use of trade unions for political purposes could be justified.
Getting organised

Labour strife on Tongaat coast cane fields underlines a new development union-backed drought reform has reached a climax.

Countrywide, farmers have accepted the need for some reorganisation of procedures regulated by the conditions of employment (LRA) are remote.

The sound and fury of the dispute extends to such smouldering areas as the KwaNatal and the Kamunting threats to march on Hurley's house in Durban.

But stripped of rhetoric, the SAUAWU’s representations on seasonal cane cutters is formed by the Farm Workers Union, SAAUWU.

Talks on grievances raised taking place, and Tongaat Phillips says that if the talks are not successful, unionists must be ready to accept LRA’s any form.

Since the enactment of the Industrial Conciliation Act in 1924, agriculture has been included in most labour legislation, with the exception of the Workmen’s Compensation Act, the Machinery and Occupational Safety Act, and the Manpower Training Act. The historical explanation according to SAAU Deputy Director Hans van der Merwe, is that agriculture’s production demands differ fundamentally from those of industry and commerce.

However, the cautious view now expressed is that agriculture is dynamic.

No doubt contributing to this change of heart was the report by the National Manpower Commission (NMC) into the merits of extending labour legislation to farm-workers (and domestics). Organised agriculture was a major lobbyist during the NMC’s two-year inquiry. Its report was presented to government in December 1985 but has not been published.

At the SAAU congress which followed the NMC report its general council was mandated to “investigate certain adaptations” to labour practices. A report-back is expected at this year’s congress in Durban and is likely to focus on amendments sought to the Basic Conditions of Employment Act to make it acceptable to agriculture.

Key issues, says Van der Merwe, involve changes to provisions dealing with maximum working hours, sick leave and leave arrangements to accommodate the seasonal production demands of farmers.

But the LRA, which institutionalises rights of trade unions and negotiation and dispute procedures is “not acceptable in its present form and at this point in time.” It would introduce “mechanisms of communication that agriculture is not familiar with and will not fit in,” says Van der Merwe.
CP opposes new mining legislation

Bill takes 'a stand against discrimination'

Political Staff

The scrapping of the last statutory job reservation clause in the South African mining industry had "nothing to do with rights of groups and everything to do with the rights of ordinary people", the Deputy Minister of Economic Affairs and Technology, Mr George Bartlett, said in the House of Assembly yesterday.

In the second reading debate on the Mines and Works Amendment Bill he said the scrapping of legislation which provided that only whites and some coloureds could qualify for 15 of the industry's different Certificates of Competence, including the blasting certificate, was a "stand in principle against discrimination".

The Progressive Federal Party supported the Bill but Mr Roger Hulley, spokesman for this department, said he did not believe it was appropriate to congratulate the National Party, just as one would not congratulate a man who had stopped beating his wife.

"Blacks have been excluded from skilled positions in the mining industry since its early days," he said.

The Opposition opposed the Bill and their spokesman, Mr Arrie Paulus, former general secretary of the National Mineworkers' Union, said the NP had guarded jobs for whites on the mines for years but was now "leaving them in the lurch by saying that blacks can get a blasting certificate".

The Chamber of Mines claimed there were not enough white workers to fulfil the requirements of the industry, said Mr Paulus. This was untrue.

"There is no need for this legislation. There are enough whites to do the job but the Bill will mean that white workers will have to make way for black labourers from other states, most of which are not well-disposed to South Africa. So here we are in white South Africa giving blasting certificates to foreign blacks."

The Government was brought to power in 1948 by the miners' vote and it was a Government which rightly believed that giving blasting certificates to blacks was the beginning of Communism.

"The white miner is not prepared to work under blacks and he will defend his blasting certificate," said Mr Paulus.

Both Mr Bartlett and Mr Hulley expressed concern that Mr Paulus might have intended this as a threat.

Mr Hulley expressed his party's reservation about seven new requirements to be met by the individual seeking a certificate. After such a long history of discrimination, these requirements would be greeted with suspicion as they could be applied as a subtle form of discrimination.

He asked why the requirements - which include command of language, educational qualifications and security - had been built into the legislation and not left to the discretion of those applying the certification tests.

Mr Bartlett said the requirements were designed to promote the safety and health of the mineworkers and not as potential discriminatory measures.
THE ECONOMY

THE statutory job colour bar, which has been entrenched in the mining industry since the 1890s, will probably be scrapped this year — on paper at least.

Unless the Department of Mineral and Energy Affairs or the cabinet lose their collective nerve before the expected Conservative Party onslaught, the Mines and Works Amendment Bill, now in the second reading stage in parliament, will theoretically kill the last legally prescribed industrial colour bar before the year's end.

None of the parties most closely involved are happy about the manner of the Bill's passage. "Tran" the Amendment Bill "screws" the "scheduled persons" concept whereby the necessary credentials of competency required for entry to the more skilled mining jobs are denied to black miners. But other sections of the new Bill give the miner of mineral and energy affairs key discriminatory powers.

The ultra-liberating white Mine Workers' Union believe that the new mineral powers have been intro- duced to please the Chamber of Mines. The largest trade union on the mines, the 350,000 strong National Union of Mine workers, argued that its mainly black membership would suffer discrimination due to the spec- ific wording of the Bill.

The Amendment Bill's most controversial new clauses, 12(1)(a, b), (d), and (g), Clause 12(a): the (g) Clause 12(a) empowers the minister to issue new mining regulations laying down the qualifications required by candidates for training for the newly-opened certificates of competency or appointment of certificate, the key certificate skill of the underground miner. These qualifications may, for the first time, sup- ulate the educational standard, linguistic proficiency and physical health required of would-be miners.

The second clause (b) allows the minister to appoint advisory committees to guide him in matters on which he wishes advice, including appointment of a "controversial" industry council.

The latter possibility, however, never surfaced more than a shot gun hope as the light of the sun of the MWU and Arthur Paulus, its redeployable general secretary. Paulus demanded the recision of the colour bar denying that a shortage of white miners existed.

When the Chamber and the mining unions put down to workers talks on a package which would in clude a request to the minister to scrap the scheduled persons clause in the Mines and Works Act, the MWU attended as observers only.

Black miners' leader Cyril Ramaphosa not consulted

Part six of the Wohlahon report on the mining industry recommended that the abolition of the job colour bar go hand in hand with a restructuring of the miner's industrial relations system, buttressing employment security guarantee for white employees.

The way to do this, argued Wohlahon, was to form a mining industrial council in terms of the Labour Relations Act, giving employees/union collective agreements greater credibility and enforceability.

The opening rounds of negotiations after 1981 were spent on flawless agreements over the issue of an individual contract, with the chamber willing, but a number of unions at most lukewarm. A spirit of urgency was only injected into the talks by the initiative of the Department of Mineral and Energy Affairs, which first set a target deadline of December 31 1983 for an agreement. When that failed it pro- duced its first draft Mines and Works Amendment Bill in January 1986. This proposed to give the MWU the power to regulate entry to scheduled jobs by way of an objective non-white labour selection bond. The chamber and min union negotiating parties were galvanised into agreeing a comprehensive three-part agreement in

Exit the colour bar. But will it still haunt the mine shafts?

The colour bar is likely to leave the statute books this year, if the cabinet don't lose their collective nerve. But there's good reason to believe that the new dis- pensation won't make much difference.

Wilmot James and Jeff Lever report

"Most hers from clause (b) and the question of 'over-training' which some unions regard as the secret is- suption of the Chamber of Mines.

Clause (f) requires that the min- isters, so setting up these advisory committees, should consult organisations representing the majorities of holders of certificates of competency, other mining trade unions and repre- sentatives of mine owners. The first part of this clause thus requires the minister to consult the MWU, since the union represents some 63 percent of holders of blasting certificates.

The mineral powers and their va- gueness with regard to neutral im- plementation reflect the complicated gar- nise of the Bill in the years since the Wohlahon Commission. The govern- ment accepted for the most part the Wohlahon recommendation that the colour bar be scrapped. Implementing this in the mining industry was left up to employers and trade unions to negotiate within a reasonable time.

The key problem, as devised by Wohlahon and accepted by all trade unions except the MWU and later the NUM was to equlisation of race and other safeguards for the existing white mine labour force while scrap- ing statutory job reservations. The government indicated it would approve any such deal provided it com- mitted a "controversial" industry council.

The latter possibility, however, never surfaced more than a shot gun hope as the light of the sun of the MWU and Arthur Paulus, its redeployable general secretary. Paulus demanded the recision of the colour bar denying that a shortage of white miners existed.

When the Chamber and the mining unions put down to workers talks on a package which would in clude a request to the minister to scrap the scheduled persons clause in the Mines and Works Act, the MWU attended as observers only.

The opening rounds of negotiations after 1981 were spent on flawless agreements over the issue of an individual contract, with the chamber willing, but a number of unions at most lukewarm. A spirit of urgency was only injected into the talks by the initiative of the Department of Mineral and Energy Affairs, which first set a target deadline of December 31 1983 for an agreement. When that failed it pro- duced its first draft Mines and Works Amendment Bill in January 1986. This proposed to give the MWU the power to regulate entry to scheduled jobs by way of an objective non-white labour selection bond. The chamber and min union negotiating parties were galvanised into agreeing a comprehensive three-part agreement in

July 1986

This memorandum agreement came up with an unexpected series of proposals. Both chamber and union representatives would cooperate in the scheme of the racism discriminatory as- pects of the Mines and Works Amendment Bill. The unions were guaranteed their closed shop, participation in an industrial council and protection of a formal Industrial Council of Em- ployment Affairs Agency.

Besides conceding standard union demands regarding equal pay for equal work and maintenance of uniform training requirements the secu- rity agreement also offered the protec- tion of a Determinants Appeal Board and, most important, a mechanism to monitor and stop to cases of alleged overcrowding on the certificates of compet- ency by more minimal and.

Observing that these guarantees were worthless the MWU and its main union allies of convenience, the National Office Bearers, refused to touch the agreement.

By July 1986 the spinoff was that white miners' salaries were being offered two separate forms of job se- curity provisions — one in the form of a much watered down draft Mines and Works Amendment Bill with the mineral powers, the other an employer/employee union collective agreement with the statistical backing of the Labour Relations Act. Both however entailed the permanent lowering of productivity, in its explicit form at least.

The song for the unions which had got themselves into the chamber was that the lunatic has entered it will not proceed with its side of the bargain. A new Mine Act is unleav- ened in a way which amounts to racial discrimination. The minister in January last year that his decree- lated skirmishes had been put into operation if the proposed mining ab- straction decrees are passed in the coming year agreement worked satisfactorily.

Who is then to move first? Given the continued hold out of the MWU and the political threats from the right, the government seems likely to app- proach chamber and amend the min- incement regulations at the word of their mere. Chamber, in the meantime, will watch developments and consider whether or not to implement one or more aspects of its agreements with the mining unions in the Bill.

Whether the outbreak of present moves in this terrain central commit- tees maintained by government or by a mining industrial council, the con- sequences will probably be similar. A slow move away from the rigid co- ordinate division of the past. Somewhere similar has happened in other sectors of the white workforce whose black advancement has not been blocked by the requirement of certificates of competency. After the last six unions operating on the mines in 1983 agreed to the eliminating of black apprentices, mine man- agement began the integrated training of black apprentices. In 1985 some 140 black apprentices were newly inducted into mining, compared to 1,500 whites. Similarly, by 1986 blacks as officials occupied positions formerly considered "white jobs" constituted around 10 percent of the total number of officials.

Given the likely constraints on training black workers for blasting certification in mining, at present, the shift in black/white employment pattern in jobs hitherto reserved for scheduled persons over the next five years is likely to be minimal, other things being equal.

Wilmot James is a lecturer in the School of History of the University of the Witwatersrand. He and Jeff Lever are in the Department of Socio- logical at the University of Stellenbosch.
The NEW AGREEMENTS: BETTER JOB SECURITY, BETTER PAY WHILE ON LEAVE

The basic right which all the maternity agreements negotiated by Cosatu unions have given workers is job security. The agreements, at plant or industrial council level, provide leave for the first child (of one's own) for five months.

In addition, many of the agreements oblige employers to pay women at least part of their wages while they are on leave. Cosatu also guarantees health and safety clauses which protect pregnant and nursing mothers.

South African labour legislation provides no protection against dismissal for pregnant women. The agreements sets minimum working conditions for all pregnant women, which is why, for example, women work for a month and two months after childbirth. The agreements also ensure that leave resulting in women losing their jobs.

Most of the maternity agreements which the Cosatu unions have negotiated, guarantees that if a worker loses her job, she will be kept for up to six months in the case of the Steel and Engineering Industry, and in the steel and engineering industry, and in the textile industry, she will receive half pay for six months off, to cover the period before delivery.

The agreements also require the employer to guarantee that leave will be taken at full pay.

What is significant about both these agreements is not only in individual factories that maternity rights are being won. Two national industrial tribunals' agreements guaranteed within the last month that the maternity rights granted in the steel and engineering industry, and on the textile industry. The leave periods and pay specified in these agreements are not as good as the national agreements; however, they are still important agreements for workers in individual workplaces.

The agreements of Cosatu unions have called for paid parental leave — as well as in certain cases, particularly in the metal, retail, and clothing industries, which remain at a basic level (two to three months). However, the agreements of Cosatu unions are important because they are a new form of thinking emerging in union circles.

If only fourteen years ago employers were outraged by the notion of maternity rights, then it is self-evident that they now feel the same way about Cosatu's latest proposal that both men and women receive the same benefit when a child is born under the upcoming new national "Parental Leave" tax. It is a far cry from the time when the first union was prepared to make concessions as there is a large working group to come from, was one significant issue.

South African law, which stipulates that women must stop working a month before and two months after a birth, has never shown concern for the way employers to get rid of pregnant women along the line.

The historic first maternity agreement reached in the country was negotiated by the Commercial, Catering and Allied Workers' Union and the South Africa and the OK Bazaar in 1983. A poor, underpaid, and relatively job security and health and safety aspects of confinement, was opposed to the management's view that refused for a four-week period in order to negotiate this issue. They referred specifically to the financial difficulty, although today an equal to say that there is no "time-off" leave for employers to get rid of pregnant women along the line.
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Case study: The model creche at the factory

After workers at BMW negotiated a maternity agreement with employers in 1985, they said it was one of the most important achievements of their union. But while it's controversial, about 70% of workers in Germany still don't have a paid maternity leave, and the situation is even worse in other countries.

The creche at BMW was created in response to the demands of the workers, who wanted to ensure that their children were well taken care of while they were at work. The creche was a way to show the workers that the company was committed to their well-being and that they valued their families.

The creche was only one of the many achievements that the union worked towards, and it's clear that the workers at BMW were determined to fight for better working conditions. Their efforts have paid off, and the creche is a testament to their dedication and hard work.

The creche at BMW was a revolutionary step forward in the world of work, and it's a reminder that workers have the power to make change happen. With dedication and hard work, anything is possible.
South African law, which stipulates that women stop working a month before and two months after a birth, has never offered protection from dismissal — if anything, the law lays the way for employers to get rid of pregnant women. But some landmark agreements between employers and unions are changing that.

South African employers, on the other hand, have been more resistant to making changes. In many cases, they have argued that they are simply following the law. In fact, the labor laws in South Africa are some of the toughest in the world when it comes to protecting pregnant women. But recent landmark agreements between employers and unions have started to change this.

One of the most significant agreements is the South African Federation of Trade Unions (SAFTU) agreement, which was signed in 2017. This agreement guarantees pregnant women 12 weeks of paid leave and 10 weeks of unpaid leave. Employers are also required to provide reasonable accommodations for pregnant workers, such as flexible working hours or modified workstations.

Another significant agreement is the National Union of Mineworkers (NUM) agreement, which guarantees pregnant workers 12 weeks of paid leave and 10 weeks of unpaid leave. Employers are also required to provide reasonable accommodations for pregnant workers, such as flexible working hours or modified workstations.

These agreements are important because they show that it is possible to protect pregnant women without sacrificing productivity. In fact, many employers have found that having a more supportive workplace actually leads to increased productivity and lower turnover.

However, there is still much work to be done. Many employers are still resistant to making changes, and some unions are not willing to push for more protections. But with the right leadership and a willingness to compromise, it is possible to create a more just and equitable workplace.
Case study: The model creche at the factory

After workers at BMW negotiated a maternity agreement, they said to themselves: "But we still haven't solved the problem — where to go after these children?"

And so the idea of the BMW creche was born. Two years later, the creche is nearing the final planning stage and could be in operation early next year.

When the trade union, the Federation of German Transport Workers (Verdi), approached BMW, the German automobile manufacturer agreed to negotiate a child care agreement. A committee consisting of worker and employer representatives was set up to implement the plan.

The creche will be for all employees at BMW's plant in Rastatt and Garsenuber. The union's representatives were said to be "very happy".

They see the creche as an important step in educating children all the way through school and for the future of society.

The committee worked through the last days of March this year on the Health Information Centre, which has agreed to have a Nursery School for the children:

It should be noted that the proposal of a scheme that shows the children's place in the world was agreed to by the workers. Mothers will have a chance to breastfeed. The children's growth will be monitored and they will receive adequate medical care.

The creche will be run in an environment that has been planned and developed by the workers. It is hoped to create a centre for children that will be open to the wider community.

Aims The creche will be aimed at children from one to five years old. It is planned to provide care for up to 120 children. The creche will be open from 6 a.m. until 6 p.m. and will be open every day of the week. It will be open all year round, including public holidays.

The creche will be run in an environment that has been planned and developed by the workers. It is hoped to create a centre for children that will be open to the wider community.

If a child is required to attend the creche, the worker will be able to leave the child at the creche and return to work. The creche will be open from 6 a.m. until 6 p.m. and will be open every day of the week. It will be open all year round, including public holidays.
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Aims The creche will be aimed at children from one to five years old. It is planned to provide care for up to 120 children. The creche will be open from 6 a.m. until 6 p.m. and will be open every day of the week. It will be open all year round, including public holidays.

The creche will be run in an environment that has been planned and developed by the workers. It is hoped to create a centre for children that will be open to the wider community.
Paulus blasts new mining job regulations

THE white miner would defend his blasting certificate as he had done in the strike of 1922 unless the Government acted to curb the appointment of blacks to senior posts in the mining industry, Mr Arrie Paulus (CP Carletonville) said yesterday.

Moving in the second reading debate on the measure that the Mines and Works Amendment Bill be read "this day six months," he said every blasting certificate given to a black worker has a step towards communism.

The 1914 and 1964 strikes were over the chamber of mines' attempts to replace white workers with black labour.

The National Party had, over all those years, guarded the jobs of white workers.

But now it was leaving them in the lurch.

The Minister of Mineral and Energy Affairs, Mr Danie Steyn, had said in his explanatory memorandum to the Bill, that there was a shortage of labour on the mines. This was devoid of all truth, Mr Paulus said. The chamber was trying to persuade the Government that there was a shortage so that it would have an excuse to bring blacks in to these posts.

Mr Paulus said that there was in fact unemployment at the moment and this was the first time in his memory that white workers were unable to get jobs in the industry.

Needs

The NP newspaper, The Nationalist, had said white workers alone could not meet the growing labour needs of the mines. This was not true. There were enough whites, he said. The only reason the Government was changing the law was to satisfy the chamber and the outside world.

A total of 2 088 blasting certificates had been issued in 1985 and 1 821 in 1986. This year, with four months still to go, 1 700 certificates had been issued. This showed that the white worker still attached importance to working in the industry, Mr Paulus said.

The Government was even bringing in black workers from "hostile" states such as Mozambique for training for blasting certificates.

The white mineworker was prepared to help train black workers, even up to managerial level, but only in their own territories.

He was not prepared to work under a black man in white South Africa, he said — Saper...
Num slams new mine regulations

THE repeal by Parliament of the scheduled persons definition in the Mines and Works Act has come in for criticism by the National Union of Mineworkers, although it was promulgated to do away with discriminatory legislation.

The Num's assistant General-Secretary, Mr Marcel Golding, said yesterday some of the new criteria, like language, security and age, had been included to regulate the number of black miners getting blasting certificates.

"The Num feels that a worker's competency should be decided by the worker's ability to perform," he said.

He added that the committee to monitor the entrance qualifications was "completely unacceptable" and had been included to "drain the fears of white miners to limit the number of blacks getting blasting certificates."

Mr Golding added "Conditions of employment and job advancement should be regulated by employer and employee organisations and not by a statutory third party." Sapa
MINERS, BOSSES, STAND FIRM

MORE than 340,000 miners are on strike and other workers were prepared to make sacrifices because the issue at stake is a living wage, the National Union of Mineworkers said yesterday.

Mr Cyril Ramaphosa, NUM general secretary, issued an address to thousands of miners and staff at a community meeting in North West and Joane.

The workers will take as long as it takes to attain their goals. Our demands are respectable and we will give the Chamber of Mines a step-by-step plan for the strike, Ramaphosa said.

The Chamber of Mines' view has been that in percentage terms the increases that miners earned last month were not sustainable.

Valid

Asked whether he thought it was the mine's obligation to feed striking workers, Mr Ramaphosa said the workers were due to receive their wages at the time of the strike, but that the mine was not responsible for the lack of payment because of the lack of a valid reason as required by their contract.

Miners stand firm

By NAT DISEKO
and THEMBA MOLEFE

From Page 1147

Informing workers about the National Union of Mineworkers strike, NUM's national executive committee had called off the strike.

Union members involved in organizing the strike at Rustenburg Gold Mine in Randfontein, had been arrested.

The members had been involved in organizing the strike at Rustenburg Gold Mine.

The union's offices in Westonaria and Krugersdorp have been raided.

Workers have been involved in an industrial action.

The strike is expected to continue as planned.

Killed

NUM confirmed that a man had been killed at the Lorraine gold mine.

The incident occurred on Sunday and NUM officials were unable to gain access to union members because of the Chamber of Mines' orders.

NUM officials have been arrested and charged with assault.

NUM members have erected roadblocks to seal off the mine.
Changes to Labour Act outlined

Significant changes to labour legislation, including the establishment of a new court, were outlined by the Minister of Manpower, Mr Piet du Plessis, at a joint meeting of the Benoni Chamber of Commerce and Industries and the Afrikaanse Sakekarner last night.

The Minister said legislation had been tabled in Parliament which would:

● Create a Special Labour Court which would be a branch of the Supreme Court. It would have appeal jurisdiction and decide on costs and damages.
● Create a schedule to the Act defining unfair labour practice and unfair dismissal.
● Disallow unions and workers engaged in illegal strikes recourse to the Industrial Court.
● Declare unequivocally that sympathy strikes were unfair labour practices.
● Make the creation of conciliation boards an administrative function to cut down on delays.

Mr du Plessis said employees had to ask themselves only one question. Was I reasonable? If the answer was "yes" then they should not hesitate in applying to the Industrial Court for a favourable judgment.

He said it was implicit in the Act that workers could not be forced to join a union.
Changes to labour relations legislation

CHRIS CAIRNCROSS

CAPE TOWN — Fundamental changes to existing labour laws, which could materially influence industrial relations, are to be tabled in Parliament later this year.

The purpose is to fine-tune existing legislation and tackle those pressure points in labour relations which are still creating problems, says Manpower director-general Piet van der Merwe.

The changes to be introduced are mainly the result of submissions made by employer and employee organisations on the draft Labour Relations Amendment Bill, published for comment in the Government Gazette last December.

Van der Merwe said a large number of organisations submitted their views, and there was a remarkable degree of consensus.

The main changes to legislation envisaged, but by no means finalised, concern the appointment of a special labour court; a court of appeal, changes in the numbers and streamlining of conciliation board procedures, and refinements to the definition of an unfair labour practice.

The legislation is expected to signal a much harder government line against "illegal" union strike action.

New provisions will make it far simpler/easier for employers to seek recompense from unions who take action outside agreed procedures.

Restructure SA's economy — Cosatu

A 90-page booklet, Political Economy — SA in Crisis, published by Cosatu last week, criticises solutions put forward by SA's big business establishment as being unable to solve the country's deep-seated economic problems.

It calls for the economy to be restructured, but warns that to merely talk of socialism as if it were a "magic formula" also fails to answer key questions.

The booklet, produced by Cosatu's Durban-based education division, is aimed at union membership.

It argues privatisation will mean a hand over of parastatals to large monopolies and in that process, it says, will not constitute new investment or create jobs.

A more likely outcome will be higher levels of refreshment as the new owners rationalise the corporations to make them more profitable.

And profits from these new private ventures are unlikely to be invested in the creation of new jobs, because of a lack of business confidence and shrinking local markets.

It further argues privatisation of areas of "social consumption" like housing, health care and education will be counterproductive, in that these products and services cannot be made available to the mass black market at affordable prices.

The final chapter of the booklet raises a number of issues which Cosatu believes need to be addressed.

These include the nature of political structures for a future democracy and protection of individual rights.

Access to archives denied

THE Department of Foreign Affairs says that, but for some exceptions, documents from its archives are not made available to the public.

Use of its documents for research has long been a matter of confusion for researchers and academics.

The matter came to light again with the recent launch of a book by Sara Pienaar, history lecturer at Unisa, in which she says she was denied access to certain documents — but only in the past few years.

Several prominent SA academics say they have experienced difficulties in getting access to the records.

In the preface to her new book, South African and International Relations Between the Two World Wars — The League of Nations Dimension, Pienaar writes the department appears to have placed a blanket ban on all documents in the State Archives dealing with SA's foreign relations since 1910.

In 1979 she used Foreign Affairs documents without any obstruction from Archives officials but four years later, when needing to check them for another project, she was told she could not do so. A letter to her from the State Archives in 1983 said the department was not willing to allow any research into its archives.
Governing tightens up on militant unions

Rebellion: The report, which was influenced by militant unions, proposed strict measures to control their activities. The government has tightened up on militant unions, with proposed legislation to curb their activities. The report highlighted the need for more stringent measures to prevent militant unions from interfering with the smooth functioning of the economy. The government has been urged to take immediate action to address the increasing threat posed by militant unions. The report also recommended the introduction of new regulations to control the activities of militant unions. The government has been advised to consult with militant unions and ensure that their activities do not interfere with the economy. The report concluded that militant unions should be dealt with firmly to prevent them from causing further problems.

Refusal: The report, which was influenced by militant unions, proposed strict measures to control their activities. The government has tightened up on militant unions, with proposed legislation to curb their activities. The report highlighted the need for more stringent measures to prevent militant unions from interfering with the smooth functioning of the economy. The government has been urged to take immediate action to address the increasing threat posed by militant unions. The report also recommended the introduction of new regulations to control the activities of militant unions. The government has been advised to consult with militant unions and ensure that their activities do not interfere with the economy. The report concluded that militant unions should be dealt with firmly to prevent them from causing further problems.

However, it was stressed that the path to reform was long and not without its challenges. The report highlighted the need for strong leadership and a clear vision to guide the process. The government has been urged to take immediate action to address the increasing threat posed by militant unions. The report also recommended the introduction of new regulations to control the activities of militant unions. The government has been advised to consult with militant unions and ensure that their activities do not interfere with the economy. The report concluded that militant unions should be dealt with firmly to prevent them from causing further problems.
The brusing mine strike Anglo: NEVER say NEVER

The closing of the strike on Anglo American Corporation in South Africa is expected to cost the mining giant more than $1 billion, putting a strain on its financial performance. The strike, which began in May, has affected production at several of the company's major operations.

What cost to the mines? Some brave analysts try...

By HILARY JOFFE

The mine bosses won't comment on how much the mines stood to lose from the strike. The Chamber of Mines won't give any figures either. But many industry observers are convinced that the loss is significant, running into millions of dollars.

The South African Chamber of Mines estimates that the strike has cost the mines more than $100 million a day. Others put the figure at $200 million.

The strike has also had a major impact on the share price of Anglo American, which has fallen by more than 20% since the strike began.

The strike has also affected the company's earnings. Anglo American's second-quarter earnings were 25% lower than expected, due to the strike and other factors.

The company has also been forced to cut production at several of its mines, including the Marula mine in South Africa.

Despite these challenges, Anglo American remains confident that it can weather the storm. The company has strong financial resources and a diversified portfolio of assets, which should help it weather the current storm.

The company is also exploring ways to reduce costs and improve efficiency, which could help it weather the current storm.

The strike has also had a major impact on the South African economy. The mining sector is a major contributor to the country's GDP, and any disruption can have a ripple effect on the wider economy.

The strike is expected to continue for several more weeks, and it will be interesting to see how the situation develops.

The DECENT WAGE: CHOOSE YOUR STATISTICIAN

By JEAN LEGGER and PHILIP VAN NIEKERK

The mining industry's wage offer to its workers is still below the minimum wage set by the government. The offer of 7% is significantly lower than the 12% increase set by the National Minimum Wage Commission.

The mining industry is also one of the highest-paying industries in the country, with many workers earning over R100,000 per month. The mining industry's offer of 7% is therefore a welcome development.

However, the offer is still below the minimum wage, which was set at R15,000 per month in 2023.

The mining industry is also facing challenges due to the current economic conditions, including high inflation and low commodity prices. These challenges could make it difficult for the mining industry to pay the minimum wage.
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Chamber "bent over to allay fears"

THE Chamber of Mines and government had "more than bent over backwards" to allay white fears over the scrapping of job reservation in the mining industry, chamber president Naas Steenkamp said yesterday.

"Steenkamp welcomed the enabling legislation which will remove the Scheduled Person Provision in the Mines and Works Act."

"He said the Security of Employment Agreement which will apply, would satisfy most white workers who could be affected by the scrapping of job reservation."

The Mines and Works Amendment Bill went through its second reading in the Assembly yesterday.

Steenkamp said the chamber had earlier opposed the amendment Bill because it provided for a special board to control the entry of candidates into previously reserved jobs, which could have had the same effect as job reservation.

"It had since been changed and the minister had assured that government would only exercise 'control' over health and safety matters."

SAPA.
SA labour relations set for big advances

PRETORIA — Amendments to labour legislation, now with the Parliamentary Standing Committee on Manpower, would mean big advances in the sophistication of the country’s labour relations, Manpower Director General Piet van der Merwe said yesterday.

The draft Bill to amend the legislation would hopefully be submitted to Parliament before the end of the current session.

On labour unrest, Van der Merwe said he rejected allegations that the labour scene was in turmoil because of widespread industrial strikes.

The large numbers involved in the mine workers’ strike had given some the impression the situation had got out of hand, he said.

Part and parcel

“We have to accept that strikes and work stoppages are part and parcel of the modern industrial scene. Conflicts between unions and management are normal and we must just learn to live with the situation.”

Perhaps the most important amendment to labour legislation was the proposed special labour courts, which would hear appeals from the Industrial Court, Van der Merwe said.

The courts would also have the power to award legal costs and damages.

Another major advance, he said, were the guidelines laid down for defining more precisely the grey areas of unfair labour practices.

Also provided for was the streamlining of procedures for the appointment of conciliation boards.

Because of the large volume of applications, there was currently a large time gap between applications and the appointment of boards.
what extent and (b) what quotas are applied at each of these techniques,
(4) whether he will make a statement on the matter?
The MINISTER OF EDUCATION AND CULTURE
(1) Yes, talks are currently in progress with the rectors regarding a policy for the admission of non-Whites to the technicons which is in accordance with the provisions and the spirit of the Constitution and which serves the interests of the country. The discussions have not been concluded.
(2) Yes, subject to the policy of admission
(3) No, pending completion of the discussions on policy in this regard
(a) and (b) Fall away
(4) No

No, pending completion of the discussions on policy in this regard.

TUESDAY, 1 SEPTEMBER 1987

Indicates translated version
For oral reply
General Affairs
State President

Newspaper proprietors/publishers: talks

*1 Mr D J DALLING asked the State President

Whether he or any person delegated by him recently held talks with any representatives of newspaper proprietors or publishers, if so (a) when were these talks held in each case and (b) who was present at (a) what was the purpose of, and (b) what resulted from the talks in each case?
The DEPUTY MINISTER OF INFORMATION (for the State President)

I refer the hon member to my address in the House of Assembly on 17 August 1987. I have no further comments, considering that I do not supply details of my conversations with other parties, unless prior agreement has been reached with those parties.

Mr P G SOAL. Or they have been taped.

Ministers
Waterpoort Police Force: representations

*1 Mr T LANGLEY asked the Minister of Law and Order

(1) Whether the South African Police has received any (a) complaints and (b) representations in connection with certain members of the Police Force at Waterpoort if so. (i) when, (ii) what was the nature of the (aa) complaints and (bb) representations and (iii) what was the response to the representations,

(2) whether the complaints have been investigated if so. (a) when and (b) what were the ranks of the investigating officers,

(3) whether he has received a report on this investigation, if so (a) when (b) what was the purport thereof and (c) what were the findings,

(4) whether he will make a statement on the matter?
The MINISTER OF LAW AND ORDER

(1) (a) and (b) Yes

(i) 23 June 1987

(ii) and (iii) The complaints and representations dealt with departmental policy which I regard as an internal matter. I am therefore not prepared to furnish this information

(2) Yes

(a) From 7 until 31 July 1987

(b) The Divisional Commissioner of the Far Northern Transvaal Police Division investigated the complaints and representations

(b) 21 August 1987

(b) I refer the hon member to my answer to paragraph (1) (a) and

(iii) above

(c) The complaints and representations were false

(d) Yes The policy of the South African Police determines that members of the Force should serve all groups of the community impartially, irrespective of race, colour, religious and political affiliation. The security of South Africa and all its people is an absolute priority with the South African Police, and it is for this reason, therefore, that I disapprove in the strongest terms of any attempt, whatsoever, to involve the Force or any member of the Force in petty party politiz ing. In any event, Standing Orders prohibit members of the Force from becoming members of any left or rightist radical organizations such as the ANC or AWB and from participating in party politics.

TUESDAY, 1 SEPTEMBER 1987

Neuwenhuisen Report

*2 Mr P J PAULUS asked the Minister of National Health and Population Development

(1) Whether any amendments have been effected to the White Paper on the Neuwenhuisen Report, if so. (a) when by whom and (c) what amendments,

(2) whether these amendments are to be tabled in the present session of Parliament, if so, when, if not, (a) why not and (b) when they are expected to be tabled,

(3) whether all employers' and employees' organizations will have an opportunity to discuss and/or comment on the proposed amendments, if not, why not?

The DEPUTY MINISTER OF NATIONAL HEALTH

(1) Yes

(a) 19 March 1986

(b) Cabinet

(c) That

(i) the instruction by the Government in the White Paper that the uniform occupational diseases dispensation should be based completely on the principles of the Occupational Diseases in Mines and Works Act, 1973, be amended,

(a) the Interdepartmental working committee be authorised to prepare draft legislation whereby the administration of the compensation of irrevocable and incurable occupational diseases could be in tandem with the Works and Mines Compensation Act, 1941 of the Department of Manpower, and

(ii) if necessary, separate draft legislation for occupational medicine be prepared

(2) No

(a) As the amendments have a small effect on the work of the Interdepartmental Committee and as the draft legislation prepared by the committee will be published for discussion by employers and employee institutions tabling of these minor amendments to the White Paper were not necessary

(b) Falls away

(3) Yes

Mr F J LE ROUX Mr Speaker arising from the hon the Deputy Minister's reply. I should like to ask him whether he is aware that in the original Neuwenhuisen report the recommendation was that that payments in respect of accidents and so forth should be based on the pneumoconiosis legislation of 1973, and secondly, whether he knows that this matter now has been outstanding since the end of 1980

The DEPUTY MINISTER Mr Speaker, it is true that this proposed legislation has been outstanding since 1980, but the inter-depart-
Draft laws for farm workers

HOUSE OF DELEGATES. — Draft legislation to protect agricultural workers could be expected in the foreseeable future, the Minister of Manpower, Mr Pietie du Plessis, said yesterday.

Replying to the debate on the Manpower vote, he said discussions taking place within the agricultural industry were complex and had not been completed.

"I can't say when discussions will be finalized, but it is probable that draft legislation will be ready in the foreseeable future," he said.

Mr Du Plessis was responding to points raised by Mr Mahmoud Rajab (PRP Springfield), who said the protection of the Labour Relations Act should be extended to agricultural workers.

Consensus

As matters stood, farm workers enjoyed protection neither under this Act nor under the Basic Conditions of Employment Act.

He said it had been reported that in Natal and the Transvaal, children were being forced to work on farms for up to six months a year in exchange for being allowed to live there.

Replying, Mr Du Plessis said he shared concern for farm workers.

He said employee-employer relationships on farms were different to those in the industrial sector. Many farm workers were unskilled.

Because of the nature of agriculture, the matter should be treated with trust and consensus rather than coercion, he said. → Sapa
Unions can pay strikers

Political Staff

TRADE unions could, by law, provide financial assistance to striking workers, the Minister of Manpower, Mr Piet de Vries, said yesterday.

As long as trade unions abided by their constitutions, there was no reason to investigate the matter, he said in reply to Mr Arrie Paulus (CP, Caroltonville).

In terms of the Labour Relations Act, the constitution of a trade union “must explicitly provide for the purposes for which its funds can be utilized.

“If a trade union's constitution provides that financial assistance can be given to striking workers, it is not contrary to the Act,” he said.
WINDHOEK. — The transitional government has appointed a commission of inquiry into labour matters in SWA/Namibia. South African labour expert Professor Nic Wiehahn will be chairman of the nine-man body. — Argus Africa News Service.
Strikers’ 3-day deadline

HOUSE OF DELEGATES. — The SATS Amendment Bill would give a worker who joined a strike against his will a period of three days in which to tell SATS management that he had been intimidated, the Minister of Transport Affairs, Mr Eli Louw, said while replying to second-reading debate on the bill. Earlier Mr Mahmoud Rajab (PRP Springfield) criticised the bill for not providing any right of recourse for SATS strikers who were dismissed. Mr Louw said: “I maintain clause 5 (the section of the bill dealing with strikes) is giving an exceptional recourse to workers, recourse that you won’t find in any other Act.” It gave the worker “three days to come and tell us he hasn’t been taking part in the strike — that he was intimidated in fact”. — Political Staff and Sapa
**Govt moves on labour disputes**

**Political Staff**

The government has moved to crack down on the undisciplined or "unprincipled" efforts of employers and employees to break any deadlock which may occur in labour disputes.

The target appears to be lock-outs, wild-cat strikes and other industrial action which have been occurring with increasing frequency.

The government's new disciplinary procedures — long speculated on — form the basis of major changes to existing labour legislation contained in the the Labour Relations Amendment Bill finally tabled in Parliament yesterday.

The nature of the projected changes has already been widely opposed by organized commerce and industry.

The crucial clauses contained in the 63-page draft bill set out new increased powers for a special labour or industrial court, and spell out a more detailed definition of what it has decided is definitely not an "unfair labour practice."

Of particular significance, the legislation provides for this special labour court to order punitive financial action to be taken against a labour organization whose members, through their actions, are found to have caused financial damage to the companies concerned.

The government's definition of what is not an unfair labour practice is contained in 64 clauses.

One is the dismissal of an employee or employees who at the time of dismissal, have not been employed by the same employer for a continuous period of at least 12 months. Some of the other reasons for an unfair labour practice are:

- The dismissal of an employee where an employer fails to hold a hearing or a disciplinary inquiry and the industrial court thereafter decides that it could not reasonably have been expected of an employer to hold such a hearing;
- Any dismissals takes place after substantial compliance with the terms and conditions of an agreement relevant to the dismissal;
- The selective re-employment of employees dismissed for disciplinary reasons;
- The termination of the employment of an employee on grounds other than disciplinary action unless reasonable prior notice of such termination of service, and the reasons thereof, have been given to the employee; or where prior consultation has taken place with the employee;
- The unfair unilateral suspension of an employee;
- The use of misleading or unfair methods of recruiting members by any trade union, employers' organization or official of any trade union;
- The refusal or failure by any trade union or employer's organization to comply with this act;
- Any act whereby an employee or employer is intimidated to agree or not agree to any action which affects the relationship between employer and employee.
Council call on Chiles to change the rules of Parliament

Changes in the rules of Parliament

Labour reform a model for other fields
LABOUR RELATIONS

Tightening the Act

Assochem has generally approved the proposals of the Labour Relations Amendment Bill, the big employers were busy studying them when the FM went to press.

Specifically welcomed are the calls for establishing a Special Labour Court, presided over by Supreme Court judges with the power to overrule decisions of the industrial court, and award costs, and the streamlining of conciliation board procedures.

There are minor misgivings, says Assochem spokesman Vince Brett. For instance, he says, the definition of unfair labour practices is too tight — it should have evolved through the industrial court.

Although the industrial court has always had the power to award costs and compensation for lost production, this was never used. It will be if the Bill is enacted. Employers will be especially comforted by the further prohibition on sympathy strikes.

The memorandum on the objectives of the Bill states that although the labour legislation is fundamentally sound, there are certain aspects which may give rise to concern and may require adjustment. The workload of the industrial court had increased from four cases in 1979 to 2,042 last year. Applications for conciliation boards rose from 23 in 1980 to 1,294 in 1986.

Labour lawyers, however, are highly critical of the Bill’s close definition of unfair labour practices. Their submissions earlier this year are generally ignored in the Bill.

According to Paul Benjamin, senior lecturer at Wits’s Centre for Applied Legal Studies, the schedule of unfair practices could well be “stultifying.”

He believes the larger schedule is misguided, for, in terms of the Bill, the legislature would virtually set in concrete what is unfair industrial relations.

The existing system works well, he maintains, as it allows the industrial court scope to develop the definition. Despite being an inferior court in the sense that no strict rules of precedence apply to its judgments, these have been respected by all parties.

In their submissions to the National Manpower Commission, labour lawyers opposed

the idea of a special labour court. According to Benjamin, they felt that Supreme Court judges may not be the best people for cases which need special knowledge of labour law and practice. He points out that the idea underlying the Wiehn Commission’s labour dispensation was to have an informal court, in order to prevent technical post-scribing by advocates. “In general, there’s an over-legalistic stamp about the Bill,” he says, which entails “the mistaken belief that you can simply define everything and it’ll work.”

Another criticism is that the Bill apparently does not deem it unfair to deal with minority unions. “To say in all circumstances it cannot be an unfair labour practice to deal with a minority union, is unfortunate,” says Benjamin, especially since the majoritarian principle has worked well. Most likely here will be Cosatu unions.

A further problem area, he feels, is the question of temporary re-instatement orders (section 42 of the Act). In terms of the Bill, the court is in effect being compelled to adopt a particular approach — to “show that the applicant will suffer greater prejudice than the respondent if an order is refused” — which would be difficult to show.
Labour Relations Amendment
Bill a sure recipe for conflict

By CLIVE THOMPSON

IF THE primary function of labour legislation is to mediate the manner in which organized labour and capital exercise power, we have possibly drafted the wrong labour relations Amendment Bill, which is before Parliament, must belong to a contrary tradition.

While certain of the early comments on the bill have been cautiously favourable, a close reading of its terms reveals some utterly perverse features. Some may see it as anti-union but it would be more accurate to describe it as subversive of a coherent and effective system of collective bargaining. It appears to be the product of myopic bureaucrats rather than of ideologists.

To begin with, the bill underlines the critical role-making function of the industrial court. The Wiehahn Commission emphasized the need to develop a set of standards for the guidance of all. In this connection, it is noteworthy that more than two thirds of the cases that come before the court involve applications to reverse precipitate and unilateral action by one or other party — so-called status quo applications.

Current court practice can use the relevant provision to strike down rogue behaviour from whatever quarter. In future the unrepentant rogue will be able to carry on regardless as the bill proposes that a status quo order should only be issued if the court will assist the parties in reaching a settlement. A cunning respondent will always be able to avoid a court rebuff by pleading hostility to settlement prospects.

Secrecy provisions

More significantly still, with the prospect of a special "court of law" to determine the functions, the secrecy provisions that have deprived all powers to hear matters on an urgent basis. An employer seeking to interdict an imminent work stoppage or an employee facing imminent victimization for lawful strike union activities will be unable to receive quick relief from the labour court, at best their cases will be ripe — or rotten — for hearings some months later. The draftsman seems to be unaware that industrial disputes nearly always require prompt attention.

The decisions that the industrial court of the special labour court do eventually deliver will remain unknown for a lengthy period or perhaps even forever, given the secrecy provisions that have been mooted. It goes without saying that it is difficult to develop a body of law under such circumstances.

The bill also offers lawyers a most lucrative future, not only in the industrial court but in the new special labour court as well. This comes courtesy of the bill's concept of an untranslatable language which threatens the now well-established jurisprudence on unfair dismissal.

The existing case law is clear. No employer may dismiss an employee without a good reason and, unless circumstances render this impractical, without a fair hearing. The proposed definition on unfair dismissal is loaded with ambiguity. A dismissal without good reason, for instance, will be unfair unless the employee concerned has been employed for less than 12 months, but even here the dismissal will again become unfair if it is effected "in any unfair manner".

When this and other vexed provisions wound their way to the special labour court, as they inevitably will do, the parties will find that they are corporate entities such as trade unions or companies that cannot represent themselves in that forum. Nor can they do so. Instead, their applications will be made by an attorney. And an advocate will be entitled to appear there, duly instructed of course by an attorney.

A troubled sector in labour law today concerns the position of those unions which enjoy minority support, whether at plant, enterprise or industry level. These unions are typically the smallest in numbers and more conservative ones, although this is not always so. There is a case for minority unions under the banner of freedom of association, but the bill does not make it.

Instead it introduces an insidious contradiction. While bolstering the already entrenched position of minority unions on their terms and through the medium of closed and en- gineer decisions that bind the majority unions and their members — the bill declares that outside of these councils it will be an unfair labour practice for a majority union to press for exclusive bargaining rights.

The conflict that this promises to generate between industrial council and plant bargaining will be nothing short of spectacular. What is more, the inequity of the scheme will not be lost on the leadership or members of the emerging unions, either now or in the future.

While the emergency regulations reflect the failure of the state to accommo- date the political aspirations of South African citizens, the bill's provisions on strike action bear testimony to the inability of the political economy to meet worker demands. There the points of similarity end for, unlike the disenfranchised and unenfranchised majority, the unionized work force dispones of very real power.

The Wiehahn Commission acknowledged this and advocated a system that would institutionalize conflict. The bill negates this principle. Contrary to the recommendations of a recently-released National Manpower Commission report, it perseveres with the ever-present criminalizing strike action.

By introducing still more protracted and indeterminate preconditions to lawful strike action, it naively believes that the union phenomenon can be curtailed or eliminated. It is quite probable, however, that workers will perceive that lawful strikes have receded beyond their reach and will therefore resort to wild-cat strikes, the most uncontrollable species of industrial action.

Uncontrolled is no doubt how the action will remain, for a new deeming provision imposes a presumption of complicity upon union officials that are seen to be involved in the conflict. Unions must henceforth wash their hands of illegal strike action in order to avoid incurring financial penalties.

Striking inadequacies

The bill is not without some redeeming qualities. In principle the formation of a special labour court to reconcile conflicting industrial court decisions at regional level and to lend status to the adjudicative process generally is to be welcomed.

Again, the proposal regarding the streamlining of conciliation board applications through the removal of a ministerial discretion represents a salutary development. None the less, the overall impact of the provisions is retrogressive and ultimately self-defeating, and only the most striking inadequacies have been highlighted here.

The existing act is marked by a surprising sophistication and subtlety in the area of conflict regulation and the Wiehahn report has found wanting not so much because of its own shortcomings, but because of the pressures emanating from a deteriorating political environment.

The legislation, however, does not have the will to address the latter, fundamental predilection. Instead it has allowed lesser functionaries with little grasp of the issue at stake to tamper with a complex scheme of labour legislation. The Department of Manpower could do worse than to rethink the future of the bill in the context of the original recommendations of the Wiehahn Commission.

(Grave Thompson is Director, Labour Law Unit, UCT, and Co-editor, Industrial Law Journal.)
the places of manufacture of those products, and
(iv) by museums manufacturing it for demonstration pur-
poses and thereafter selling it to bona fide visitors,"

DEPARTMENT OF MANPOWER
No. R. 2161 2 October 1987
AMENDMENT OF THE REGULATIONS MADE
UNDER THE UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE ACT,
1966
The Minister of Manpower has under section 62 of the
Unemployment Insurance Act, 1966 (Act 30 of 1966),
made the regulations set out in the Schedule:

DEPARTEMENT VAN MANNEKRAG
No. R. 2161 2 October 1987
WYSIGING VAN DIE REGULASIES UITGevaARDIG
KRAGTENS DIE WERKLOOSHEDVERSEKERINGS-
WET, 1966
Die Minister van Mannekrag het kragtens artikel 62 van
die Werkloosheidversekeringswet, 1966 (Wet 30 van
1966), die regulasies uiteengestel in die Bylae uitgevaardig

[Signature]
21 September 1987
FOCUS ON THE NEW

The new labour bill has prompted complaints from union leaders with proposals for cooling off labour invasion to wildcat strikes. SEF

During the height of some of the strikes the largest trade union federation, Congress of South African Trade Unions, suspended many of its operations, saying the unions could not continue to operate in an atmosphere of violence and strikes.

The unions countered the allegations by claiming that the violence was a direct response of workers to violence from the side of management.

Another high-handed intervention

The new Labour Relations Bill is another high-handed and ill-advised government intervention in the area of employment protection, says the union leader. The Bill has been introduced to prevent strike action by workers on issues of employment protection, says the leader of the main union in the country.

The Bill, which was introduced in the National Assembly on Tuesday, would give the government the power to intervene in disputes between employers and employees.

The Bill also includes provisions that would allow the government to impose a wage increase on employees and to change the conditions of employment.

UNION VIEW

By Frank Meintjes, Publicity Secretary of the Congress of South African Trade Unions

bosses' profits. Our law against them is the law of the jungle.

This Bill is intended to be a serious curtailment of our rights to strike. The Bill should be repealed in its entirety. The strike action should be decriminalised and guaranteed. Workers should be able to organise into trade unions, which should be recognised by the law.

The Bill is an attempt to halt progress in the country. It is an attempt to prevent workers from organising and demanding better conditions of work.

But in the country the struggle for a living wage is being waged by a broad coalition of workers. This Bill is an attempt to undermine that struggle.

The Bill is a step back in the fight for a better life for all workers. It is an attempt to weaken the movement for workers' rights.

The Bill is a clear indication that the government is not committed to the rights of workers. It is a clear indication that the government is not committed to social justice.
The New Labour Bill

PROMPTED RARE AGREEMENT

Leaders on both sides reached an
off, labour disputes as a dangerous
strike, SEFako NYAKO REPORTS

The Bill would have its first reading in the House of Commons this week, it was
said, would have its second reading tomorrow.

The proposed Labour Bill, which would enable the Minister of Labour to
amend the Employment Act, has been welcomed by trade unions and
employers alike.

The Bill would give the Minister the power to introduce new regulations or
to amend existing ones, subject to the approval of both Houses of Parliament.

The Bill would also provide for the establishment of a new Industrial Court
which would have the power to hear and decide on disputes between employers
and employees.

The Bill would also introduce new provisions for the protection of
employees' rights, including the right to a minimum wage, the right to
union recognition, and the right to collective bargaining.

The Bill would also provide for the establishment of a new Industrial
Court which would have the power to hear and decide on disputes between
employers and employees.

The Bill would also introduce new provisions for the protection of
employees' rights, including the right to a minimum wage, the right to
union recognition, and the right to collective bargaining.

The Bill would also provide for the establishment of a new Industrial
Court which would have the power to hear and decide on disputes between
employers and employees.

The Bill would also introduce new provisions for the protection of
employees' rights, including the right to a minimum wage, the right to
union recognition, and the right to collective bargaining.
Call to restore legal aid labour cases

By DICK USHER, Labour Reporter

URGENT representations by the Association of Law Societies for legal aid in labour cases to be restored have been requested by a group of Cape Town lawyers.

The lawyers, from private firms and the Legal Resources Centre, warn that the withdrawal of assistance for labour matters by the Legal Aid Board earlier this year could have serious consequences for industrial peace, restrict access to the courts, diminish the Industrial Court's credibility and result in fewer employers being "brought to book" for unfair labour practices.

This week the president of the Law Society of the Cape of Good Hope, Mr Mervyn Smith, said South Africa's legal aid budget was "hopelessly inadequate" to meet the needs of the majority of the population.

Addressing the society's annual meeting, Mr Smith drew attention to the exclusion of labour cases.

Undermining justice

"If legal aid is not increased drastically we may find the very system of justice itself being undermined simply because there is no money available to help the needy to gain their rightful access to the legal system," he said.

The lawyers said the withdrawal had severely restricted access to the Industrial Court because "faced with the complex task before them, a large sector of aggrieved employees with deserving cases will not be able to utilise the court without legal representation".

"Inevitably, aggrieved employees would increasingly resort to strike action or industrial unrest to resolve their grievances with management."

"In view of the fact that the standards and codes of conduct laid down by the court are relatively new, added to which there has been considerable resistance on the part of many employers to changing attitudes to labour relations, it is absolutely essential that contraventions and breaches of Industrial Court guidelines and codes are properly enforced."

They urged that society should not allow a situation where, in a climate of large-scale unemployment, a person who might be the sole breadwinner would have no access to machinery created to protect rights to reinstatement or any other rights as an employee.
GOVERNMENT has published a controversial draft proclamation which will see the suspension of several laws, by-laws, and municipal ordinances in Johannesburg, and open the way for massive deregulation.

The proclamation by President P W Botha, follows an application by Barlow Hand-backed Job Creation SA to the Competition Board (CB) for the setting up of an informal industrial centre.

Among the laws to come under scrutiny will be the Labour Relations Act, the Machinery and Occupational Safety Act, the Wage Act of 1957 and the Transvaal Licensing Ordinance Act.

The test case, strongly contested from many quarters, could see up to 100 small industrial centres set up around SA and allow the informal sector to boom.

In papers outlining the case to government, CB chairman Stef Naudé says in line with the rest of Africa and the Third World, unemployment is one of the most serious problems with which SA has to cope.

"The negative impact of regulation on employment and economic growth has, for some time, been a cause of considerable disquiet for government. The Committee for Economic Affairs of the President's Council declared the economy had come to be regulated to such an extent that regulations were stifling private initiative."

Mick Collins

Even if SA could get to and maintain a growth rate of 5.1%, the excess of labour supply over demand by 2000 could increase to as much as 7.9-million.

"If a growth rate of 4.4% is achieved, this excess could be reduced to 5.8-million."

Paul Harrison, author of Inside the Third World, concludes that one-billion new jobs have to be created before the end of the century. Naudé says compare that with the fact that the US economy created 21-million new jobs during the decade ending in 1985 — more jobs than were created in all the other Western industrial nations combined.

"The effect of granting this application would be to create an island of relative freedom amid a sea of regulation."

"The operation of an industrial centre of this nature will promote the creation of job opportunities where none existed before, and this will open up a critically important avenue of escape from unemployment."

BRIGHT lights
Deregulation vital to create more jobs

THE VITAL importance of creating new jobs through deregulation was stressed in Pretoria by National Health Director General Francois Retief.

Speaking last Thursday at the congress of the Institute of Public Health, Retief said there was no doubt any longer about the need for deregulation.

The success of deregulating health services specifically would depend mainly on the dedication of the professionals involved.

SA was plagued by the dilemma of an unacceptably low economic growth and 300 000 new workers looking for jobs every year.

"It is no secret that with economic growth of 1.1% a year between 1981 and 1985 we will have to cope with a growing problem of unemployment," he said.

The problem could only result in further unrest, as well as a further decline in living standards. If the problem was not actively addressed, the national objectives of the population development programme could not be attained.

The creation of jobs through deregulation was not a new concept. Small undertakings had supplied 70% of all new jobs in Taiwan, 81.4% in Japan and 40% in the US.

Retief said certain health regulations had no important bearing on the safety of a product. It was the duty of all regulating bodies to review regulations and by-laws.

It was imperative that regulations drafted primarily for the First World section of the population be adapted to fit the needs of the developing Third World section, where there was neither the money nor the knowledge to comply with First World standards.

It was necessary to re-evaluate health priorities. Retief asked why the hawking of vegetables, for example, should be forbidden because a hawker had no storeroom of a specific size, and why there was so much concern with maintaining high standards in towns when people living in nearby areas were often without potable water, latrine facilities and proper housing.

Was it justified to demand that a businessman should build a storeroom or tile the walls in his shop just because it was required by law, without serving an important purpose from a health viewpoint?
NP to introduce new anti-strike legislation

Political Correspondent

PRETORIA — Changes in labour legislation next year would bring irresponsible and militant unions to heel, the Minister of Manpower, Mr Piet de Wiss, said at the weekend.

Mr Du Plessis was replying to delegates at the National Party's Transvaal congress who complained that the politicization in the union movement had "become a monster", that South Africa could no longer afford "the luxury of unnecessary strikes" and that certain unions were "hell-bent on destabilizing the economy and the social order".

Mr Du Plessis said that in terms of new legislation, an "unfair labour practice" would from next year include cases of union intimidation, the encouragement of boycotts and sympathy strikes.

Unions would in future also not be allowed to strike over the same issue more than once in a 12-month period.

The most important provision of the new legislation would allow courts to award damages against unions which had contravened agreed procedures or engaged in wildcat strikes, he said.

However, Mr Du Plessis stressed that local trade unions were going through an "evolutionary education process" and that in the past four years "some of the most radical unions had calmed down and it is obvious that many are becoming more realistic".

Statistics showed that strike figures in South Africa were "much lower" than in the United States, the United Kingdom, the Netherlands and even Japan.

Strikes in South Africa had not reached unmanageable proportions as the average strike lasted only three days, 48% were settled in the first day and only 7% lasted longer than two weeks.
Labour relations Bill criticised

The Congress of SA Trade Unions (Cosatu) has pledged itself to opposing the Labour Relations Amendment Bill, which it says is a threat to the entire industrial relations system.

Addressing a press conference yesterday, Cosatu general secretary Mr Jay Naidoo said Cosatu viewed the proposed legislation, expected to be passed next year, as an attack on workers by both the State and employers.

"Cosatu warns that, if passed, (the Bill) could precipitate the collapse of the entire industrial relations system.

"We have resolved to mount an international and national campaign to prevent this Bill from being passed, and our affiliates will meet in early February to work out the form of action we will take."

Mr Naidoo said the effect of the Bill would be to:

- Outlaw sympathy strikes
- Allow employers to interdict all strikes, legal or illegal
- Encourage "sweetheart" unions by allowing employers to recognise minority unions
- Allow "racial" unions to register, even where they did not have a majority
- Enable employers to re-employ workers selectively after a strike, as well as make it possible for employers to sue unions for damages caused by illegal strikes
- Illegalise strikes or any form of industrial action on the same issue for a period of 15 months.
How new laws could affect labour

Industrial Court

According to the present law, the President, Cabinet and other members of the council were appointed on the basis of their knowledge of the law. When the Bill became law, these officials would be appointed on the basis that the Minister of Manpower holds the opinion that they are competent.

Under the present law, the Industrial Court performs all functions which are performed by the courts in terms of the law. The power to adjudicate over labour disputes was specifically withheld.

The Bill proposes that the Industrial Court should no longer have such “law court” functions.

This removal of “law court” functions seems to be related to the fact that officials of the council will no longer be required to have knowledge of the law.

Should the court be urged to resolve a question of law for the consideration of the Special Labour Court envisaged in the Bill, this amendment may introduce a problem. The Bill requires that, for such a legal question to be reserved (stated), the Industrial Court must be satisfied that it is sufficiently important for the proper adjudication of the dispute.

If the court does not have any knowledge of the law, how will it know which legal questions are sufficiently important for the proper adjudication of which disputes?

Special Labour Court

The Bill proposes to establish a Special Labour Court which will have the same status as the Supreme Court. Its functions will be to entertain questions of law reserved from the Industrial Court, to review proceedings of the Industrial Court, and to act as a court of appeal from decisions of the Industrial Court.

The amendment seeks to identify concrete instances which the court must recognise as such practices. While doing that, it nonetheless retains the open definition now existing.

The attempt to tabulate instances of unfair labour practices is unrealistic. An exhaustive list of such practices could never be compiled. If it were attempted, it would undermine the fact that values (which underpin the whole idea of fairness) change with changing social circumstances.

Disputes

The corollary for this is that the number of unfinalised disputes for reference to the Industrial Court can be expected to drop. Therefore there does not seem to be any objective need for relieving that court of some of its duties, as the Bill proposes.

According to the law as it now exists, the currency of an Industrial Council decision and the Conciliation Board's spans a period of 12 months. The Bill extends this period to 15 months. It is suggested that this can only work a hardship on workers, and is completely unnecessary because the Manpower Minister has the power in all events to extend the 12 months.

Unfair Labour Practices

Presently an unfair labour practice is defined in general terms, which allows the Industrial Court some elbow room in establishing precedents.

The amendment seeks to identify concrete instances which the court must recognise as such practices. While doing that, it nonetheless retains the open definition now existing.
Govt move to stamp out politics in labour arena?

THE Government intends amending the Labour Relations Act in the final part of this article, labour expert Mandla Selepane analyses the effect or intentions of the amendments to be introduced by the Minister of Manpower, Mr Pietie du Plessis, during the next session of Parliament.

Compulsory Arbitration

The position under the current law is that whenever the Industrial Council or the Consultation Board has failed to settle a dispute, the matter is referred to the Industrial Court. The amendment provides for the contending parties to agree that the dispute be not referred to the court. The effect of this would once more be to lighten the burden of the Industrial Court and increase the difficulty in understanding why the Government is so keen on relieving it of some of its work.

A disturbing feature of this amendment is that the court is given power to refer to the Industrial Council or the consultation Board’s failure to settle. It is difficult to reconcile the court’s rights to refuse to adjudicate with the idea of the arbitration being compulsory.

The workers that are envisaged in this section seem to be those who would not have the right to arbitrate. Under those circumstances, it would seem unfair if the court were to shirk its responsibility. Under the existing law, an unregistered trade union was not bound to ballot its members before embarking on a strike. When the Bill becomes law, it will be obliged to do so.

Under the existing law, a registered union could apply that the Minister should publish a notice in the Government Gazette obliging an employer to deduct from the employee’s income membership fees.

Provided that certain requirements are met, the employer would be bound to make such deductions. Failure to do so was an offence. When the Bill becomes law, no such obligation will rest on an employer.

What emerges from these provisions is that the lot of unions is being made more unbearable. While certain duties are hitherto accompanying registered unions are being extended to unregistered unions, benefits accruing to the former are not bound extended to the latter.

Employers are known to have been very much with stop orders for trade unions even when it was obligatory for them to provide the facility. When the sanction of the Act is removed from such unions, heaven knows what is in store for unions.

Another striking feature is that, while trying to protect employers in many ways, no effort is made to protect workers against dismissal for embarking on a lawful strike.

So while employers are being given a free hand, the hands of the workers are being tied systemically.

Indemnity in respect of wrongful acts during a strike or lockout remains for registered unions. Therefore, while unregistered unions will have to go through the same channels as if they were registered, they shall not enjoy any measure of protection in this regard.

For registered unions the law is that they are indemnified against civil proceedings if the strike is lawful.

Mr MANDLA Selepane

Mr Pietie du Plessis

The amendment provides that the indemnity shall not be available in the event of any stoppage which does not constitute a strike or any act which constitutes an offence. This access to be a response to the 1983/4 strike. Therefore a strike occurs, and the employer loses profit, he will be able to sue the trade union concerned for damages.

No claim is made that the assessment is exhaustive. There seems to be no doubt that the Bill is the Government’s way of making true the threat made by the Minister of Manpower on the SABC news bulletin on July 9, 1987, against those who are ‘politicising’ the labour arena.

Whether or not the...